Sea ice in the western Antarctic Peninsula region: Spatio-temporal variability from ecological and climate change perspectives
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\section*{ABSTRACT}

The Antarctic Peninsula region is undergoing rapid change: a warming in winter of almost 6 \textdegree C since 1950, the loss of six ice shelves, the retreat of 87\% of the marine glaciers, and decreases in winter sea-ice duration. Concurrently, there is evidence of ecosystem change along the western Antarctic Peninsula (wAP). Four new metrics of seasonal sea-ice variability were extracted from spatial maps of satellite derived daily sea-ice concentration: (a) day of advance, (b) day of retreat, (c) the total number of sea-ice days (between day of advance and retreat), and (d) the percent time sea-ice was present (or sea-ice persistence). The spatio-temporal variability describes distinct on-to-offshore and alongshore differences in ice–ocean marine habitats, characterized overall by a longer sea-ice season in coastal regions (6.8–7.9 months) versus a shorter sea-ice season over the shelf (4.1–5.3 months), with on-to-offshore differences increasing south-to-north. Large perturbations in the seasonality of the marine habitat occur in association with ENSO and Southern Annular Mode (SAM) variability. The local atmospheric response to these climate modes is largely a strengthening of the meridional winds during spring-to-autumn, which in turn affect the timing of the sea-ice retreat and subsequent advance. These perturbations are embedded in overall trends towards a later sea-ice advance, earlier retreat and consequently shorter sea-ice season, the impacts of which are expected to affect ecosystem functionality in the wAP region. A suite of ocean–atmosphere–ice interactions are described that are consistent with the amplified warming in late autumn, early winter.

\section{1. Introduction}

The seasonal and interannual variability of sea-ice affects many levels of the Antarctic marine ecosystem, from the timing and magnitude of seasonal primary production to the breeding success and survival of apex predators (Smith et al., 1995; Ross et al., 1996; Ducklow et al., 2007). This is consistent with the idea that the dominant influence causing variability in marine ecosystems is external physical forcing rather than internal biological mechanisms, which are the more dominant influences in terrestrial ecosystems (Steele, 1991). Therefore, understanding sea-ice variability, and the possible mechanisms influencing it, are essential aspects toward understanding changes in the polar marine ecosystem. This study evaluates seasonal sea-ice variability in the western Antarctic Peninsula (wAP) region, where there is strong evidence of rapid climate change over the last 50 years (Vaughan et al., 2003). Particular attention will be given to the area studied by the Palmer Antarctic Long-Term Ecological Research (PAL LTER) project (Fig. 1), which has conducted interdisciplinary research of the wAP marine ecosystem since 1990.

Passive microwave satellite remote sensing of polar oceans has provided continuous, full seasonal estimates of sea-ice concentration since 1979. These data are invaluable not only for characterizing sea-ice variability within the PAL LTER region but also for providing a longer and larger (Fig. 1) scale context for the PAL LTER. Previous studies of sea-ice variability in the PAL LTER region were based mostly on regional monthly averages of sea-ice coverage (Stammerjohn and Smith, 1996, 1997; Smith et al., 1998; Smith and Stammerjohn, 2001). Using these spatially averaged quantities, considerable variability in the annual cycle
of seasonal sea-ice coverage in the PAL LTER region was revealed (Fig. 2). Over 1979–2004 the onset of the autumn sea-ice advance was as early as March or as late as May. Similarly, the termination of the spring retreat was as early as October or as late as March. Consequently, the duration of the sea-ice season was anywhere from 5 (1989) to 12 (1980) months.

In addition to the high seasonal variability observed in the PAL LTER region, there also has been a strong and rapid warming of winter air temperature (almost a 6 °C increase since 1950) (King, 1994; King and Comiso, 2003; Vaughan et al., 2003), coincident with a trend towards decreased winter sea-ice duration in the wAP region (Smith and Stammerjohn, 2001; Vaughan et al., 2003; Parkinson, 2004; Stammerjohn et al., 2008). This is in contrast to weaker sea-ice trends observed elsewhere in the Southern Ocean except in the western Ross Sea, which shows strong positive trends in ice season duration (Yuan and Martinson, 2000; Parkinson, 2002; Liu et al., 2004; Stammerjohn et al., 2008). Stammerjohn et al. (2008) show that this decrease in the wAP sea-ice season is primarily due to a strong trend towards a later autumn advance and a somewhat weaker trend towards an earlier spring retreat (whereas in the western Ross Sea the increase in the ice season was due to trends towards an earlier advance and later retreat).

Coincident with the trend towards a shorter sea-ice season, there has been an increase in intra-seasonal variability between the 1980s and 1990s in the PAL LTER region (Fig. 2 B). Sea-ice anomalies in the 1980s persisted longer, resulting in one to several years of positive anomalies followed by one to several years of negative anomalies. This several year oscillation in the 1980s was consistent with observations of an Antarctic Circumpolar Wave (ACW), which appeared to operate on a 7–8 year periodicity (White and Peterson, 1996). This behavior also made it easy to refer to a given year as a high or low ice year. In contrast, sea-ice anomalies in the 1990s often switched sign during a single sea-ice season, thus precluding the simple definition of high or low ice year (Smith et al., 1998; Stammerjohn et al., 2003). In general, there was much less persistence in the monthly sea-ice extent anomalies in the 1990s, and the 7–8 year oscillation was no longer readily apparent.

Throughout the South Pacific and western South Atlantic sectors of the Southern Ocean studies have shown strong co-variability between sea ice and El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Simmonds and Jacka, 1995; Harangozo, 2000; Yuan and Martinson, 2000, 2001; Venegas et al., 2001; Kwok and Comiso, 2002; Meredith et al., 2004a; Turner, 2004; Yuan, 2004; Holland...
et al., 2005; Lefebvre and Goosse, 2008). In general, positive sea-ice-extent anomalies in the PAL LTER region co-occur with El Niño events, while negative sea-ice-extent anomalies co-occur with La Niña events (Fig. 2B). There also is increasing evidence that the variability in the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), also known as the Antarctic Oscillation, is a strong influence on ocean–atmosphere–ice interactions in the wAP region (Hall and Visbeck, 2002; van den Broeke and Lipzig, 2003; Lefebvre et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004; Meredith et al., 2004b, 2008; Lefebvre and Goosse, 2005; Marshall et al., 2006; Meredith and Hogg, 2006; Sen Gupta and England, 2006), particularly in the 1990s which saw a relatively high occurrence of the strong positive phase of SAM (Thompson et al., 2000; Thompson and Solomon, 2002; Marshall, 2003). Both ENSO- and SAM-related sea-ice variabilities in the wAP region will be further explored in this study.

