

Sensitivity Kernel for an Uninvertible Operator

Bill Menke, July 8, 2022

We start with the usual formulation of an inverse problem:

$$\text{minimize } E = (u - d, u - d) \text{ with the constraint } \mathcal{L}u - f = 0$$

and entertain the possibility that \mathcal{L} is not invertible. The Lagrange equation is:

$$(u - d, u - d) + 2(\lambda, \mathcal{L}u - f) = (u - d, u - d) + 2(\lambda, \mathcal{L}u) - 2(\lambda, f)$$

where 2λ is the Lagrange multiplier. Fréchet differentiating with respect to λ and setting the result to zero returns the constraint differential, $\mathcal{L}u - f = 0$. In order to Fréchet differentiate with respect to u we must rewrite the equation as

$$(d - u, d - u) + 2(\mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda, u) - 2(\lambda, f)$$

Fréchet differentiating yields

$$u = d - \mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda$$

Here, we have used $\delta p(x)/\delta p(x') = \delta(x - x')$. Inserting this expression into the differential equation eliminates u , yielding

$$0 = \mathcal{L}u - f = \mathcal{L}[d - \mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda] - f = \mathcal{L}d - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger - f$$

$$\text{so } \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger = \mathcal{L}d - f$$

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda = \mathcal{L}d - f = \mathcal{L}(e + u) - f = \mathcal{L}e + (\mathcal{L}u - f) = \mathcal{L}e$$

$$\text{so } \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda = \mathcal{L}e$$

This is a differential equation for the Lagrange multiplier, λ . However, it is different than the one commonly encountered in the invertible case, which is $\mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda = e$. After $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda = \mathcal{L}e$ is solved, $u = d - \mathcal{L}^\dagger \lambda$ gives the solution. Note that when \mathcal{L}^{-1} exists, $u = d - \mathcal{L}^\dagger [(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}d - f)] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}f$. That is, the minimization only adds information when \mathcal{L} is uninvertible,

As an example, suppose that the problem is

$$\text{find the } \mathbf{u} \text{ that minimizes } (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{d}) \text{ subject to the constraint } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - f = 0$$

Note that the constraint does not fully specify \mathbf{u} , because $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$, for any function, \mathbf{v} , can be added to \mathbf{u} without violating it. Then, $\mathcal{L} = \nabla \cdot$, $\mathcal{L}^\dagger = -\nabla$, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger = -\nabla^2$ and λ solves Poisson's equation $\nabla^2 \lambda = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d} - f$. Poisson's equation is invertible, and with a well-known Green function, so we can uniquely solve for λ . Once λ is determined, the solution is $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{d} + \nabla \lambda$. Furthermore, $\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = \nabla \times \mathbf{d}$; that is, information on the curl of \mathbf{u} arise from \mathbf{d} , alone, and not involve the constraint.

I haven't pursued the matter in any detail, but it seems to me that solving the adjoint equation when the error, e , is known at only a few points might be problematical, because $\mathcal{L}e$ would seem to require derivatives of e .

The Implicit Function Theorem (I.F.T.) can be used to calculate $\partial \mathbf{u} / \partial p$. Let me fall back to the discrete problem:

$$(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u})^T (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u}) + 2(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{L}(p) \text{ and fixed } p$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \text{ gives } N \text{ equations, } h_i^A = 0: \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{L}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \text{ gives } N \text{ equations, } h_i^B = 0: \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$$

Here, the functions h_i^A and h_i^B are abbreviations for the left-hand-side of the Lagrange derivatives. The unknowns are $\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, p$, and the number of unknowns is $2N + 1$. The number of equations is $2N + 1$. Jacobian derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial u_j} = -\delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial \lambda_j} = L_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial p} = \lambda_j \frac{\partial L_{ji}}{\partial p}$$

$$\frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial u_j} = L_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial \lambda_j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial L_{ij}}{\partial p} u_j$$

We will set up the I.F.T. with independent variable is $x_1: p$ and dependent variables $y_1 \cdots y_{2N}: \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The two parts of the Jacobian are:

$$\mathbf{J}_y = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial u_j} & \frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial \lambda_j} \\ \frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial u_j} & \frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial \lambda_j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{L}^T \\ \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{so} \quad \mathbf{J}_y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L}^T [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \\ \mathbf{L} [\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}]^{-1} & -[\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_i^A}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial h_i^B}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, according to the implicit function theorem, for a $p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(p_0)$ for which the Lagrange derivatives are zero:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix}_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(p_0)} = -\mathbf{J}_y^{-1} \mathbf{J}_x = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}^T [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{L} [\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} - [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \end{bmatrix}_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(p_0)}$$

$$\text{so } \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial p} \right|_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \lambda(p_0)} = -\mathbf{L}^T [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \right|_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \lambda(p_0)}$$

For an invertible matrix, \mathbf{L} , $\partial \mathbf{u} / \partial p = -\mathbf{L}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u}$, which is the result obtained by differentiating $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{f}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}^{-1}}{\partial p} \mathbf{f} = -\mathbf{L}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{f} = -\mathbf{L}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial p} \right|_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \lambda(p_0)} &= -\mathbf{e}^T \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial p = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{L}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{L}^{T-1} \mathbf{e})^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} = \\ &\lambda(p_0)^T \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \right|_{p_0} \mathbf{u}(p_0) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{L}(p_0)^T \lambda(p_0) = \mathbf{e}(p_0) \end{aligned}$$

So, it is established that, in the formulas, \mathbf{u} and λ must solve the Lagrange conditions for the p under consideration. That is, they must minimize the error for this fixed value of p .

I was hoping to find a way to calculate $\partial E / \partial p$ directly, without using the chain rule, but don't see a pathway to doing it.

As an ancillary matter, the derivation also shows that in cases in which \mathbf{L} , and therefore \mathbf{L}^T is not invertible,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial p} \right|_{p_0, \mathbf{u}(p_0), \lambda(p_0)} &= -\mathbf{e}^T \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial p = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{L}^T [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} = ([\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{e})^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \\ &= \lambda^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial p} \mathbf{u} \quad \text{with} \quad [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T]^{-1} \lambda = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

That is, the adjoint equation is different than in the invertible case.