

## Correction to “Comparative performance of paleoclimate field and index reconstructions derived from climate proxies and noise-only predictors”

Eugene R. Wahl and Jason E. Smerdon

Received 1 May 2012; published 31 May 2012.

**Citation:** Wahl, E. R., and J. E. Smerdon (2012), Correction to “Comparative performance of paleoclimate field and index reconstructions derived from climate proxies and noise-only predictors,” *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L10711, doi:10.1029/2012GL052181.

[1] In the paper “Comparative performance of paleoclimate field and index reconstructions derived from climate proxies and noise-only predictors” by Eugene R. Wahl and Jason E. Smerdon (*Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L06703,

doi:10.1029/2012GL051086, 2012), one of the reported skill statistics was incorrectly identified. Specifically, the Pearson’s  $r^2$  statistic values reported for the climate field reconstruction (CFR) and shown in Figure 1 are for the spatial mean temperature reconstruction; they are not the average of the Pearson’s  $r^2$  values at the grid locations as indicated in the article text. This is a labeling error only. The results reported are otherwise accurate, and the analysis and conclusions of our study are unaffected.