Every austral summer since 1993, the PAL LTER has conducted research cruises in the wAP region (Fig. 1). In most years the PAL LTER region is free of sea ice in summer, but it may have just retreated several weeks, or several months, before the ship’s arrival. The question then is how does ecosystem variability, as measured over a suite of physical–biogeochemical variables every summer, relate to the previous winter’s sea ice cover? To begin examining this question, we needed to distill the seasonal information provided by the daily satellite data into ecologically meaningful yearly variables. As noted above, several components of the annual cycle of sea ice are believed to be ecologically relevant, which directed us to extract the following four sea-ice characteristics from the daily maps of sea-ice concentration: (a) the day of sea-ice advance, (b) the day of sea-ice retreat, (c) the total number of days sea ice was present (or total sea-ice days), and (d) the percent time sea ice was present (sea-ice persistence) during the defined winter period. With these four sea-ice characteristics the key components of the annual cycle of sea ice were mapped for the wAP region, showing geographically where sea ice arrived and departed earlier or later, where it persisted longer, or came and went throughout the winter period.

In this paper our primary focus is to examine sea-ice variability from 1992 to 2004 so that it can be related to in situ data collected within the PAL LTER program. However, given the changes we noted in reference to Fig. 2, we also will compare this time period to changes that occurred over the longer (1979–2004) satellite period and over the greater wAP region. There are four hydrographic and biogeochemical subdivisions in the wAP region based on the delineations of major frontal systems that control nutrient dynamics and hence phytoplankton production (Tréguer and Jacques, 1992): (1) a highly productive Coastal and Continental Shelf Zone (CCSZ), (2) a relatively productive Seasonal Ice Zone (SIZ, that area annually covered by sea ice), (3) a highly but intermittently productive zone within the SIZ that lies over the area of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF) just offshore of the shelf break, and (4) a less productive Permanently Open Ocean Zone (POOZ). For the sea-ice analyses, we define a sea-ice grid (Fig. 1), which delineates the minimum area covered by sea ice every year of 1992–2004, thus it does not include the POOZ but does include the other three subdivisions. Additionally, Smith et al. (2008) discusses all four of these hydrographic and biogeochemical subdivisions in the wAP region within the context of satellite derived phytoplankton biomass, winds and sea ice.

2. Data and methods

Satellite measurements of sea-ice concentration are from NASA’s Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR) and the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program’s (DMSP) Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSMI). Sea-ice concentrations were determined using the Bootstrap passive microwave algorithm (Comiso, 1995; Comiso, 2003), from which an SMMR-SSMI time series was created that minimizes the differences between the various SMMR and SSMI sensors (Comiso et al., 1997). This study uses the every-other-day SMMR and the daily SSMI time series (1979–2004) as well as the monthly averaged data as provided by the EOS Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) at the National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado in Boulder, Colorado (http://nsidc.org). The sea-ice concentration data are mapped to a 25 × 25-km grid on a polar stereographic projection and include a landmark and latitude/longitude pairs for geolocating pixels.

Four sea ice characteristics (day of advance, day of retreat, ice days, and ice persistence) were determined from the (quasi) daily images of SMMR-SSMI sea ice data. The year days of advance and retreat were determined at each grid point for each sea-ice year (defined here to begin and end at the mean summer sea-ice extent minimum as observed in the PAL LTER region: March 15 of current year to March 14 of following year). When sea-ice concentration increased past 15% (or for comparison, 50%) and remained above that threshold for at least 5 days, then the day of advance was identified as the first day of that 5-day sequence. Day of retreat was similarly defined as the last day of the sea-ice season that sea-ice concentration was above the given threshold for at least 5 consecutive days. If sea-ice concentration never decreased below the given threshold for the year in question (i.e. remained annually ice covered at that threshold), then day of advance and retreat were set to the lower (March 15) and upper limits (March 14 of following year), respectively. If sea-ice concentration never exceeded the given threshold for at least 5 days, then all four sea-ice variables were set to zero, i.e. the value for open ocean.

Ice days are the number of days between day of advance and day of retreat when sea-ice concentration exceeded the given threshold. The number of sea-ice days can be less than the interval between day of advance and retreat if (1) the ice-edge advanced then retreated in an oscillatory fashion (usually during the autumn advance or spring retreat), or (2) openings occurred within the pack ice during periods of divergence (e.g., by winds, tides, currents). This difference is captured by the last sea-ice characteristic, sea-ice persistence, which is the percent time sea ice is present within the interval between day of advance and retreat.

The sea-ice characteristics were processed on the original satellite polar stereographic grid (25 km by 25 km), then re-mapped into the Ice and PAL LTER grids. The Ice grid has a cell size of 50 km (on-to-offshore) by 100 km (along-shore), while the PAL LTER grid has a cell size of 40 km (on-to-offshore) by 100 km (along-shore). Given the higher resolution and different projection of the satellite data, the extracted information (e.g., day of advance) represents just one yearly value per grid cell for a total of 13 years of data (1992–2004). We present spatial maps of both the climatology and yearly anomalies, which show, for each grid cell, the 13-year mean and yearly departure from the 13-year mean, respectively.

Further quantification and insight into the interannual variability and its spatial structure is obtained via classical Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which decomposes the data into orthogonal modes. The spatial structure of these modes is contained in the empirical orthogonal function (EOF), which is calculated from the sample covariance matrix, where the lower order EOFs represent spatially-coherent structures whose shapes are preserved through time. The time-varying amplitudes of these
shapes (the full modal structure of the EOFs) are then calculated; these are the principal components (PCs). Martinson et al. (2008) give further details of the PCA analysis employed on the PAL LTER data. Here we show only the first (gravest) mode, which describes the largest amount of the data variance.

