

①

MRN102

MENSURE 20 APR 2005

Investigations of The equation

$$\text{EQN 1} \quad t_{ij} = t_i^0 + \sum_k d_{ijk} S_k$$

note: I introduce the symbol  $w_i = 1$  for all  $i$  in order to "match indices" in the equation

so

$$\text{EQN 2} \quad t_{ij} = t_i^0 w_j + \sum_k d_{ijk} S_k$$

now eliminate  $t_i^0$ 

$$\sum_j \sum_j t_{ij} = N t_i^0 + \sum_k \sum_j d_{ijk} S_k \quad \text{with } N = \sum_j w_j$$

$$\text{solve: } t_i^0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j t_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_j d_{ijk} S_k \quad \text{eqn 3}$$

$$\text{sub: } t_{ij} - \frac{w_j}{N} \sum_k t_{ik} = \sum_k (d_{ijk} - \frac{w_j}{N} \sum_p d_{ipk}) S_k$$

$$= \sum_k \left( \sum_p \delta_{jp} d_{ipk} - \frac{w_j}{N} \sum_p d_{ipk} \right) S_k$$

$$= \sum_p \left( \delta_{jp} - \frac{w_j w_p}{N} \right) \left( \sum_k d_{ipk} S_k \right)$$

note addition of  $w_p = 1$  is just to match indices.  
and  $\delta_{jp}$  = Kronecker delta

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_p (N \delta_{jp} - w_j w_p) \left( \sum_k d_{ipk} S_k \right)$$

eqn 4

note matrixes ~~are~~ in the form

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_p B_{ip} C_{pj}$$

$$B_{ip} = \sum_k d_{ipk} S_k \quad \text{and} \quad C_{pj} = C_{jp} = N \delta_{jp} - w_j w_p$$

(2)

Note that  $C$  is the symmetric matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} (n-1) & -1 & -1 & -1 & \dots \\ -1 & (n-1) & -1 & -1 & \dots \\ -1 & -1 & (n-1) & -1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Now let's consider when  $BC=0$ . Clearly if  $B$  has constant rows then this is true:  $B = b_i w_p$  where  $b$  is an arbitrary vector.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_p B_{ip} C_{pj} = \sum_p b_i w_p (N \delta_{jp} - w_j w_p) \\ &= \sum_p b_i w_p N \delta_{jp} - \sum_p b_i w_j w_p^2 \\ &= N b_i w_j - N b_i w_j = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{note } \sum_p w_p^2 = N$$

Now let's construct the  $S_k$  that solves

$$B_{ip} = b_i w_p = \sum_k d_{ipk} S_k$$

Let's define  $d^{-1}$  to be the inverse of  $d$ , in the sense that  $\sum_i \sum_p d^{-1}_{qip} d_{ipk} = \delta_{qk}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_p d^{-1}_{qip} b_i w_p &= \sum_k \sum_i \sum_p d^{-1}_{qip} d_{ipk} S_k \\ &= \sum_k \delta_{qk} S_k = S_q \quad \text{(Eqn 5)} \end{aligned}$$

So any solution of the form  $S_q = \sum_i \sum_p d^{-1}_{qip} b_i w_p$  where  $b_i$  is an arbitrary vector is a null solution of the equation.

(3)

if a solution  $S_R^0$  is perturbed by adding a null solution

$$S_R = S_R^0 + S_R^{\text{NULL}} = S^{\text{new}}$$

and if the corresponding  $t_0^i$  is recalculated using equation 3,  $t_0^{i \text{ new}} = f(S^{\text{new}})$

Then these new solutions also satisfy equation 1.

⇒

Note that the existence of  $d^{-1}$  is implied by the equation  $t_{ij} = \sum_R d_{ijk} S_R$

(i.e. Eqn 1 without the  $t_0^i$  term) being

solvable. In the tomography case, that's the tomography w/o the source statistics case.

That seems like a fairly "weak" =

reasonable condition