

Appearance of the Data Resolution Matrix in Generalized Least Squares Formulas
 Bill Menke during a discussion with Zach Eilon
 February 17, 2024

Synopsis

I have previously shown for Generalized Least Squares (GLS) that formulas for the estimated model parameters \mathbf{m}^{est} and their covariance $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}^{est}}$ can be reformulated to highlight their dependence on the model resolution matrix $\mathbf{R}_G \equiv \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}$:

$$\mathbf{m}^{est} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{d}^{obs} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G)\mathbf{m}_A$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}^{est}} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{C}_d\mathbf{G}^{-gT} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G)\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}_A}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G)^T$$

Here, \mathbf{G}^{-g} is the GLS generalized inverse, \mathbf{d}^{obs} are the observed data and \mathbf{C}_d is their covariance and \mathbf{m}_A are the prior model parameters and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}_A}$ is their covariance. In this note, I show that analogous formulas involving the data resolution matrix $\mathbf{N}_G \equiv \mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-g}$ hold for the predicted data \mathbf{d}^{pre} and its covariance $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}^{pre}}$:

$$\mathbf{d}^{pre} = \mathbf{N}_G\mathbf{d}^{obs} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G)\mathbf{d}_A$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}^{pre}} = \mathbf{N}_G\mathbf{C}_d\mathbf{N}_G^T + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G)\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}_A}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G)^T$$

Here, $\mathbf{d}_A \equiv \mathbf{G}\mathbf{m}_A$ are the data implied by the prior information and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}_A}$ is their variance.

Derivation

The notation and general approach follow Menke (2014). The only the derivation of the equation relating \mathbf{d}^{pre} and \mathbf{N}_G are new (but the derivation of the equation relating \mathbf{m}^{est} and \mathbf{R}_G is streamlined a bit).

Let \mathbf{m} be a length- M model parameter vector, \mathbf{d} be a length- N data vector, \mathbf{G} be a $N \times M$ data kernel matrix satisfying $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ with covariance \mathbf{C}_d . Furthermore, suppose prior information $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{h}_A$ with covariance \mathbf{C}_h is available. The GLS solution is achieved by solving

$$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{h} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{F} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_d^{-1/2}\mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{C}_h^{-1/2}\mathbf{H} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_d^{-1/2}\mathbf{d}^{obs} \\ \mathbf{C}_h^{-1/2}\mathbf{h}_A \end{bmatrix}$$

by least squares

$$\mathbf{m}^{est} \equiv [\mathbf{F}^T\mathbf{F}]^{-1}\mathbf{F}^T\mathbf{f} = [\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{C}_d^{-1}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{C}_h^{-1}\mathbf{H}]^{-1}[\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{C}_d^{-1}\mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{C}_h^{-1}\mathbf{h}_A]$$

Defining $\mathbf{A} \equiv [\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{C}_d\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{C}_A]$ and generalized inverses $\mathbf{G}^{-g} \equiv \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{C}_d^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{-g} \equiv \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{C}_h^{-1}$, the estimated model parameters are

$$\mathbf{m}^{est} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{H}^{-g}\mathbf{h}_A$$

Suppose that we define the prior model parameters \mathbf{m}_A as those implied by the prior information acting alone

$$\mathbf{m}_A \equiv [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{h}_A$$

where it is understood that \mathbf{H} is to be augmented as needed with very weak smallness information, in order to prevent $[\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1}$ from being singular. Note that the case $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$, for which case \mathbf{h}_A are the prior model parameters, leads to no contradiction, as $\mathbf{m}_A = [\mathbf{C}_h^{-1}]^{-1} \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{h}_A = \mathbf{h}_A$. The definition of \mathbf{m}_A implies

$$[\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}] \mathbf{m}_A \equiv \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{h}_A$$

Substituting this expression into the formula for the estimated model parameters yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}^{est} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{h}_A \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}] \mathbf{m}_A \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting $\mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{G}] \mathbf{m}_A$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}^{est} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}] \mathbf{m}_A + \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{G}] \mathbf{m}_A - \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{G}] \mathbf{m}_A \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}] \mathbf{m}_A - \mathbf{A}^{-1} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{G}] \mathbf{m}_A \\ &= \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{m}_A - \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{m}_A \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\mathbf{m}^{est} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G) \mathbf{m}_A$$

where the model resolution matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}_G \equiv \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}$$

Thus, the estimated model parameters depend in the prior information only to the degree that \mathbf{R}_G departs from the identity matrix.

The predicted data is defined as

$$\mathbf{d}^{pre} \equiv \mathbf{G} \mathbf{m}^{est} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G) \mathbf{m}_A$$

The data implied by the prior information is defines as

$$\mathbf{d}_A \equiv \mathbf{G} \mathbf{m}_A$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{d}^{pre} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G) \mathbf{m}_A = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{obs} + \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{m}_A$$

So

$$\mathbf{d}^{pre} = \mathbf{N}_G \mathbf{d}^{obs} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G) \mathbf{d}_A$$

where the data resolution matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{N}_G \equiv \mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-g}$$

Thus, the predicted data depend on the data implied by the prior information only to the degree that \mathbf{N}_G departs from the identity matrix.

Standard error propagation yields the following covariance formulas:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}_A} = [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{C}_h \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H} [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1} = [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}_h^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}^{est}} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{C}_d \mathbf{G}^{-gT} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}_A} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_G)^T$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}_A} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{m}_A} \mathbf{G}^T$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}^{pre}} = \mathbf{N}_G \mathbf{C}_d \mathbf{N}_G^T + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{d}_A} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_G)^T$$

Reference

Menke, W., Review of the Generalized Least Squares Method, *Surveys in Geophysics* 36, 1-25, 2014.

The generalized least squares solution is $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}$ where $\mathbf{G}^{-g} = [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \epsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T$ and where ϵ is a ratio of variances. The resolution matrix is $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}$.