In this study we also compared sea-ice variability to ENSO and SAM variability. The ENSO index used is the Niño 3.4 sea-surface temperature index consisting of averaged eastern equatorial Pacific sea-surface temperature for 5°N–5°S, 170–120°W (Cane et al., 1986) (available at http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/docfind/databrief/cat-index.html). However, we also compared our results to the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) that consists of the difference between the standardized sea-level pressures (SLPs) at Tahiti and Darwin (available at the IRI website given above). In general, we obtain similar results when using either the Niño3.4 or SOI index. The SAM index used here is an observation-based index provided by Gareth Marshall (available at http://www.nerc-bas.ac.uk/icd/gjma/sam.html) (Marshall, 2003). We also use 10-m wind and SLP data (1990–2004) of the wAP region extracted from numerically analyzed data of the National Center of Environmental Prediction and National Center for Atmospheric Research Reanalysis (NNR) Project (Kalnay et al., 1996).

Fig. 3. Spatial climatology maps of (A) day of advance (year day), (B) day of retreat (year day), (C) ice days (total days) and (D) ice persistence (%). The black box outlines the PAL LTER Grid, and bathymetry is contoured (in gray) at 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 m depth levels. Grid cells are in gray (50 × 100 km); where there are no grid cells (e.g., lower right corner, around land), values are spatially interpolated.
3. Results

3.1. Mean and temporally varying seasonal sea-ice patterns

The 1992–2004 climatologies of the four sea-ice characteristics within the wAP region show both strong on-to-offshore and along-shore differences (Fig. 3). Sea-ice advance is earlier and retreat later both inshore and south compared to offshore and north, and these differences in the timing of advance and retreat manifest as a longer sea-ice season in coastal areas versus a shorter sea season over the shelf, again with on-to-offshore differences increasing northward. For example, in the northern part of the PAL LTER area the on-to-offshore difference in the timing of ice advance is about 2.6 months, retreat about 3.5 months, thus explaining the approximate 6.1 month difference in the number of ice days on-to-offshore. This is in contrast to an on-to-offshore difference in the number of ice days of only 2.5 months for the southern part of the grid.

The coastal regions within the PAL LTER area are further distinguished by varying sea-ice persistence (Fig. 3 D) and overall year-to-year variability. Despite a relatively long sea-ice season in the northern coastal region (i.e. the Palmer Basin area inshore of the 500 and 600 lines), there is lower sea-ice persistence here, indicating frequent openings of the sea-ice cover, as well as higher year-to-year variability. This is in contrast to high persistence and low variability observed elsewhere along the coast. We also examined the timing of sea-ice advance and retreat at concentrations greater than 50% (not shown) as an indicator of the arrival of more consolidated pack ice conditions. The temporal lag, between when the ice edge first arrived, and when consolidated ice conditions next appeared, was greatest in the northern and central (i.e. inshore of the 400 line) coastal regions within the PAL LTER area. Thus, the longer sea-ice season in the northern and central coastal regions was due to a longer duration of low (15–50%) sea ice concentration. In contrast, the southern coastal region within the PAL LTER area (i.e. Marguerite Bay vicinity inshore of the 200 and 300 lines) shows the highest sea-ice persistence and the longest sea ice season with concentrations greater than 50% (relative to the rest of the PAL LTER area).

The 13 yearly anomalies for day of advance, day of retreat, and ice days (Fig. 4) illustrate spatially the year-to-year variability about the climatology. The most notable anomalies within the PAL LTER grid for day of advance (Fig. 4A) are the two strong negative anomalies in 1992 and 2002 (indicating early autumn advances) and the three consecutive large positive anomalies from 1999 to 2001 (indicating late autumn advances). In general, there seems to be a grid-wide temporal shift from mostly negative anomalies in 1992–1997 to mostly positive anomalies from 1998 to 2001 (i.e. a shift towards a later autumn advance). Martinson et al. (2008) also report similar temporal shifts in upper ocean bulk properties. In contrast, the yearly anomalies for day of retreat (Fig. 4B) show no indications of a temporal grid-wide shift and in general show more variability. The yearly anomalies for ice

![Fig. 4. Spatial anomaly maps for (A) day of advance, (B) day of retreat, and (C) ice days. The black box outlines the PAL LTER Grid. For day of retreat (B) the positive (red) anomaly in the 1998 map (left edge, middle) traces an iceberg track. The iceberg trace is still visible in the subsequent 1999 advance (A), seen as the negative (blue) anomaly upper left. Grid cells are in gray (50 x 100 km); where there are no grid cells (e.g., lower right corner, around land), values are spatially interpolated.](image)
days (Fig. 4C) integrate the anomalies in both advance and retreat, and like the yearly anomalies for day of advance, there is an indication of a temporal grid-wide shift, illustrated here as a shift to strong negative anomalies (fewer ice days) from 1998 to 2001. The timing of this shift appears to begin in the autumn of 1998 and ends, or is interrupted, in the summer of 2002. (Since our time series goes only to 2004, there is no way to assess whether this shift is long term or not.) This grid-wide temporal shift, as well as the rapid shifts from high to low (1997–1998) and low to high (2001–2002) sea-ice conditions, will be discussed further in Section 3.3 within the context of ENSO and SAM variability.

The dominant spatio-temporal sea ice anomaly patterns revealed in the first PCA mode (Fig. 5) spatially distill the observed sea-ice variability over 13 years (Fig. 4), and depending on the sea-ice characteristic, the first PCA mode explains 47–70% of the overall temporal variance. Thus, the maps of the first EOF show the most frequently occurring spatial anomaly patterns that vary year-to-year according to the PC time series. For example, year 1998 had the highest negative PC value for ice days, and in conjunction with the EOF anomaly map, was characterized by high negative anomalies offshore but only moderately negative anomalies inshore (relative to offshore), while year 2002 had high positive anomalies offshore but again only moderately positive anomalies inshore. In general, the PC time series indicate high variability during 1998–2002 and 2004 as compared to other years. Spatially, the maps of the first EOF for sea-ice retreat, ice days and ice persistence show similar on-to-offshore patterns, with highest variability over the shelf, less variability near the coast. In contrast, the map of the first EOF for advance is distinctly different showing highest variability mid-shelf in the northern part of the PAL LTER grid and in the south coastal region (i.e. Marguerite Bay vicinity).

