

BEST PRACTICES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENTIFIC DRILLING PROJECTS



Second Edition
October 2007

BEST PRACTICES WORKING GROUP

Andy Cohen
University of Arizona

David Dinter
University of Utah

John Eichelberger
University of Alaska

Wilfred Elders
University of California, Riverside

John Farrell
JOI

Emi Ito
University of Minnesota / LacCore

John King
University of Rhode Island

Kate Moran
University of Rhode Island

Dennis Nielson
DOSECC

Paul Olsen
Columbia University / LDEO

Terry Quinn
University of South Florida

Doug Schnurrenberger
University of Minnesota / LacCore

Buck Sharpton
University of Alaska

Donald Thomas
University of Hawaii

Mark Zoback
Stanford University

Layout and Design by David M. Zur

Cover inset photo: GLAD800 drilling rig on
Lake Peten Itza, Guatemala
(Photo courtesy of David Hodell)

Cover background, Table of Contents, and
back cover photos: Blue Marble
(NASA Earth Observatory)

Photo right: CBIS Drilling Project, Virginia, U.S.A.
(Photo by David Powars)



BEST PRACTICES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENTIFIC DRILLING PROJECTS

**SECOND EDITION
OCTOBER 2007**

**Edited by
Andrew S. Cohen
Dennis L. Nielson**




Funding for preparation
of this document
provided by the U.S.
National Science
Foundation



TABLE OF CONTENTS

5	Executive Summary
8	Introduction
9	Concept Development and Project Team Formation
16	Critical Background for Preparation of a Successful Proposal
19	Funding
20	Project Coordination
22	Logistics and Project Execution
24	Onsite Management of Drilling Operations
26	Post-Drilling Considerations
27	Roles and Responsibilities in a Scientific Drilling Project
29	Appendix 1: Scientific Drilling - Planning Checklist
30	Appendix 2: Scientific Drilling - Logistics Checklist





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Continental Scientific Drilling has an established record in advancing the earth sciences. The Continental Scientific Drilling Program was carried out in the U.S. between 1985 and 1994 and has been succeeded by the International Continental Scientific Drilling Program. Currently, projects of national and international interest are underway, and scientific drilling on continents and oceans is not as clearly separated as it once was. The process of developing a scientific drilling project, particularly one of international scope, is complex and both scientists and funding agencies need to understand the practical requirements that lead to success.

In an effort to provide input to funding agencies concerning the scientist's perspective of the proposal process and to provide a road map for scientists contemplating a scientific drilling proposal, DOSECC convened a workshop in May 2003 to address Best Practices in the Development of Scientific Drilling Projects. This report defines the stages from initial concept through the post-drilling activities, and presents recommendations that will be of interest for proponents of scientific drilling projects, particularly those that will have international participation.



Core collected from the Chicxulub Meteorite Impact Crater, Yucatan, Mexico (Photo by Dennis Nielson)

Concept and Project Team Formation

A scientific drilling project is a complex undertaking, and the formation of a team of scientific investigators and drilling experts is critical for success. The best approach to initiate a project is to organize a workshop where the project team is formed and plans are established for preparing a scientific drilling proposal. At this early stage, funding options are discussed and the fund-raising responsibilities of investigators are established. Interpersonal relationships are extremely important, and team leaders should establish and maintain a cooperative atmosphere. Following the workshop, the PI's must decide whether to move the project to the proposal stage.

Background for Preparation of a Proposal

A complete review of the pertinent scientific and drilling data is critical for a successful proposal. The workshop may also identify additional scientific investigations necessary to complete the proposal. A detailed drilling plan and an administrative plan will be required for the proposal, as will a schedule that takes into account the integration of the scientific and drilling requirements of the project. It is also necessary for the project team to establish policies with respect to sample access and publications.

A Drilling, Environmental Protection and Safety (DEPS) review should also take place. Since there will commonly be applications to multiple funding agencies, the mechanics of proposal preparation and the requirements and timing of different funding agencies will influence the proposal preparation process.

Funding

Typically, funding agencies separate funding for drilling from the subsequent scientific investigations. Some investigators may not be able to satisfy their funding obligations and may have to leave the project, making a reevaluation of project scope and staffing necessary.

Project Coordination

Following funding, the scientific and engineering team enters a predrilling phase of project preparation that this report terms Project Coordination. This stage requires regular communication between the principal project participants. This is the period during which contracts are established, and budgets are updated and finalized. Shortly before operations are initiated, the PIs need to hold a kickoff meeting and assure their procedures are in place for communication with the project team, sponsors and the scientific drilling community.

Sediment
core
collected
from the
Lake
Petén Itza
Scientific
Drilling
Project,
Guatemala
(Photo
courtesy
of David
Hodell)



Logistics and Project Execution

Logistics and Project Execution describes many of the logistical details that must be addressed before drilling equipment and science and drilling crews arrive at the location. At this time, final decisions will be made concerning the people who will be responsible for different aspects of the project. For instance, it may be beneficial to designate a person who will be responsible for handling onsite logistics. Permitting requirements vary widely and must be completed at this stage of the process. Mobilization and demobilization must be addressed, and arrangements for site security should be completed. Since scientific drilling projects will be of interest and/or concern to the local population, a program of public outreach should be initiated.

Management of Drilling Operations

Onsite management of drilling operations describes activities and decisions to be expected while the drilling operations are in progress. Personnel and environmental safety are important components during operations. Unforeseen circumstances may require deviations from the drilling plan, and a formal management structure is required to avoid confusion. Timely dissemination of information to project team members and sponsoring organizations is essential. Also, a scientific drilling operation provides the opportunity to hold workshops that should be attended by proponents of future projects.

Post-Drilling Considerations

The section on Post-Drilling considerations discusses issues of sample handling and initiation of scientific investigations following the completion of operations. It is important to publicize the initial results to other scientists, educational organizations and the public at large as soon as possible following drilling.

Scientific drilling projects display a range of complexity from a single investigator who hires a local drilling contractor through an international multi-investigator deep drilling project with a budget of more than \$10 million. The following discussion is weighted toward the larger, more complex projects to provide a more extensive discussion of options.

Throughout the presentation that follows, the major elements in the development and operation of a successful continental drilling project and the roles of key participants are discussed. Although the titles and job descriptions may vary, the roles described are required to plan and implement a project successfully. Appendix 1 lists the roles and responsibilities of key individuals and serves as a reference for the following discussion.



