Earthquake Source Parameters for the 2010 Western Gulf of Aden Rifting Episode

Ashley Shuler^{1,2} and Meredith Nettles^{1,2}

¹Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.

Email: ashuler@ldeo.columbia.edu

²Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY,

USA

Accepted to Geophysical Journal International on April 30, 2012.

Abbreviated Title: Western Gulf of Aden Rifting Episode

Corresponding Author:

Ashley Shuler

address: 61 Rt. 9W Palisades, NY, 10964

email: ashuler@ldeo.columbia.edu

phone: +1 845-365-8435

fax: +1 845-365-8150

Summary

On November 14, 2010, an intense swarm of earthquakes began in the western Gulf of Aden. Within a 48-hour period, 82 earthquakes with magnitudes between 4.5 and 5.5 were reported along an ~80-km-long segment of the east-west trending Aden Ridge, making this swarm one of the largest ever observed in an extensional oceanic setting. In this study, we calculate centroid-moment-tensor solutions for 110 earthquakes that occurred between November 2010 and April 2011. Over eighty percent of the cumulative seismic moment was due to earthquakes that occurred within one week of the onset of the swarm. We find that this sequence has a b-value of ~1.6 and is dominated by normal-faulting earthquakes that, early in the swarm, migrate westwards with time. These earthquakes are located in rhombic basins along a section of the ridge that was previously characterized by low levels of seismicity and a lack of recent volcanism on the seafloor. Body-wave modeling demonstrates that the events occur in the top 2 to 3 km of the crust. Nodal planes of the normal-faulting earthquakes are consistent with previously mapped faults in the axial valley. A small number of strike-slip earthquakes observed between two basins near 44°E, where the axial valley changes orientation, depth and width, likely indicate the presence of an incipient transform fault and the early stages of ridge-transform segmentation. The direction of extension accommodated by the earthquakes is intermediate between the rift-orthogonal and the direction of relative motion between the Arabian and Somalian plates, consistent with the oblique style of rifting occurring along the slow-spreading Aden Ridge. The 2010 swarm shares many characteristics with dike-induced rifting episodes from both oceanic and continental settings. We conclude that the 2010 swarm represents the seismic component of an undersea magmatic rifting episode along the nascent Aden Ridge, and attribute the large size of the earthquakes to the combined effects of the slow spreading rate, relatively

thick crust and recent quiescence. We estimate that the rifting episode was caused by dike intrusions that propagated laterally for 12 to 18 hours, accommodating ~1-14 m of opening or ~85-800 years of spreading along this section of the ridge. Our findings demonstrate the westward propagation of active seafloor spreading into this section of the western Gulf of Aden and illustrate that deformation at the onset of seafloor spreading may be accommodated by discrete episodes of faulting and magmatism. A comparison with similar sequences on land suggests that the 2010 episode may be only the first of several dike-induced rifting episodes to occur in the western Gulf of Aden.

Key words: Earthquake source observations, Seismicity and tectonics, Body waves, Mid-ocean ridge processes, Submarine tectonics and volcanism, Africa

1. Introduction

The Gulf of Aden is a young ocean basin that stretches from the Afar depression in East Africa to the Carlsberg Ridge in the Indian Ocean (Fig. 1). Here, northeastward motion of the Arabian plate relative to the Somalian plate is accommodated by obligue spreading on a system of approximately east-west trending rift zones (Bosworth et al. 2005; Manighetti et al. 1997; Cochran et al. 1981; Courtillot et al. 1980). Beginning in mid-November 2010, the western Gulf of Aden between 43.75° and 44.5°E experienced an intense swarm of earthquakes. Within a 48-hour period, 24 earthquakes with magnitudes between 5.0 and 5.5, and 58 earthquakes with magnitudes between 4.5 and 5.0, were reported in this area. The magnitudes of these earthquakes, as well as the large number Fig. 1 of earthquakes in a short time, make this swarm one of the largest ever observed in an extensional oceanic setting. Teleseismically detected earthquakes continued to occur for several months after the onset of the swarm, although at a much lower rate. The earthquake sequence occurred on a tectonically complex section of the Aden Ridge, crossing structural and mechanical boundaries (Dauteuil et al. 2001; Hébert et al. 2001). In this paper, we use data from the Global Seismographic Network to estimate source parameters for 110 earthquakes in the sequence in order to characterize the swarm and better constrain the tectonics of this nascent spreading center. Comparison with seismic and volcanic activity in other regions suggests the swarm represents the seismic component of an undersea rifting episode, the first documented in this area.