For the PAL LTER region and for the Southern Ocean as a whole, there is little temporal co-variability between the autumn sea-ice advance and the spring sea-ice retreat, indicating that anomalies are not coherently generated over the autumn-to-spring (i.e. over winter) period (Stammerjohn et al., 2008). In contrast, there is more co-variability between the spring sea-ice retreat and subsequent autumn sea ice advance, indicating that more often than not, temporally coherent anomalies are generated over the spring-to-autumn (i.e. over summer) period. Also there is more spatial coherency on-to-offshore in the autumn sea-ice advance than there is in the spring sea-ice retreat. This may in part be due to the fact that the equatorward expansion of sea ice during advance is unconstrained physically (no continental boundary to the immediate north), thus is able to respond coherently to cooling air temperatures from south to north as the autumn season progresses into winter. In addition, sea-ice advance (more so than sea-ice retreat) is sensitive to rapid changes in atmospheric conditions given the ability to rapidly vent ocean heat, especially during cold air outbursts. In contrast, the spring sea-ice retreat is constrained both physically by the Antarctic continent, and by increasing sea-ice thickness to the south. Finally, the temporal variability in sea-ice advance, more so than sea-ice retreat, is more strongly correlated to temporal variability in ice
As will be shown in Sections 3.3 and 3.4, the temporal variability in sea-ice advance appears to more clearly capture climate signals given its sensitivity to ocean–atmospheric variability and its free unconstrained expansion.

3.2. Seasonal ice–ocean co-variability

The melting of sea ice every spring constitutes a cold ($-1.8^\circ$C), freshwater (6 ppt, average sea ice salinity) input into the ocean surface mixed-layer. It therefore follows that the variability in sea-ice has some influence on the salt and heat content of the upper ocean summer mixed layer. To test these relationships, we use two summer mixed layer ocean bulk properties defined and described by Martinson and Iannuzzi (1998) and Martinson et al. (2008): (1) the seasonal salt deficit (SDS), whereby a positive SDS anomaly indicates a larger volume of freshwater content (i.e. larger salt deficit) relative to the higher salinity waters below, and (2) the seasonal thermal barrier (TBS), whereby a positive TBS anomaly indicates higher heat content, thus a stronger barrier to the cold waters below. In general, there is higher salt (lower SDS, Fig. 6A) and heat content (higher TBS, Fig. 6C) offshore versus inshore, together with deeper mixed layers (not shown, however the spatial pattern is very similar to the TBS climatology). Along the coast, there are distinct relative differences: the northern coastal region shows higher salt but lower heat content and shallower mixed layers, while the southern coastal region shows lower salt (high SDS) but higher heat content and deeper mixed layers.

Throughout most of the PAL LTER area the temporal variability in SDS co-varied most strongly and widely with the previous...
winter’s number of ice days (Fig. 6B), whereby higher SDS (greater freshwater content) was associated with a longer sea-ice season in the previous winter. The exceptions are the northern and central coastal regions and to a lesser degree the southern shelf break region (which becomes a more obvious exception when tracking sea-ice concentrations at the 50% threshold). Additional observations indicate that the northern coastal region is strongly influenced by glacial meltwater input (Dierssen et al., 2002), while the southern shelf break region is most susceptible to intrusions of (salty) upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) (Martinson et al., 2008). Outside these regional exceptions, the high correlation between SDS and ice days indicates that the melting of sea ice is the dominant contributor determining the salt content, such that the other processes influencing seasonal mixed layer properties (e.g., precipitation, cross-pycnal mixing) are not large enough in magnitude to significantly change the seasonal salt content established by the ablation of the previous winter’s sea-ice cover (see also Martinson, 1990). The strong relationship between SDS and ice days also infers that the number of ice days can serve as a proxy for ice volume: a longer (shorter) ice-covered period infers thicker (thinner) sea ice (via both thermodynamic and mechanical thickening processes), and thicker (thinner) in situ sea-ice melt is associated with higher (lower) SDS, i.e. freshwater content.

The temporal variability in seasonal mixed-layer heat content (TBS) was most related to surface air temperature variability in spring–summer, which in turn generally co-varied with the timing of sea ice retreat (though not always; see Section 3.4). Thus, lower
TBS (i.e. colder seasonal mixed layer; negative anomaly) was often associated with a later spring sea-ice retreat (positive anomaly) and vice-versa, resulting in negative co-variability between TBS and sea-ice retreat (Fig. 6D). Or, in other words, the shorter (longer) the time between when sea ice retreated and TBS was measured (in Jan–Feb), the less (more) time to warm by insolation. This relationship was strongest for sea-ice retreat at the 50% sea-ice concentration threshold (Fig. 6D) versus the 15% threshold (not shown), indicating that heating by insolation already begins when the pack ice first starts to break up. In addition, the strongest correlations are found mid-shelf in the northern half of the grid and in the coastal region of the southern half of the grid. Thus, the regional exceptions (north coast, south shelf break) are similar to those observed between SDS and ice days, though different in their spatial extent (e.g., low correlations in the south shelf break region extend to mid-shelf).

In summary, the main on-to-offshore, and alongshore, seasonal ice–ocean patterns are described for the PAL LTER region (Table 1) by distinguishing two sub-regions over the shelf (north and south) and three along the coast (north, central and south). A sixth sub-region, lying offshore of the shelf break, also is described for regional context and is further discussed by Smith et al. (2008) who show this region to be influenced by the SACCf. Mean statistics for each of the five PAL LTER sub-regions are provided as Supplementary Online Material (Table S1).

In general, the north coast sub-region is distinguished by a long season of low sea-ice concentration, as well as frequent openings and closings of the sea-ice cover. In turn, this high variability in sea ice of low concentration is related to high variability in heat content (high TBS): the variable sea-ice conditions create a highly variable upper ocean light environment and thus variable heating by insolation. Low sea-ice concentration also indicates that freshwater input from sea-ice melt is minimal here (relative to the other coastal regions). As mentioned above, this area is strongly influenced by glacial meltwater input (Dierssen et al., 2002), examples of which are the summers of 1997, 1998 and 2001 when the previous winter’s ice days were low (Fig. 4C), but freshwater content in the summer surface mixed layer was high (high SDS) (Martinson et al., 2008). These same summers showed very warm air temperature, which would have contributed to significant amounts of glacial meltwater input, thus contributing to the high freshwater content. These influences of a glacial meltwater influence are supported by analyses of water chemistry (Carrillo, pers. comm.) and water turbidity (Dierssen et al., 2002).