Drilling
rig
set up,
Montserrat
(photo by
Dennis Nielson)

INTRODUCTION

Within the US science establishment, scientific drilling on the continents has followed a separate path from the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP). Between 1985 and 1994, a U.S. Continental Scientific Drilling Program (CSDP) was established under the guidance of an Interagency Coordinating Group (ICG) formed by the National Science Foundation (NSF), U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) and the Department of Energy (DOE; Raleigh, 1985; Behr *et al.*, 1987). The scientific basis for this program was described in Zoback *et al.* (1988), and that report concluded “...it is fair to say that there is no branch of the solid earth sciences that would not benefit greatly from a continental scientific drilling program.” In order to aid the ICG in the implementation of scientific drilling projects, DOSECC (Drilling, Observation and Sampling of the Earth’s Continental Crust, Inc.) was formed in 1984. The CSDP completed a series of successful projects that involved a total of 948 individuals representing 184 institutions.

In 1994, the International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) was established through funding from the US, Germany and Japan (Zoback and Emmermann, 1993). This program currently resides at the GeoForschungsZentrum in Potsdam, Germany, and since its establishment has expanded to include many other member countries. ICDP funding is restricted for use in drilling operations and typically provides only a fraction of total drilling costs. Project proponents must raise the additional

funds necessary for drilling, and all funds required for scientific investigations, from the scientific funding agencies of their respective countries. As a consequence of the international aspect of ICDP, legitimate scientific drilling projects may not qualify for funding because the scope of the proposed investigation is of regional or national rather than international interest (Harms *et al.*, 2007).

In keeping with ICDP’s theme, a recent trend within the scientific drilling community is for projects to involve investigators from different countries who have multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary scientific objectives. These projects utilize several international funding sources that can dedicate funds for specific components of the project. International cooperation and the involvement of multiple funding agencies increase the complexity of organizing, funding and implementing drilling projects.

Project complexity requires a cooperative team approach that must include scientific investigators as well as drilling experts. A high degree of communication is necessary to establish schedules, budgets, logistics and operations as well as personnel and environmental safety plans. Face-to-face meetings by the project participants are both more difficult and more necessary for international projects. Project success requires that all principals satisfy their obligations to the project team.

Unrolled
core scan
from
Yaxcopoil-1
drill hole
(K/T
boundary),
Yaxcopoil,
Yucatan,
Mexico
(photo by
Mario
Rebolledo
Vieyra,
Chixculub
Scientific
Drilling
Project
CSDP/ICDP)



CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT AND PROJECT TEAM FORMATION

Investigators wishing to initiate a scientific drilling project are faced with a number of hurdles. First, they must understand the procedures necessary to navigate through an array of requirements from different funding agencies. Second, they must understand the components of a successful scientific drilling project. Third, they must circumnavigate a number of gaps in the process that could affect both the successful outcome as well as their ability to participate in the associated scientific investigations. This report is intended to provide a road map of the numerous stages through which a continental drilling project must pass in the current operational and funding environment.

In May 2003, DOSECC convened a panel of experts in Minneapolis to review the current process for developing a scientific drilling project. The first edition of this document presented results of those discussions. Since that document was printed, there have been changes in project funding and management, and those changes are reflected in this edition. Recommendations for improving the support infrastructure for U.S. scientists have been proposed separately.

The following sections address the components involved in initiating, implementing and completing a scientific drilling project. A flow chart showing the steps involved is included on page 10.

A scientific drilling project is generally initiated by a small group of scientists who require drilling as a research tool. Scientists who are contemplating a continental scientific drilling project need to recognize from the outset that they are embarking on a large, complex and expensive endeavor, one that currently has few clear road maps. Thus it is incumbent upon project proponents to approach the problem realistically, while at the same time framing their project in a way that is likely to excite the wider scientific community.

A scientific drilling project must be based on a very strong scientific premise to be a successful candidate for funding. Projects that cannot formulate clear and broadly appreciated scientific goals are unlikely to garner the support needed to proceed. Thus, from the outset Principal Investigators (PIs) need to “think big” and state in a few words the global significance of their project. In addition, they need to be able to articulate the reason that drilling is the key to attaining their scientific objectives. These statements can be integrative but should not exceed two or three key points so as not to dilute the core message. Potential PIs without prior experience in drilling project development should seek advice at a very early stage from experienced colleagues who may have a better grasp of the importance of this goal-setting process.

CONCEPT & TEAM FORMATION

PROJECT CONCEPT

Workshop Proposal

Workshop

Science Team

Objectives
Sampling Requirements
Management Structure

Drilling Team

Technology Assessment
Site Reconnaissance

CRITICAL BACKGROUND

Site Investigations
Administrative Plan
Schedule
Sample Protocol
Publications

Drilling Plan
Drilling Budget

Drilling, Environmental Protection & Safety Review

Proposal Preparation

FUNDING

Proposal Submittal (to multiple agencies)

Funding

COORDINATION

Re-evaluation of Project Scope

Select Drilling Operators/Subcontractors

LOGISTICS

Logistics, Permits, Safety Plans

Mobilization

MANAGEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Drilling and Sampling

Demobilization

Post-drilling Science

Scientific Drilling
Flow Chart

Drilling crew aboard the barge R/V *Kerry Kelts*, Lake Peten Itza, Guatemala (Photo courtesy of David Hodell)



Identifying A Team

Most successful drilling projects result from the efforts of a small group of extremely committed scientists who are willing to take the lead and invest large amounts of enthusiasm, time and energy. Conversely many projects fail because the participants do not have a sufficiently high level of commitment, or because the commitment and effort falls disproportionately on a single individual who may be overwhelmed by the time requirements.

We refer to the core group of committed scientists as the Principal Investigators (PIs). A successful PI team will consist of individuals with very different skills, some who understand broad scientific issues (the “big-thinkers”) who can give direction to formulating the project’s overall message and objectives, some with scientific expertise or interpersonal skills that are important for keeping the project on track, and some with political savvy, who can negotiate the complex set of activities needed to fund and operate the project. It is important to identify a core team of PIs who agree on a minimal set of objectives required for the success of the project. All of these aspects will become important at different stages of the proposal and progression of operations. PIs need to give this issue some attention as they develop their core team and add additional participants.

In the earliest phases of project development, formulation of scientific objectives and definition of study locations, depths and numbers of holes are likely to dominate PIs’ discussions. Initially these are best addressed in small informal groups through e-mail or phone conversations. As the concept begins to mature, however, it will quickly become important for the proponents to enter into a more formal and larger scale team building phase. PIs need to do this with their eyes open to a host of possible problems.

Probably the most common mistake is recruitment of co-investigators who are not really committed to the project. They may be identified out of convenience or from misinterpretation of casual but noncommittal conversations. Such individuals may not have the commitment to “stay the course” through what is likely to be a long and arduous road to an actual drilling campaign. Projects with Co-PIs who do not have a strong interest, or who are participating as a result of top-down directives are less likely to be successful. Clearly it is sometimes necessary to recruit individuals with specific scientific expertise, but the core PIs should be alert to the possibility that such individuals may be overcommitted. It is essential to recruit individuals with a direct professional interest in the project’s scientific objectives to maximize the likelihood that their interest will be strong. Friction can arise if factions within a drilling research team feel that other workers were foisted on them. Interpersonal problems that



Workshop
field trip:
Mutnovsky
Scientific
Drilling
Project,
Kamchatka,
Russia
(Photo by
Dennis Nielson)

develop early in the project are unlikely to go away later.

Formation of a team of highly interested participants is best accomplished through an openly advertised workshop where participation is voluntary. Very early in the drilling planning process the PIs should seek funding to hold such meetings.

Organizing A Drilling Workshop

A drilling workshop is generally the first full public airing of the scientific drilling concept. The project should not be presented as an accomplished fact, but neither should it be so immature that time is wasted in overly wide-ranging discussions. This issue is usually addressed during review of the workshop proposal. The workshop gives interested scientists a chance to become involved and shape the program, while at the same time giving the leaders helpful feedback, a sense of the level of enthusiasm and “marketability”, and input from key contributors and proponents. The goals of the workshop should be to:

- Establish a core PI team (project leaders, ~3)
- Establish science teams, chaired by PIs
- Refine and prioritize scientific objectives
- Formulate a drilling strategy
- Review the feasibility and cost ranges of different alternatives
- Develop an overall project plan.

To ensure a broad range of scientific participation, the workshop should be advertised in a widely read scientific newsletter and one or more websites. The response to this publicity can aid the PIs by strengthening the scientific team and attracting complementary science.

The purpose of a workshop is to organize and plan. PIs should take considerable pains to ensure that participants understand these objectives through pre-meeting circulars, websites, and e-mail correspondence. Although discussion of prior results is valuable in allowing individual participants to demonstrate their interest in the project, the PIs should make sure that this component does not dominate the time available for the meeting. Allotting time for plenary discussion of major science objectives and technical issues is critical.

In reviewing the workshop participant list, the PIs need to ask themselves if the capabilities of candidate team members are adequate for the mission. Well before the workshop, the PI team should go through the exercise of identifying the necessary and appropriate scientific and technical/engineering expertise required for their objectives to be met, making sure each of those areas is well represented in the participant list.

PIs need to ensure group cooperation and communication. At a drilling workshop many ideas

The
scientific
drilling
barge
R/V
Kerry Kelts,
Lake
Peten Itza,
Guatemala
(Photo
courtesy of
David Hodell)



will be presented, often covering conceptual ground well beyond what the core science team originally anticipated. The PI team needs to think carefully about the pluses and minuses of altering its direction to accommodate additional team members with different objectives. The PIs also need to pay close attention not only to what is being said and by whom, but also to how group interactions develop. A successful team will be one where the participants are determined by a consensus of other scientists. PIs should avoid artificial groupings of researchers with highly polarized approaches to science. In international projects, PIs need to be aware of differences in approach to science that are culturally based and should adjust their working relationship with their colleagues accordingly if they are to avoid problems later.

A major outcome of the workshop should be a prioritization of the scientific objectives of the drilling program that identifies the following:

1. The main scientific sampling and on-site measurement program required for the success of the project (i.e., the on-site work that would be sufficient to declare the project had achieved the major goals of drilling),
2. The highest priority science that must be completed in order to declare the project a success,

3. Worthwhile additional scientific studies that should be carried out as time and resources permit,
4. Lower-priority add-on science.

Before convening a drilling workshop, the PI team should begin discussions with drilling experts from organizations such as DOSECC, the commercial drilling industry, ICDP and engineering groups familiar with the challenges likely to be faced by the project. PIs are strongly encouraged to make use of the DOSECC (www.dosecc.org) and ICDP (www.icdp-online.de) websites to obtain information on the drilling and logging equipment available to the scientific community. It is critical to begin these discussions before a drilling workshop because some suggested directions of inquiry may be impractical for technical reasons. Before, during and after the workshop there is a need to continuously integrate the scientific, logistical and technological needs of the project. Appendix 1 is a planning checklist of topics that should be considered in project formulation.

PIs should identify necessary drilling expertise needed to guide them through the development of their technical proposal. Small projects using standard drilling techniques may not require a dedicated engineer to guide the project leaders though the project design. However, large projects, or ones using innovative technology, may require a drilling engineer to develop the drilling plan and budget.



Left:
Welding casing
(Photo courtesy of David Hodell)

Right:
Andrill Project, drilling Site 184, Antarctica
(Photo courtesy of Andrill)

During the drilling workshop, the PIs need to ensure that consideration is given to all the critical points necessary for the project to move forward to a proposal development phase. Important issues include the following:

- Have important scientific questions and information gaps been identified?
- Have the PIs and engineers made an adequate assessment of the technology required for the project?
- Is there general agreement about a proposal strategy and/or potential funding sources?
- Are there a sufficient number of committed participants for the project to succeed?
- Is there good agreement between scientific and technical participants concerning objectives and general plans?
- Are additional site surveys or feasibility studies necessary?

PIs will spend a considerable amount of their own and other people's time organizing and participating in the meeting. It is imperative that the discussion doesn't get delayed on side issues or turn into a mini-symposium of individual investigators' research without adequate time being allotted to discussion of the projects' future. The PI acting as workshop moderator must be judicious in keeping discussions focused and on time.

Planning for sampling and logging (both core and geophysical) should begin during the workshop. Frequently, the enthusiasm of co-investigators will depend on their perception of how valuable the holes and cores will be to their objectives. A thoroughly discussed and well-conceived logging plan will go a long way towards satisfying concerns of many participants. On-site core logging is strongly advised, and PIs may wish to discuss this option during the workshop. ICDP currently has a GeoTek (www.geotek.co.uk) logging system available for use on ICDP projects and other systems are available within the scientific drilling community. At present, downhole logging can be arranged through ICDP using slim-hole logging tools. PIs should consider the possibilities of logging while drilling (LWD) technology, as it becomes more readily available.

Depending on the complexity of the drilling project, more than one workshop may be required to organize and provide input to the proposal. This may be the case if the initial workshop finds the concept to be too immature to justify a proposal, or if the PIs determine it is necessary to address technology (drilling) issues separately from scientific issues, particularly if the proposed drilling presents unusual or difficult challenges. Ideally, workshops are held at the prospective drilling site. It is important to allow time for drilling personnel to become familiar with the resources available in the area.

Left:
A good leader
can help
eliminate
confusion
(Photo by
David Zur)



Right:
Cross
beds
preserved
in core
(Photo
courtesy of
Beverley
Blakeney-
DeJarnette)



Moving Ahead

After the drilling workshop, the PI team needs to decide if background information, scientific questions and expertise are sufficient to move ahead to a proposal planning stage. PIs need to assess this question honestly and carefully; the time invested in organizing a workshop is trivial compared with what comes next. PIs need to determine if they have the expertise to make qualified decisions. They should know the limits of their knowledge and not be afraid to seek out additional help on key decisions. Some scientific drilling projects have benefited greatly from input from technical and scientific advisory groups.

PIs should understand the ramifications of using particular sources of funding. Different agencies have different rules concerning the complex procedures involved in proposing a project. PIs need to educate themselves about such differences if they are considering multiple funding sources.

By this stage, if not earlier, the core science group should identify its project leaders, those who will be responsible for making decisions. The team building process also involves modifying the list of goals of the project to an achievable whole. There is a fine balance between learning about new and exciting directions from workshop participants and becoming distracted by directions that are unlikely to hold the attention of the team or the scientific

community during the proposal review process. It is also valuable for the PIs to define the decision-making process among project leaders as well as between project leaders and collaborators at this time. The management structure may evolve over the course of the project, but unfortunate interpersonal consequences can result if the project proceeds to the proposal submission stage without leadership and collaborator selection/coordination issues having been adequately addressed.

Part of establishing a scientific management structure in the project development stage involves ensuring that both the immediate scientific community and the key program officers at relevant funding agencies understand this structure. It is useful to lay out an explicit organizational structure with identified responsibilities prior to proposal submission.

By the end of the workshop, many aspects of the drilling project will have been decided. However, it is almost certain that additional information will be required prior to submittal of a proposal.



Core collected from the Chesapeake Bay Meteorite Impact Structure, Virginia, U.S.A. (photo by David Powars, courtesy of USGS)

CRITICAL BACKGROUND FOR PREPARATION OF A SUCCESSFUL PROPOSAL

Once a scientific and engineering workshop has been held, the PIs are in a position to begin preparing their drilling proposal. PIs by this time will have paid close attention to scientific objectives and hypotheses to be tested through drilling, but they may have given incomplete consideration to the technical aspects that can also make or break a proposal. PIs should pay particular attention to the recommendations of their drilling workshop participants in areas such as technology and safety and environmental concerns where the PIs' expertise may be more limited.

Post-workshop, On-Site Investigations

Many scientific and technical questions may arise from a drilling workshop that can only be addressed by additional surveys. Despite the perception PIs may have that more work is unnecessary or redundant, the investigators must pay close attention to such recommendations, especially when they arise from experts in the field. The same questions are likely to be raised by funding agency panelists at the time the proposal is reviewed.

Although the PIs may have a great deal of experience working in the area where drilling is proposed, it is unlikely that they will have an understanding of the logistical and drilling challenges. Therefore, a site visit with drilling and logistics personnel is required if it was not done during the workshop. Drilling personnel will have questions on a wide range of subjects from road/port conditions, accommodations and meals for the crew, to safety hazards.

Establishing a Drilling Plan

A major evaluation point of any scientific drilling project will be the quality of its drilling plan. In the pre-funding period the plan will be a generalized document, which will evolve as the available resources and timetable become clearer. Thus we will return to the development of a drilling plan at several points in this document. The details of the drilling plan and schedule must be discussed between the PIs and drilling personnel prior to final proposal submission to ensure that the proposed budget is adequate for the anticipated operations.

The initial drilling schedule should be science driven (i.e., when will everything be in place to do the best science). However, a host of secondary considerations need to be taken under advisement in developing the drilling plan. At some sites expected weather conditions are very important for scheduling. The best available climate information should be used to make scheduling decisions. This is of particular concern for projects anticipating drilling on water from an anchored platform or when using dynamic positioning. The PIs may want to consider establishing a meteorological station near the drill site. Once funding is in place and contracts are let, it will be more difficult and expensive to effect a change in the schedule.

The drilling planning document should include a written agreement among project participants outlining their respective responsibilities to the

Toasting
international
project
teamwork,
Lake
El'gygytgyn
Scientific
Drilling
Project,
Russia
(photo
courtesy of
Tom Quinn)



project. Although such a document is not currently required by funding agencies it should be a standard element of good planning to ensure proper operation of drilling activities. Also, the planning document should incorporate a Drilling, Environmental Protection, and Safety (DEPS) Plan.

Establishing an Administrative Plan

The administration of a scientific drilling project can be complex and should be discussed between the PIs and drilling personnel. Administration involves establishing subcontracts, tracking expenses, verifying invoices and paying vendors. Large drilling projects can have 10 to 20 subcontractors. Unexpected events are common in drilling projects, and the administrator must be prepared to establish new subcontracts and modify expected spending patterns quickly. Expenses must be tracked carefully and financial status reports must be available on a daily basis if needed. For these reasons, administration of a drilling project, particularly one that is large, is often run through a general contractor. The use of a general contractor will have a cost implication; therefore, this aspect of the project must be determined before budgets are submitted.

Scheduling Project Phases

Given the complexity inherent in scientific drilling projects, close attention should be paid to project scheduling. Early in the proposal development phase, a realistic timeline of specific activities should be constructed. This timeline should include

development and manufacture of specialized equipment/tools, predrilling preparation activities, equipment shipping and project mobilization, drilling, sampling and logging, demobilization, post-drilling sample shipment and handling, and post-drilling science. Frequently this schedule will be driven by external realities imposed by weather windows, funding cycles, schedule conflicts, and equipment availability, all factors which may not be easy to synchronize.

Sample and Data Access / Distribution Procedures

Core handling, including archiving and storage, is an issue that merits particular attention because much of the value of drilling resides with the long-term archiving and preservation of the samples collected. For the U.S. lake-drilling community, the post-drilling handling and storage of cores is currently managed by LacCore, the National Lake Core Repository located at the University of Minnesota (<http://lrc.geo.umn.edu/LacCore/laccore.html>). PIs in lake drilling projects should consult with LacCore to formulate a sample preservation/state/archival plan.

PIs should be aware that funding agencies differ in their requirements for sample access. PIs must familiarize themselves with these rules from a very early point in detailed planning, particularly when multiple funding sources are envisioned.



Left:
Examining
core in
the field,
Chesapeake
Bay Meteorite
Impact
Structure,
Virginia,
U.S.A.
(Photo by
David Powars)

Right:
The
InnovaRig,
Germany
(Photo by
courtesy of
Ulrich Harms
and ICDP)

As part of the project plan, the PIs should lay out a process for distributing samples, deciding sampling priorities, and generally ensuring that samples will be used most efficiently. For example, careful forethought on the part of the PIs may establish that materials subject to nondestructive analyses can be subsequently used in destructive analyses. PIs are strongly urged to establish a sampling flow chart that plots the fate of materials obtained from working core splits, to ensure maximum benefit to the scientific community and to reduce confusion and friction between different groups of researchers studying the same core. For cores being split, PIs should make a concerted effort to ensure the integrity of an archival half of all cores collected.

Communication of Results and Decisions Regarding Publications

PIs are urged to follow the successful ODP model of publication planning (<http://www-odp.tamu.edu/publications/guide/postcruise.html>). In brief, the PIs and their collaborators should have a plan for submission of publications (including authorship) following conclusion of drilling. PIs should make every effort to rapidly publish their initial results in summary form in journals such as *EOS*, *Geotimes*, etc. Generally it should be understood among the science team that such publication should be coauthored by the scientific party of the project, including PIs and other co-investigators heavily involved in various drilling and early post-drilling aspects.


Preparation of a Successful Proposal

The science budget portion of a proposal should be prepared by the PIs; the drilling budget should be prepared by the drilling contractor. The proposal is submitted by the PIs. The funding agency(s) should be asked to send science funds to the PIs, and the drilling funds directly to the driller.

Cost estimation should be done with a contingency of ~20% to accommodate unforeseen difficulties and a probable time lag of approximately two years from proposal submission to drilling time. It is important to remember that budgets will be fixed when a grant is awarded. However, drilling costs are not fixed and always seem to increase with time. For international projects this can be a particularly acute problem given fluctuating currency exchange rates between the time a grant is awarded and contracts are let. Experience shows that projects that are delayed suffer financial problems as a consequence.

An initial drilling proposal should be the product of the workshop; however, it is likely to go through a number of iterations during the peer and panel review process. Potential PIs should be aware that different funding agencies organize this review process in very different ways. Also, funding agencies currently have different policies with respect to mentoring reviews prior to final project evaluation. The ICDP proposal process encourages the submission of a

Suevite
core
collected
from the
Chicxulub
Meteor
Impact
Crater,
Yucatan,
Mexico
(Photo by
Dennis Nielson)



FUNDING

pre-proposal to receive general review and advice from the ICDP Science Advisory Group. Similarly, NSF's Continental Dynamics Program encourages preliminary proposals. PIs are encouraged to take advantage of this free advice.

Serious problems can arise if the PIs do not understand the policies of different funding agencies. The PI team is strongly advised to seek advice on appropriate strategies from scientists who have completed drilling projects.

PIs have commonly used a strategy of writing a master proposal that outlines the entire scope of the project. This includes the entire statement of work as well as the complete budget. Individuals can use this document to support funding requests to their respective funding agencies.

The funding of scientific drilling projects is typically more complex than for stand-alone scientific research projects. Increasingly, scientific drilling projects use multiple funding sources that commonly include NSF, ICDP and the scientific funding agencies of the international project participants. There are a number of difficulties that PIs may experience using multi-agency funding.

- Different organizations have different schedules for proposal submittal and funding.
- Some funding is restricted in that different agencies, or even different sections of the same agency, may require their funds be used for particular aspects of the project.
- Funding for drilling activities is separated from the funding for scientific investigations. The rationale for this is that drilling is risky and the amount of core available for analysis is not assured. However, it is necessary for the project proponents to discuss the timing of funding with agency representatives prior to proposal submittal so that science funding can be scheduled to accommodate the expected amount of sample. Separate funding of drilling and science raises the possibility that PIs could be able to do all the work in sample collection but not be able to perform their scientific studies before samples come off a moratorium period and are available to the community at large.



A sequence boundary between a pebbly river channel (right) sitting directly on top of a burrowed marine mudstone, Frontier Formation, Lincoln County, Wyoming, U.S.A. (Photo courtesy of Beverley Blakeney-DeJarnette)

PROJECT COORDINATION

- There may be gaps in funding at critical points. In particular, funding for project coordination meetings between participants and advisory panels is often neglected.
- The timing of funding is critical to the efficient management of a scientific drilling project. Potential PIs need to be aware of the current limitations, and funding agencies need to be alerted to the timing problems that complex funding processes engender.

Multi-agency proposals have the drawback that one or more funding entities may reject the proposal. If that happens, the PIs must reevaluate the scope of the project as well as the level of participation of different investigators. It is often the case that a more limited but worthwhile range of scientific objectives can be met at lower cost than originally proposed. This also may be the point where some team members are forced to withdraw due to lack of support. The agencies that have agreed to sponsor the project may be able to provide supplemental funding, although that may require another funding cycle with associated delays.

Once a scientific drilling project has been funded, the science and engineering team enters the predrilling phase of project preparation. This intense, detailed planning process typically involves an array of expertise in final site selections, contracting, borehole design, science, and permitting. PIs may be in the best position to understand potential problems that will require contingency planning, for example adverse or dangerous drilling conditions, adverse weather or civil unrest. A small focused workshop involving both scientists and drilling experts may be necessary at this stage to consider site selection details, technological issues, materials and supplies and instrumentation to be used. Based on any plan revisions adopted at this point, supplementary safety, environmental and budget reviews could be implemented. PIs should establish a schedule for regular meetings and/or conference calls. PIs should view their planning document as a general template for activities, but should update it regularly as information, costs and conditions change prior to drilling. Good communication between the science and drilling team is essential. Inevitably, compromises will be necessary between scientific goals and technical and budget realities.

Selecting a Drilling Operator

Since most scientific drilling projects conducted by U.S.-based PIs will be sponsored by the Federal Government, the use of a commercial drilling contractor will require a procurement with a public notification, bid package, review and award. Although

Chesapeake
Bay
Meteorite
Impact
Structure
Drillsite,
Virginia,
U.S.A.
(photo by
David
Powars,
courtesy of
USGS)



this is a step that could happen prior to proposal submission, in practice it takes place after funding is secured. The time span between proposal submission and project implementation is long enough (~2 years) that bids would not be valid for that length of time.

There are several cost options that are commonly used in the drilling industry, including turnkey, day rate and footage rate contracts. A **turnkey contract** requests that specified work be completed for a fixed cost. The disadvantage of such a contract is that it places the full risk of performance on the drilling contractor and therefore, the cost of assuming these risks is high. The **day rate contract** is perhaps the most commonly used, and is often seen as an IADC (International Association of Drilling Contractors) contract form that is used both as a bid request and contract. This approach establishes rates that are based on operational days plus mobilization/demobilization and materials. Inherent in this type of contract is the understanding that the drilling contractor is operating under the direction of the client. A **footage contract** will base the cost on the footage drilled. This type of contract is commonly used by the mining industry; however, it is less appropriate in scientific drilling where scientists may wish to suspend operations while measurements are made in the hole. It is also common to see a combination of the day rate and footage contract where the contractor requires a base price per day plus a rate per foot drilled. In any case, the bid

documents must be carefully constructed to fit the purposes of the project.

It is desirable to schedule a site visit for prospective drilling contractors. This visit should familiarize contractors with local conditions and serve to clarify any questions contractors may have concerning the RFP.

Following submission of proposals by drilling contractors, the project's drilling personnel will review the offerings and choose a contractor. Federal guidelines suggest that the low-cost bid should receive the award. However, in the drilling business, the low-bid may prove more expensive in the long run. At this point, it is often appropriate for the drilling engineer to visit rigs that were offered in the proposals. The condition of the rig and support equipment will be a critical factor in the success of the drilling project.

PIs should use their drilling engineer to follow the general contractor's decisions on selection and management of specific subcontractors. In many cases the PIs may have a better understanding of the availability of specific subcontractor services in the drilling locality than the drilling contractor, and should make sure that the general contractor knows of all available options for controlling costs while maintaining quality.



Suevite
core,
Chesapeake
Bay
Meteorite
Impact
Structure,
Virginia,
U.S.A.
(Photo by
David Powars)

Final Drilling Implementation and Workshop

Shortly before drilling is to commence, a kickoff meeting of PIs and other key on-site science personnel (especially downhole experiment personnel) and the drilling contractor should be held to finalize the duty schedules for the drilling team and onsite science team. PIs should organize a website to provide daily reports and data to key parties not participating in onsite activities. It may be advisable to organize the website in such a way as to limit access to some areas to team members needing to see sensitive information. The ICDP website (www.icdp-online.de) has good examples of project-specific web pages.

LOGISTICS AND PROJECT EXECUTION

Depending on the size and location of a drilling project, logistical considerations can dominate the operations stage. Often, some or all of the logistics are handled by the PIs because of their knowledge of the local environment. However, it may be cost-effective to hire a logistics coordinator, particularly when operating overseas where local customs and language are factors or where there are a large number of personnel onsite (it is not uncommon for science plus drilling crews to number more than 20 persons). This is also true for lake drilling where the science and drilling crews are not able to easily leave the drill site. In these circumstances, it is necessary to

have good communications between the drill rig and shore.

The duties envisioned for a logistics coordinator may include arranging for housing and meals, coordinating fuel delivery, trips for supplies and personnel, communications, arranging for water delivery and interaction with the local population. The science team needs to remember that the drill crews and drilling supervisor are hired to run the drilling operation, not logistics.

Appendix 2 is a generalized logistics checklist for the items that need to be considered for effectively running a drilling operation. These are also important cost components for project budgeting.

There is a great deal of work that must be done before the drilling crews and equipment arrive at the project area. This includes permitting, site preparation, acquiring local labor, arranging for accommodation and food, etc. In addition, it is important to assess the local availability of supplies and services (machine shops, welding). In remote areas, it is advisable to bring a good inventory of spare parts and consumables rather than try to find them locally.

Permitting needs to be addressed far in advance of the drilling operations. The permits required and the procedures for their acquisition are determined locally, and visits to regulatory agencies well in advance of drilling are recommended. The PIs are

Media
Day,
Chesapeake
Bay
Meteorite
Impact
Structure,
Virginia,
U.S.A.
(Photo by
David Zur)



often in a good position to acquire permits since they have experience in the area. In addition to environmental permits, it is necessary to determine whether crews will require work permits. Mobilization and demobilization of the drilling equipment will require outside assistance in most cases. For international projects this would include a shipping agent who will handle the formalities and customs clearance at the port of entry. Trucks will be required to move equipment to the drill site and a crane and forklift may be required to unload and assemble the drilling equipment.

Security is an important consideration both to prevent equipment and supplies from being stolen and to reduce the likelihood of bystanders being injured. All equipment should be stored in locked containers when not in use, and the project team needs to consider hiring guards for equipment and supplies.

Site Safety Review

For many projects a Drilling, Environmental Protection, and Safety (DEPS) review will take place prior to the initiation of drilling operations. This outside panel will evaluate the overall project and make recommendations concerning potential drilling hazards. The drilling operator will have final authority on rig safety. The general contractor will be responsible for overall site safety. Useful supplementary information on drilling safety issues is available at www.dosecc.org.

Public Outreach and Publicity

Scientific drilling projects will attract a great deal of attention from the local population. This is an opportunity to showcase work and establish a positive impression. Given the large expenditures public agencies provide to a typical scientific drilling project, PIs should consider it an important responsibility to publicize their activities to the news media, local schools, and to engage the public at large concerning the importance of their research work. Tours at some sites have been sufficiently popular that they were conducted on a regular schedule. It is often advisable to have a supply of hard hats available for the visitors. Care must be taken to keep visitors out of areas where they can interfere with the drilling operations or be injured.

Standardization of Supplies and Consumables

PIs should attempt to standardize supplies and consumables to be used by the science team prior to the drilling campaign. This can be efficiently coordinated through the Chief Scientist, who might be one of the PIs or another well-organized person who is very familiar with all aspects of the science operation. PIs should seek advice on use of supplies, which may be standardized throughout their scientific community, especially when it will impact downstream core handling and storage. For example, the LacCore curatorial staff can advise lake-drilling project PIs on specific supplies that have



Digital scan of an unrolled core of silicious metapelite with graphitic-rich bands, Long Valley Exploratory Well Phase III, California, U.S.A. 7615' deep (Photo courtesy of ICDP)

ONSITE MANAGEMENT OF DRILLING OPERATIONS

proven effective in past lake drilling campaigns. For lake-drilling projects, experienced curators may be available to participate in the drilling project.

Standardization of Core Handling, Storage and Shipping

A detailed plan for core handling, storage and shipping must be prepared and budgeted as part of the project planning document. PIs should consult closely with all anticipated users of the core materials to insure that core handling does not violate particular sampling and/or quality assurance protocols important to individual team members' efforts.

Onsite Training

Since science teams often have little experience in drilling operations, it is advantageous to schedule onsite training during an earlier project. This allows drilling proponents to spend several days on site acquiring hands-on experience with drilling and sample handling activities. ICDP has, in the past, sponsored such workshops.

PIs and drilling operators should ensure that the drilling supervisor is present during mobilization/demobilization and drilling and geophysical logging activities, to serve as an interface between the science and drilling teams. This is critical for success because of the limited understanding each team has of the other's activities and the great potential for misunderstandings.

The Chief Scientist, as day-to-day manager of the onsite science team, needs to remain abreast of all developments occurring in the drilling operation, including scientific, engineering, and logistical issues. This is best accomplished by having a regularly scheduled meeting of the Chief Scientist, drilling supervisor, tool pusher and any other logistics coordinators during each operational day to review the past 24 hours progress and plan for the next day.

Site Safety

Ensuring site safety is a primary responsibility of the general contractor and the head of that team will always have the final say on "go/no go" decisions with respect to drilling. The drilling contractor and the PIs should organize emergency drills prior to the start of operations, including assembly points, evacuation plans, emergency contact information (and location of such information on site) and immediate response. Onsite team members who arrive after the start of drilling should also go through these briefings and exercises. It is very strongly recommended that

Refrigerated
storage
of lake
sediment
core,
Lake
Peten
Itza,
Guatemala
(Photo
courtesy of
David Hodell)



at least one (and preferably more) members of the onsite team have emergency medical training such as CPR and First-Aid.

The drilling operator will have established safety policies and should be able to give the science team a written safety plan. The drillers will commonly hold a safety meeting at the start of each shift. The science team should keep the numbers of their personnel around the drilling equipment to a minimum.

Access to Information and Samples and Team Communication

PIs should develop a uniform policy governing information and samples to reduce the likelihood of misunderstanding among participants. In the past, relationships between team members of some drilling projects have been severely strained by inadequate understanding of who will have access to what information and/or samples at the time of drilling. Timely dissemination of information to team members is essential both for science and team morale purposes. A website that is updated daily is an effective means for disseminating information to the scientific team.

Deviations from the Drilling Plan

It is not uncommon that a drilling plan will need to be revised during the course of operations as a result of budget, weather, safety or science considerations.

Ideally, alternate sites for drilling or alternate strategies for the same site will have already been anticipated in the drilling plan, and these alternatives will themselves have been presented to a safety and environmental panel for review. However, even with such alternatives in hand it often becomes necessary to make further changes to the drilling plan. When this occurs, it is important that the PIs have established a mechanism for smoothly making such decisions. A chain of command should be defined in terms of the scientific decisions on the suitability of the alternate plan. This is particularly important in cases where all PIs are not on site when decisions are being made. PIs should also try to make prior arrangements with their DEPS review panel for quick opinions of the advisability of alternative plans. PIs should also be aware that deviations and/or addition of last-minute experimenters and add-on science may be restricted by their funding agency; they are advised to discuss this extensively with their program officer in advance of drilling. As always, the final decision to proceed with a particular site must rest with the drilling operator, who is taking overall responsibility for the safety of the team.

Unknowables

PIs, the drilling supervisor, and drilling operators should always make allowances for the possibility of unforeseen developments during drilling. Particular attention should be paid to planning for succession/change in PIs, schedule changes, legal liability issues



Digital scan of unrolled core of ultrahigh pressure metamorphic rocks from the Chinese Continental Scientific Drilling Project mainhole, Donghai, China (Photo courtesy of ICDP)

and environmental issues. Also, it is standard practice in the drilling industry that the project is responsible for any tools lost or damaged downhole (below the keel in offshore operations). The loss of equipment will have an adverse budget consequence at any time during the project; however, it is particularly difficult when it comes in the latter parts of a project when the budgets are depleted. Although it is not possible to be prescriptive here, PIs should consider these points carefully in advance and HAVE A PLAN.

POST-DRILLING CONSIDERATIONS

As soon as drilling is completed, the important tasks of data management, sample distribution, hole use, monitoring, and completion need to be addressed. PIs should anticipate these activities in their drilling plans as appropriate. It is particularly important that post-drilling core shipping, handling and archiving plans be established in advance, and that contingency plans be considered.

As soon as possible, there should be a symposium on initial results, probably in conjunction with a regularly scheduled national or international meeting. PIs should strongly consider announcing their initial results in an appropriate science news venue, such as *EOS*. Later, the end of the sample moratorium period is a good time for a symposium or workshop to discuss and summarize results and introduce new scientists to research opportunities.

Following the end of the sample and data moratoria, all aspects of the project are open to participation through normal funding channels. In consideration of their time and effort invested in the drilling program, and for the broader interests of community support for scientific drilling, PIs are urged to submit their results for publication in a timely fashion. Given the large public investment required for drilling projects, PIs should make a point of disseminating their results widely. This includes peer-reviewed publications, websites, making materials accessible to educators, and by presenting results at colleges and universities.


Acknowledgments

As a professional courtesy, the drilling operator (and ship operator for shipboard operations) should be acknowledged in publications on the project results.

References

- Behr, H.-J., F.G. Stehli, and H. Vidal, eds, 1987. *Observation of the Continental Crust through Drilling II*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Harms, U., C. Koberl, and M.D. Zoback, eds, 2007. *Continental Scientific Drilling*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Raleigh, C.B., ed., 1985. *Observation of the Continental Crust through Drilling I*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Zoback, M.D., W. A. Elders, W.R. Van Schmus, and L. Younker, 1988. "The role of continental scientific drilling in modern earth sciences, scientific rationale and plan for the 1990's", Stanford University, 151 pp.
- Zoback, M.D. and R. Emmermann, eds, 1993. "Scientific rationale for establishment of an international program of continental scientific drilling", Potsdam, Germany, 194 pp.

Resurge
breccia,
Chesapeake
Bay
Meteorite
Impact
Structure,
Virginia,
U.S.A.
(photo by
David Powars,
courtesy
of USGS)



ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN A SCIENTIFIC DRILLING PROJECT

Principal Investigators (PIs)

Key individuals that are the scientific leaders of the project. Normally, there are 1 to 4 PIs who decide among themselves their relative roles.

Responsibilities include the following:

- Raise funds
- Interface with funding agencies
- Act as spokesperson to the scientific community and the public
- Establish the proposal writing team
- Write the scientific proposal
- Facilitate logistics
- Obtain permits
- Establish onsite scientific staffing
- Maintain communications with Co-PIs
- Carry out the essential science
- Monitor budget and adjusting plans as necessary

Co-Principal Investigators (Co-PIs)

Individuals responsible for specific scientific components of the project. Their responsibilities include the following:

- Aid PIs in writing proposals
- Acquire funding for their scientific studies
- Carry out specific scientific investigations

Chief Scientist(s)

(Onsite Science Manager)

Responsible for onsite management of the scientific aspects of the drilling project and making daily decisions. Ideally, this role should be served by one of the PIs. The responsibilities include:

- Interface between the onsite science team and the drilling team
- Make daily decisions relating to the conduct of the drilling activities
- Manage the onsite science activities including sample handling and data collection
- Provide any needed logistical assistance to the drilling personnel
- Be a spokesperson to the public, local officials and press
- Monitor the onsite science budget

General Contractor

Hired by the PIs to handle the contracting and administrative aspects of the project. This role may be filled by a university, nonprofit (DOSECC or JOI) or a commercial firm:

- Receive money from funding agencies or universities
- Procure supplies and services through competitive bids



Aerial photo of the Chesapeake Bay Meteorite Impact Structure drillsite, Virginia, U.S.A. (Photo by David Powars)

- Establish subcontracts for the performance of drilling and scientific operations
- Site Safety
- Handle expenses in accordance with federal guidelines
- Document receipts and performance of supplies/services
- Issue payment to subcontractors/vendors
- Monitor expenditure of the overall budget

- Works with PIs and selected drilling operator to expedite mobilization to the drill site
- Serves as the onsite drilling manager; responsibilities include interface with the drilling contractor, approval of daily drilling reports, monitoring of supplies utilized and cost control
- Interacts with the Chief Scientist to schedule sampling, logging and downhole experiments

Drilling Engineer

May be needed for complex projects:

- Formulates an initial drilling plan and budget that accommodates the scientific objectives of the project
- Determines the scope of supply to be requested from the drilling contractor and the additional subcontractors that will be required by the project
- Formulates a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a commercial drilling contractor
- Assembles a list of qualified bidders to receive the RFP
- Organize site visits for potential bidders
- Reviews drilling proposals and negotiates with potential contractors

Drilling Supervisor

Onsite representative during the drilling operations. This role incorporates the responsibilities of a “company man” in petroleum drilling, and may be the same person who serves as the drilling engineer.

Drilling Contractor

The company that performs drilling operations.

- Supply drilling equipment and experienced personnel
- Report on the progress of drilling operations
- Responsible for Rig Safety

Tool Pusher

The drilling contractor’s principal representative on the drill site. The pusher will normally live at the drill site during operations. The responsibilities of this person include:

- Management of the contractor’s drilling crews. Drilling will normally have two shifts that work 12 hours each
- Serve as the principal point of contact with the client (PIs, Chief Scientist, Drilling Supervisor)
- Resolve conflicts in billing with the Drilling Supervisor


APPENDIX 1: SCIENTIFIC DRILLING - PLANNING CHECKLIST

Task	Subtask	Responsibility	Contact
Management	Project Management		
	Team Leadership		
	On-site Management		
	Budget Control		
	Reporting Procedures		
Drilling and Casing	Depths, diameters, etc.		
	Directional drilling issues		
	Mud chemistry, weight		
	Blow-out prevention, safety		
	Use of liners, temporary casing		
Coring	Depths, diameters, techniques		
	Special handling procedures		
Logging	Commercial logging		
	Other logging (such as ICDP)		
	Specialty logs		
	Depths		
Other Downhole Measurements	Fluid sampling/well tests/Hydrofrac		
	Geophysical measurements		
Long-term Use of Holes	Observatory hole?		
	Plug and abandon		
On-site Sample Handling	Planned measurements (photos)		
	Special handling procedures		
	Short term disposition		
Long-term Sample Handling	Sample handling		
	Permanent repository		
Data Products	Real-time data		
	Log and sample database		
	Publication strategy		

APPENDIX 2: SCIENTIFIC DRILLING - LOGISTICS CHECKLIST

Task	Subtask	Responsibility	Cost
Communications	Cell Phones		
	Satellite Phones		
	E-mail		
	Web Access		
Housing	Hotel		
	Apartments		
	Travel Trailer		
	Shipboard Accomodations		
Meals	Cook		
	Food Supplies		
	Water		
Transportation	Vehicles		
	Crews from Airport		
	Daily Commute to Rig		
	Site-to-site Moves		
	Mobilization/Demobilization		
	Supplies		
	Courier Services		
	Customs		
	Freight Expeditors		
Money	Bank		
	ATM		
	Credit Cards		
Support Facilities	Offices		
	Laboratories		
	Container Storage		
	Security		
	Water		
Local Employees	Payment		
	Work Rules		

Task	Subtask	Responsibility	Cost
Drilling Services & Supplies	Electricity		
	Diesel		
	Gasoline		
	Mud Supplies		
	Mud Disposal		
	Cement		
	Crane		
	Forklift		
	Oil and Lubricants		
	Machine Shop		
	Welding		
Sanitation	Latrines		
	Trash Removal		
	Hazardous Material Containment		
	Hazardous Material Disposal		
Support Facilities	Offices		
	Laboratories		
	Container Storage		
	Security		
	Water		
	Electricity		
Health & Safety	Hospital		
	Ambulance		
	Fire Department		
	Safety Training (First Aid, CPR)		
	Site Emergency Plan		
	Evacuation Plan		
	Health Briefing / Innoculations		
Permits and Licenses	Work Permits		
	Drilling Permits		
	Air Quality Permits		
	Land / Water Use Permits		



P.O. Box 58857
Salt Lake City, UT
USA 84158-0857
www.dosecc.org