2. Tectonic Background

The impingement of the Afar mantle plume on the base of the African lithosphere ~31 Ma triggered continental rifting in the Gulf of Aden (Baker *et al.* 1996; Hoffmann *et al.* 1997; Rochette *et al.* 1997; Ukstins *et al.* 2002; Bosworth *et al.* 2005). This event,

combined with regional extension due to subduction of Africa beneath Eurasia (Malkin & Shemenda 1991: Courtillot et al. 1999: Jolivet & Faccenna 2000: Bellahsen et al. 2003: Bosworth et al. 2005) resulted in the initiation of seafloor spreading in the eastern Gulf of Aden. Extension propagated westwards over time, reaching the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity, and the eastern edge of the Afar plume, approximately 10 Ma (Bosworth et al. 2005). Rifting stalled there, and propagated into the central and western Gulf of Aden only within the last 2-3 Ma (Cochran 1981; Bosworth et al. 2005). Gravity and magnetic data have indicated that the western boundary of active seafloor spreading is currently at approximately 44°E (Hébert et al. 2001). East of the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity, the crust is oceanic with a mean thickness of 6 km, and extension is accommodated by faulting and oceanic accretion along a well-developed ridge-transform system (Dauteuil et al. 2001). In the area of the 2010 earthquake swarm, however, the crustal thickness ranges from 6 to 13 km, and although an axial trough is present, ridge-transform segmentation is poorly developed (Dauteuil et al. 2001). This section of the Aden Ridge is part of a ~130 km-long transition between oceanic lithosphere in the east and stretched continental lithosphere in the west (Dauteuil et al. 2001; Hébert et al. 2001).

The Aden Ridge spreads at a rate of 1.6 cm/yr in the direction N34°E (DeMets *et al.* 2010; Fig. 1). The spreading direction is oblique to the rift axis, which trends N90°E between the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity and ~44°E longitude, and N70°E as it approaches the Gulf of Tadjoura. East of 44°E the axial valley is between 1000 and 1500 m deep and has a mean width of 20 km. Acoustic reflectivity surveys have shown that this portion of the ridge consists of overlapping rhombic basins oriented N120°E (Manighetti *et al.* 1997; Dauteuil *et al.* 2001). The axial valley is bounded by east-west trending normal faults while the center of the valley contains left-stepping en echelon

faults oriented N100-120°E that accommodate both extension and right-lateral strike-slip motion (Manighetti *et al.* 1997; Dauteuil *et al.* 2001). West of 44°E, the axial valley changes orientation, deepens to 1650 meters and narrows to a width of 10-15 km. There the ridge is composed of several basins containing linear to sigmoidal normal faults striking N80°-N120°E (Tamsett & Searle 1988; Taylor *et al.* 1994; Tuckwell *et al.* 1996; Dauteuil *et al.* 2001). Backscatter images from a 1995 cruise showed no recent lava flows or volcanic cones between 43.3° and 44.3°E (Dauteuil *et al.* 2001).

3. Seismic Overview

The section of the Aden Ridge that ruptured during the 2010 swarm was previously characterized by low levels of seismicity (Fig. 1). Prior to 2010, the area between 43.9°E and 45°E had not ruptured in a M5+ earthquake in at least the last 38 years, the era of modern seismic instrumentation and the time-span covered by the catalogs of the USGS National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC, 1973-present) and Global Centroid Moment Tensor Project (GCMT, 1976-present, Dziewonski *et al.* 1981; Ekström *et al.* 2005). This contrasts with other sections of the ridge, including the Gulf of Tadjoura near the Afar triple junction and the Sheba Ridge east of the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity, where moderate-sized earthquakes occur frequently.

The 2010 western Gulf of Aden earthquake sequence was preceded by a M_w 4.5 Fig. 2 earthquake on 13 November at 18:26 GMT. The main part of the sequence began 12 hours later on 14 November at 06:32 with a M_w 5.4 earthquake. Over the next 48 hours, 82 earthquakes with magnitudes 4.5 and greater were located by the NEIC and/or by the Global CMT Project using surface waves (Ekström 2006; see Fig. 2). The number of moderate-sized earthquakes in this sequence is extraordinary, and is comparable to the

number of similarly sized earthquakes expected in the aftershock sequence of a M_w 7-8 main shock (Shcherbakov & Turcotte 2004; Shcherbakov *et al.* 2005), even though the largest earthquake was only M_w 5.5. Seventy percent of the cumulative seismic moment of the swarm is due to earthquakes occurring the first day, and 83% to earthquakes occurring the first week (Fig. 3). Earthquakes were detected in the area through August 2011, although they occurred at a much lower rate than during the swarm.

4. Data and Methods

We use data from the IRIS-USGS Global Seismographic Network (GSN), Geoscope, GEOFON, MEDNET and the Canadian Regional Seismic Network to calculate centroid moment tensors, locations and times for earthquakes in the western Gulf of Aden between November 2010 and April 2011, the first six months after the start of the swarm. We calculate centroid-moment-tensor solutions generally following the standard GCMT approach for earthquakes with M_w < 5.5 (Dziewonski *et al.* 1981; