

Properties of the Resolution Matrix in Generalized Least Squares

Bill Menke, October 12, 2022

Definitions

Let \mathbf{m} be a length- M model parameter vector, \mathbf{G} be an $N \times M$ data kernel matrix satisfying $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$, and \mathbf{H} be an $K \times M$ prior information matrix satisfying $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$. The generalized least squares solution is $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{d}$ where $\mathbf{G}^{-g} \equiv [\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G} + \varepsilon\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}]^{-1}\mathbf{G}^T$ and where ε is a ratio of variances. The resolution matrix is $\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}$.

Condition for which the resolution matrix has unit row sum

Let \mathbf{m} be a length- M model parameter vector, \mathbf{G} be an $N \times M$ data kernel matrix satisfying $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$, and \mathbf{H} be an $K \times M$ prior information matrix satisfying $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$. The generalized least squares solution is $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{d}$ where $\mathbf{G}^{-g} \equiv [\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G} + \varepsilon\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}]^{-1}\mathbf{G}^T$ and where ε is a ratio of variances. The resolution matrix is $\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{w} = [1, 1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$ be a length- M vector of all ones, so that the row sum of \mathbf{R} is $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}$. The resolution matrix, \mathbf{R} , has unit row sum when the prior information matrix, \mathbf{H} , has zero row sum; that is $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$.

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{w}$$

$$[\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G} + \varepsilon\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}]^{-1}\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{w}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} \stackrel{?}{=} [\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G} + \varepsilon\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}]\mathbf{w} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} + \varepsilon\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} + 0$$

Except when $\varepsilon = 0$ or $K = 0$, this is a necessary as well as sufficient condition, (since $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}$ cannot be zero).

The resolution matrix can always be adjusted to have unit row sum

The key property of the resolution matrix, \mathbf{R} , is that it must be built from the rows of the data kernel, so that the predicted data, \mathbf{m}^{est} , in $\mathbf{m}^{est} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}$, when viewed as localized average of \mathbf{m} , are unique. This condition implies that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}$, where \mathbf{G}^{-g} is some generalized inverse. If some particular generalized inverse does not lead to an \mathbf{R} with unit row sum, one can always modify the definition of \mathbf{G}^{-g} to some new generalized inverse, say $(\mathbf{G}^{-g})'$, that it does. Suppose $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s}$, where \mathbf{s} is a vector of row sums. Then, defining $\mathbf{S} \equiv \text{diag}(\mathbf{s})$:

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{w}$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{-g}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}$$

$$\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{G}^{-g})'\mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \quad \text{with} \quad (\mathbf{G}^{-g})' = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{-g}$$

The new localized averages (rows of \mathbf{R}) have the same shape as the old ones. However, depending on how the spread functions are define, the spread of resolution may be different. The Dirichlet spread function:

$$\text{spread}_D(\mathbf{r}'^{(i)}) = \sum_{j=1}^M (s_i^{-1}r_j - \delta_{ij})^2 \neq \sum_{j=1}^M (r_i - \delta_{ij})^2 = \text{spread}_D(\mathbf{r}^{(i)})$$

and the Backus-Gilbert spread function:

$$\text{spread}_{BG}(\mathbf{r}'^{(i)}) = \sum_{j=1}^M (s_i^{-1}r_j)(i-j)^2 = s_i^{-1} \text{spread}_{BG}(\mathbf{r}^{(i)})$$

are both different. The covariance changes, too:

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{m}^{est}) = \sigma_d^2 (\mathbf{G}^{-g})' (\mathbf{G}^{-g})'^T = \sigma_d^2 \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}^{-gT} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \neq \sigma_d^2 \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}^{-gT}$$

Condition for which the Resolution Matrix is Symmetric

A matrix is symmetric when it is equal to its transpose. Note that $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ are always symmetric.

$$\mathbf{R} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{R}^T$$

$$[\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}]^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}] \stackrel{?}{=} [\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}] \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$$

So $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ must commute with $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$. In general, for two arbitrary matrices $N \times M$ and $K \times M$ matrices, \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} , $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ does not commute with $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$. Special cases in which they do commute include.

- (A) When \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are diagonal matrices, so that $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ also are diagonal and commute.
- (B) When \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are orthogonal matrices, so that $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ are diagonal and commute.
- (C) When $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ share the same eigenvectors, and so can be simultaneously diagonalized.
- (D) When, \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are discrete convolutions (Toeplitz matrices), then \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ asymptotically commute (commute in the limit, $M \rightarrow \infty$).

Construction of the Resolution Matrix from Asserted-Predicted Solution Pairs.

The resolution matrix relates a predicted solution, \mathbf{m}^{pre} , to an asserted solution, \mathbf{m} , via $\mathbf{m}^{pre} = \mathbf{Rm}$. Suppose that M asserted solutions, $\mathbf{m}^{(i)}$, lead to M predicted solutions, $\mathbf{m}^{pre(i)}$. Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1^{pre(1)} & \dots & m_1^{pre(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{pre(1)} & \dots & m_M^{pre(M)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \begin{bmatrix} m_1^{(1)} & \dots & m_1^{(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{(1)} & \dots & m_M^{(M)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, as long as the asserted solutions are linearly independent, \mathbf{R} can be constructed as:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1^{pre(1)} & \dots & m_1^{pre(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{pre(1)} & \dots & m_M^{pre(M)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1^{(1)} & \dots & m_1^{(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{(1)} & \dots & m_M^{(M)} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

since $\mathbf{m}^{pre(i)} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{d}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{d}^{(i)} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{m}^{(i)}$, we can also write

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G} \begin{bmatrix} m_1^{(1)} & \dots & m_1^{(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{(1)} & \dots & m_M^{(M)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1^{(1)} & \dots & m_1^{(M)} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ m_M^{(1)} & \dots & m_M^{(M)} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{G}^{-g} \mathbf{G}$$

which recovers the usual formula for the resolution matrix.