The central coast sub-region is distinguished by numerous archipelagos and by a long, persistent sea-ice season. The islands appear to aid and abet the retention of both sea ice and freshwater ice (e.g., icebergs and brash ice). The characteristically high mixed layer freshwater content is therefore the result of large volumes of ice melting in situ, with potentially large, but varying, contributions from freshwater ice. Spatial variability in mixed-layer heat and salt content also shows this region to be a transition zone between the high variability in heat content in the north coast sub-region and the high variability in salt content in the south coast sub-region.

The south coast sub-region is distinguished by being the entrance to Marguerite Bay. It also is distinguished by having the highest mixed-layer freshwater content across the grid, indicating large volumes of sea-ice melting in situ. Indeed, the mean number of ice days (greater than 50% sea ice concentration) and sea ice persistence are highest here. Glacial meltwater contributions, though likely present, especially during warm summers, appear to be minimal relative to the contribution from in situ ice melt.

The north and south shelf regions are generally characterized by high mixed layer heat and salt content, high correlations

---

**Table 1**

Summary of mean (1992–2004) ice-ocean characteristics for five sub-regions within the PAL LTER area, as well as for the area just offshore of the PAL LTER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Offshore of shelf</th>
<th>Shelf</th>
<th>Coast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>North–South (200–600 lines)</td>
<td>North (400–600 lines)</td>
<td>North (500–600 lines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Late advance (6/23)</td>
<td>1. Latest advance (6/28)</td>
<td>1. Early advance (5/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Earliest retreat (11/2)</td>
<td>2. Early retreat (11/9)</td>
<td>2. Late retreat (1/16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Shortest ice season (3.8)</td>
<td>3. Short ice season (4.1)</td>
<td>3. Long ice season (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Short consolidated (3.3)</td>
<td>4. Short consolidated (3.3)</td>
<td>4. Moderate consolidated (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. SACCF influence</td>
<td>5. Frequent opening/closing</td>
<td>5. Frequent opening/closing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Central (400 line)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Early advance (5/19)</td>
<td>1. Moderate advance (6/5)</td>
<td>1. Early advance (5/24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Longest ice season (7.9)</td>
<td>3. Moderate ice season (5.3)</td>
<td>3. Long ice season (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Long consolidated (5.5)</td>
<td>4. Moderate consolidated (4.7)</td>
<td>4. Longest consolidated (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. UCDW influence</td>
<td>7. Large in situ sea ice melt</td>
<td>7. Glacial meltwater influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>South (200–300 lines)</td>
<td>South (200–300 lines)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Moderate advance (6/5)</td>
<td>1. Early advance (5/24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Moderate ice season (5.3)</td>
<td>3. Long ice season (6.8)</td>
<td>3. Long ice season (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Moderate consolidated (4.7)</td>
<td>4. Longest consolidated (5.9)</td>
<td>4. Longest consolidated (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. UCDW influence</td>
<td>7. Large in situ sea ice melt</td>
<td>7. Glacial meltwater influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station</td>
<td>350–200</td>
<td>200–070</td>
<td>070–000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative qualifiers highlight sub-regional differences. Mean days of advance/retreat are given as month/day, and mean ice days are given in decimal month. Consolidated refers to portion of ice season with concentrations greater than 50% (in decimal months). Opening/closing refers to opening/closing of pack ice after initial ice-edge advance and before final retreat. Salt and heat content refer to integrative quantities of the summer surface mixed layer (see Martinson et al., 2008). SACCF: Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front; UCDW: Upper Circumpolar Deep Water.
between summer salt content and previous winter’s number of ice days, and low variability in summer surface mixed layer properties. The south shelf region is distinguished from the north by a longer sea-ice season and evidence of frequent intrusions of UCDW (Martinson et al., 2008). Finally, the region offshore of the shelf break is distinguished by having the shortest sea-ice season (relative to the PAL LTER area), low sea-ice persistence and evidence of an SACCF influence (Smith et al., 2008).

3.3. ENSO- and SAM-related ice-atmosphere anomalies

Atmospheric circulation variability in the wAP region is strongly influenced by both ENSO and SAM variability (references given in Introduction). The high-latitude ENSO response in the South Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean is characterized by positive pressure anomalies roughly between 60–70°S and 90–130°W (i.e. upstream of the wAP region) during El Niño and negative pressure anomalies during La Niña (e.g. Yuan, 2004; Lachlan-Cope and Connolley, 2006; Meredith et al., 2008). SAM variability is characterized by zonally symmetric atmospheric pressure anomalies of opposite sign between Antarctica and mid-latitudes (e.g., Thompson and Wallace, 2000), whereby positive atmospheric pressure anomalies at mid-latitudes together with negative anomalies at high latitudes indicate a +SAM and vice-versa for a −SAM. Although SAM is defined as an annular mode, there is a strong non-annular regional component (Hall and Visbeck, 2002; van den Broeke and Lipitzig, 2003; Lefebvre et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004; Lefebvre and Goosse, 2005; Meredith et al., 2008). The non-annular component shows pressure anomalies roughly within the same area as described for ENSO above, with positive anomalies during −SAM (similar to El Niño) and negative anomalies during +SAM (similar to La Niña).

Therefore, the variability in SLP anomalies averaged over 60–70°S and 65–100°W will have some association with the regional high-latitude atmospheric response to ENSO and/or SAM variability. Over 1979–2004 the month-to-month co-variability between ENSO and regional SLP (Fig. 7A), and SAM and regional SLP (Fig. 7B), was moderately strong (R = +0.44, R = −0.61, respectively). However, these relationships strengthened over 1992–2004, particularly during spring (Sep–Nov): R = +0.53 and −0.73, respectively. Similarly, the month-to-month co-variability between ENSO and SAM, though weak over 1979–2004 (R = −0.14; Fig. 7C), strengthened over 1992–2004, again particularly during spring (R = −0.60) (see next section and also Fogt and Bromwich, 2006; Stammerjohn et al., 2008). In general, negative SLP anomalies to the west of the AP region (as can occur with La Niña and/or +SAM) indicate regional cyclonic (clockwise flowing) atmospheric circulation, with warm northerly winds over the wAP, thus generally unfavorable conditions for sea ice. In contrast, positive SLP anomalies to the west of the AP region (as can occur with El Niño and/or −SAM) indicate regional

![Fig. 7. 1979–2004 monthly time series of (A) Niño 3.4 index (black) and wAP SLP (blue), (B) SAM index (black) and wAP SLP (blue), and (C) Niño 3.4 (black) and SAM (blue) indices. The SAM index and wAP SLP were smoothed with a 7-month running mean. All time series are monthly anomalies normalized by the standard deviation. The wAP SLP data are from the region 60–70°S and 65–100°W.](image-url)
anticyclonic (counterclockwise flowing) atmospheric circulation, with cold southerly winds over the wAP, thus generally favorable conditions for sea ice.

The location of the ice edge is sensitive to the strength and direction of the meridional wind, particularly in the wAP region (Stammerjohn et al., 2003; Turner et al., 2003; Harangozo, 2004, 2006; Harangozo and Connolley, 2006; Massom et al., 2006, 2008). The anomalies in the spring sea-ice retreat and subsequent autumn sea-ice advance are shown together with monthly wind anomalies for the period 1997–1998 to 2002–2003 (Fig. 8). This period coincides with strong transitions from El Niño (and slightly –SAM) conditions in 1997–1998 to La Niña and +SAM conditions from the autumn of 1998 through to the spring of 2001 (though somewhat weakened in spring 2000 when SAM went negative), then back to El Niño and –SAM conditions in 2002–2003. From the autumn of 1998 through to the spring–summer of 2001 the spring sea-ice retreat was often early, while the autumn advance was without a doubt late, consistent with the inferences from Fig. 7 that persistent northerly winds occurred in response to the sustained La Niña and +SAM conditions during this period.

The spring–summer of 2001–2002 is particularly noteworthy in that some of the strongest northerly winds in the wAP region were experienced from September to February. These winds facilitated an early sea-ice retreat offshore but a late sea-ice retreat inshore due to the compaction of sea ice against the Antarctic Peninsula that dynamically thickened sea ice up to 20 m in places (Massom et al., 2006). Thus, the ocean–atmosphere–ice–ecosystem responses on-to-offshore were varied and complex, all

Fig. 8. (left column) Day of retreat anomalies with November wind anomalies overlaid; (right column) day of advance anomalies with May wind anomalies overlaid for (A) and (B) 1997–1998, (C) and (D) 1998–1999, (E) and (F) 1999–2000, (G) and (H) 2000–2001, (I) and (J) 2001–2002, and (K) and (L) 2002–2003. As for Figs. 4A and B, there is an anomalous trace caused by an iceberg in the 1998 sea-ice retreat (C, red squiggle) and 1999 sea ice advance (D, blue squiggle).
of which is described in detail by Massom et al. (2006). In addition, Martinson et al. (2008) show for 1998–2004 a positive trend in upper-ocean heat content within the PAL LTER area due to increases in the presence of UCDW on the shelf. However, January 2002 was a particularly large positive anomaly in ocean heat content, an outlier even with respect to the positive trend. The exceptionally strong northerly winds in the spring of 2001, as well as in the three preceding springs from 1998 to 2000, are implicated in causing the increased intrusions of UCDW on the shelf (and thus the increased upper-ocean heat content) in the late 1990s (Martinson et al., 2008). These wind-driven intrusions, co-occurring with wind-driven anomalies in sea-ice retreat and subsequent advance, are in turn associated with the high-latitude atmospheric response to ENSO Nin˜o3.4 index (1979–2004 monthly, 
\[ R = 0.28; \text{annual, } R = 0.47; \text{and (d) 10-year running correlations show that co-variability between PAL LTER sea-ice extent and ENSO decreased into the 1990s and that co-variability between Faraday/ Vernadsky air temperature and ENSO was generally low both before and after the 1980s (similar results to (b) and (d) are obtained when the SOI is used).}

Concurrent with decreased climate co-variability in the 1990s is increased intra-seasonal variability in PAL LTER monthly sea-ice extent (Smith et al., 1998; Smith and Stammerjoh, 2001; Stammerjohn et al., 2008). The increase in intra-seasonal variability between the 1980s and 1990s (Fig. 2) is captured by the degree of persistence in monthly sea-ice extent anomalies, which decreased from 12 to 13 months in the 1980s to 2 months in the 1990s (based on autocorrelation analysis). Increased intra-seasonal variability is largely a result of increased variability in the timing of sea-ice advance and retreat in the 1990s.

In contrast to the analyses of monthly sea-ice extent and ENSO, correlations between the timing of sea-ice advance (as captured by PCA mode 1; Fig. 5A) versus seasonal averages of the ENSO index show increased correlations into the 1990s (Fig. 10E, lower solid curve). On the other hand, correlations between the timing of sea-ice retreat and ENSO (Fig. 10E, upper solid curve) are stronger in the 1980s, then show a slight decrease into the 1990s, but still remain higher than the correlations shown for monthly sea-ice extent (Fig. 10D). Given the increased intra-seasonal variability of sea ice in the wAP region, monthly sea-ice extent may not be the best variable for examining an ENSO relationship. The results presented here on sea-ice advance and retreat, in addition to results from Stammerjohn et al. (2008), seem to indicate that sea ice sensitivity to ENSO variability is better captured by restricting our focus to the periods (seasons) of sea-ice advance and retreat.

Trends in sea-ice retreat and subsequent advance are consistent with observed changes in ENSO and SAM variability in the 1990s: the high-latitude atmospheric response to ENSO intensified during austral spring–summer (e.g., Fogt and Bromwich, 2006) and SAM became more positive during summer–autumn (Marshall, 2003; Stammerjohn et al., 2008). The strengthening of the high-latitude atmospheric circulation in the 1990s during large ENSO events appears to be related to stronger and more sustained periods of co-variability between ENSO and SAM during spring–to-autumn. Concurrently, the positive trend in SAM (Thompson et al., 2000; Marshall, 2003) is implicated in asymmetrically strengthening the high-latitude response to La Niña over El Niño events. Stammerjohn et al. (2008) show that the episodic loss of sea ice during spring–to-autumn in the southern Bellingshausen region coincided with La Niña and/or +SAM events. The negative impacts resulting from these events resulted in remarkably large 26-year changes in the greater southern Bellingshausen Sea region: sea ice is retreating 31±10 days earlier and advancing 54±9 days later, resulting in a decrease of 85±20 annual sea-ice days over 1979–2004. The complete loss of summer sea ice in the southern Bellingshausen in 1998–1999 and 1999–2000 quite dramatically reflects the severe impacts resulting from co-occurring La Niña and +SAM events.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Ocean–atmosphere–ice interactions within the context of AP warming

The strongest trends in surface air temperature in the AP region are during autumn and winter (King, 1994; King and Comiso, 2003; Vaughan et al., 2003), with July July showing the strongest trend: a 6.5°C increase over 1950–2005 (http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/met/gjma/temps.html). Concurrently, the strongest trend in sea ice in the greater wAP region is a later sea-ice advance in autumn/early winter. Delayed sea-ice advance in the wAP region has been associated with increased northerly winds (Fig. 8). The increased northerly winds infer that the ice-edge advance is hampered by the constant advection of sea ice.
southeastward toward the coast. Without an insulating sea-ice cover, the ocean heat flux to the atmosphere dramatically increases. Thus, the trend towards a later sea-ice advance is (1) an indicator of increased northerly winds, which advect warm air into the region from lower latitudes, and (2) facilitates increased ocean heat flux, which vents ocean heat to the overlying atmosphere. The increase in both warm air advection and open-ocean heat flux would contribute to, and/or amplify, warming in the AP region in autumn/early winter, as suggested by others (Vaughan et al., 2003; Meredith and King, 2005; Harangozo, 2006). In particular, the ice–ocean response serves as a strong positive feedback on what is believed to be a regional expression of global warming.

In addition, there is the potential for inter-seasonal feedbacks well into winter. The trend towards a later sea-ice advance (and somewhat earlier sea-ice retreat) results in decreased winter sea-ice days. A shorter sea-ice season implies less time for sea ice to thicken (both thermodynamically and mechanically). The strong co-variability between the summer mixed layer freshwater content and the previous winter’s ice days (Fig. 6) also infers that the number of ice days serves as a proxy for ice volume. Additionally, a trend towards decreased sea-ice concentration (e.g. Liu et al., 2004) and a seasonally more variable sea-ice cover (Fig. 2B) imply thinner sea ice and/or increased lead fraction. Decreased winter sea-ice duration, concentration, thickness and/or increased lead fraction are changes that would continue to drive
an increased ocean heat flux to the overlying atmosphere throughout winter (Martinson et al., 2008).

Examples of ice-atmosphere co-variability in the PAL LTER region (Fig. 11) lend further support to the working hypothesis described above. Beginning in spring (Sep–Nov), winds strongly co-vary with the spring–summer sea-ice retreat in the PAL LTER coastal region ($R = +0.87$) such that strong winds are associated with a late coastal sea-ice retreat, and vice-versa for weak winds (Fig. 11A). The implication is that the strong spring winds dynamically thicken the sea ice via compaction against the coast (as described by Massom et al., 2006), and the dynamically thickened sea ice then takes longer to melt (retreat). In turn, the variability in the spring–summer coastal sea-ice retreat strongly co-varies with coastal summer (Dec–Feb) air temperature ($R = −0.72$) such that a late spring–summer coastal sea-ice retreat induced by strong spring winds is associated with cool summer coastal air temperature, and vice-versa for an early coastal sea-ice retreat (Fig. 11B). In autumn (Mar–May) winds strongly co-vary with sea-ice advance over the shelf ($R = +0.61$) such that high winds are associated with a late autumn sea-ice advance, and vice-versa for low winds (Fig. 11C). In turn, the autumn sea-ice advance over the shelf strongly co-varies with
winter (Jun–Aug) coastal air temperature \( R = +0.79 \) such that a late autumn sea-ice advance induced by strong northerly winds is associated with warm winter air temperature (Fig. 11D). The correlation between sea-ice days and winter air temperature is not quite as strong \( R = 0.50 \) as that shown for sea-ice advance and winter air temperature (Fig. 11D), but may be suggestive of the continued influence of ice–ocean interactions on air temperature throughout the winter (e.g., less ice days, more ocean heat flux to the overlying atmosphere).

4.2. Ice–ecosystem interactions and change

The central hypothesis of the PAL LTER states that the seasonal and interannual variability of sea ice affects all levels of the Antarctic marine ecosystem, from the timing and magnitude of seasonal primary production to the breeding success and survival of apex predators. In addressing the former (seasonal primary production), the timing of the spring sea-ice retreat in the wAP region has some association with when and where the spring-summer phytoplankton blooms occur (Garibotti et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2008), but these associations are not always consistent across the wAP region, particularly off-to-onshore. For example, sea-ice retreat was late offshore of the shelf break during Oct–Nov in 1997 and 2002 (i.e. was still over the SACCF region in November), and consequently the offshore bloom did not occur. Subsequent to the late retreat offshore, the retreat over the inshore shelf area was relatively early, and chl-a biomass concentrations were low in Jan–Feb of 1998 and 2003 (Smith et al., 2008), presumably due to a lack of a well-developed ice-edge bloom. Therefore, a late retreat offshore of the shelf break region and an early retreat over the shelf were associated with low pigment biomass in both of these locations.
In contrast, the retreat in 1995 and 2001 was early offshore of the shelf break and late inshore, and subsequently the chl-a concentration within the PAL LTER in Jan 1996 and 2002 were two of the highest grid averages measured during 1994–2004 (Vernet et al., 2008). The pigment biomass concentrations also were high offshore of the shelf break in 2001, and we presume in 1995 as well, but SeaWiFs data only became available starting in 1997. In further contrast, the lowest chl-a concentrations detected within the PAL LTER were in Jan 1999 and the previous spring retreat was early across the entire wAP region. But, despite the low concentrations detected within the PAL LTER region in Jan 1999, the SeaWiFs imagery shows a well-developed bloom offshore of the shelf break that started as early as October, presumably in response to the earlier sea-ice retreat for this location. Therefore, the associations between anomalies in the timing of the spring sea-ice retreat and phytoplankton biomass concentration are spatially and seasonally mixed. In general, however, these various studies indicate that an early sea-ice retreat offshore of the shelf break favors a spring bloom in this location, whereas a later sea-ice retreat over the shelf and along the coast favors a summer bloom in that location. Massom et al. (2006) give further examples of complex ice–ecosystem interactions that resulted from anomalous ice–atmosphere interactions in 2001–2002 that in turn resulted in strong off-to-onshore sea-ice retreat anomalies that had both positive and negative impacts on the marine ecosystem.

Though there appear to be clear associations between the timing of sea-ice retreat and phytoplankton biomass, other associations with higher trophic levels (e.g., zooplankton, seabirds) are more complex. There are indications that fewer sea-ice days, when coupled with an early sea-ice retreat, may be unfavorable for krill reproduction (but favorable for other zooplankton species such as Salps) (Ross et al., 2008). Fewer sea-ice days, if indicative of sea-ice thickness, also may be unfavorable for juvenile krill, given that a thicker, rafted sea-ice cover provides a better underwater refuge (Quetin et al., 1996; Frazer et al., 1997; Ross et al., 2004; Massom et al., 2006).

Consequently, any decreases in krill recruitment or availability will adversely affect predator populations (e.g., Adélie penguins) via changes in predator foraging and breeding performance (Fraser and Hofmann, 2003). Increased occurrences of earlier sea-ice retreat are associated with increased storminess and precipitation, conditions unfavorable for Adélie penguin egg laying (Fraser and Patterson, 1997; Patterson et al., 2003; Massom et al., 2006). Deep snow at penguin nesting colonies has been associated with increased egg mortality caused by the formation of snowmelt pools at the nest. Other ice-mediated factors like foraging trip durations during spring breeding, food availability to fledging chicks in summer, ice-edge foraging in winter, affect Adélie penguin recruitment and mortality. The observation that Adélie penguins are rapidly declining in the Palmer Basin area (Ducklow et al., 2007) is strong supporting evidence that ice-ecosystem changes have quite drastically occurred in the wAP region in response to the rapid regional climate change (Ross et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1999; Domack et al., 2003; Smetacek and Nicol, 2005, and other references in this special DSR-II issue).

5. Summary

The spatial and temporal (1992–2004) variability of sea ice has been described for the PAL LTER and greater wAP region using four sea-ice characteristics that capture key components of the annual cycle of sea ice: (1) day of advance, (2) day of retreat, (3) number of sea-ice days and (4) sea-ice persistence. We also examined the co-variability between upper-ocean summer mixed layer properties and sea ice the previous winter. Ice-atmosphere anomalies in association with ENSO and SAM variability were described, and changes in climate co-variability and trends were assessed. The following is a summary of key findings:

(1) The strongest geographical distinction across the PAL LTER grid is the longer sea-ice season in the coastal regions (6.8–7.9 months) versus the shorter sea-ice season over the shelf (4.1–5.3 months), these on-to-offshore differences increasing south-to-north. In general, coastal environments are more complex. For example, the northern coastal region (Palmer Basin vicinity) is characterized as having a long ice season, but nearly half of this season is characterized by loose, open pack-ice conditions (i.e., concentrations less than 50%), consistent with more frequent opening and closing of the pack ice throughout the winter and relatively high year-to-year variability. In contrast, the southern coastal region (Marguerite Bay vicinity) has a long sea-ice season characterized by heavy sea-ice conditions (i.e., concentrations greater than 50%), fewer opening and closing events throughout the season and relatively low year-to-year variability.

(2) The temporal and spatial variability of sea-ice advance in the wAP region is distinctively different from that of sea-ice retreat, thus differently affecting the duration of the sea-ice season. Due to the free (unconstrained) expansion of sea ice during advance, the temporal variability of sea-ice advance is more spatially coherent across the PAL LTER grid and co-varies more strongly with ice season duration (than does retreat). Overall, sea-ice advance appears to be more sensitive to climate variability given that it can quickly and coherently respond to changes in atmospheric conditions. In contrast, sea-ice retreat is more variable both in space and time, and the movement of sea ice during retreat is heavily constrained by increasing thickness to the south and the geographic barrier of the peninsula.

(3) The freshwater content of the summer surface mixed layer within the PAL LTER grid strongly co-varies with the number of ice days the previous winter (e.g., high freshwater content co-occurs with high number of ice days) for all but the northern coast region (where glacial meltwater input appears to be a significant contributor of freshwater) and to a lesser degree the southern shelf-break region (where UCDW may be influencing surface mixed-layer freshwater content). In contrast to freshwater content, the heat content of the summer surface mixed layer primarily reflects surface air temperature variability but also coincides with the timing of the spring sea-ice retreat (e.g., earlier retreat, greater heat content) except during outlier years when spring–summer air temperature was cool (and heat content low) but sea-ice retreat was early (and vice-versa) as often happened in the latter half of the 1979–2004 record.

(4) Anomalies in sea-ice advance and total ice days show a grid wide shift from 1992–1997 to 1998–2001. The former period was characterized by earlier sea-ice advance and higher numbers of ice days, while the latter period was characterized by later sea-ice advance and lower numbers of ice days. Persistent northerly winds, particularly during the autumns of 1998–2001, appeared to contribute to the delayed sea-ice advance over this period. In turn, these anomalous atmospheric circulation anomalies were associated with a period of sustained La Niña and +SAM conditions. The spring–summer 2001–2002 was particularly noteworthy given the severity and persistence of the northerly winds in the PAL LTER region from September to February. As detailed by Massom et al. (2006) the ocean–atmosphere–ice-ecosystem responses to this extreme atmospheric forcing event were varied and complex.
(5) Trend analyses of PAL LTER sea-ice characteristics indicated that over the shelf the mean day of advance was occurring 20–30 days later, the retreat 15–25 days earlier, and there were 30–40 fewer ice days in 1992–2004 relative to 1979–1991. Changes in ice season duration are caused mostly by changes in autumn sea-ice advance and to a lesser degree the spring sea-ice retreat. The largest anomalies towards a somewhat earlier retreat and a much later advance co-occur with strong northerly winds during La Niña and/or +SAM.

(6) Ocean–atmosphere–ice interactions and trends are consistent with the overall rapid regional warming of the Antarctic Peninsula region: (a) the trends towards a delayed autumn advance is an indication of increased northerly winds (which advect warm air into the region from lower latitudes) and facilitates increased ocean heat flux (which vents ocean heat to the overlying atmosphere); (b) the trend towards a shorter sea-ice season is concurrent with a decrease in sea-ice concentration and an increase in intra-seasonal variability, changes that infer relatively thinner sea ice and/or increased lead fraction and therefore increased ocean heat fluxes to the atmosphere throughout winter; and (c) together, these seasonal sea-ice-mediated changes (i.e., increased warm air advection and increased ocean heat flux) would contribute to, and/or amplify, warming in the AP region in autumn/early winter as suggested by others (Vaughan et al., 2003; Meredith and King, 2005; Harangozo, 2006). The ice–ocean response in particular serves as a strong positive feedback on what is believed to be a regional expression of global warming.
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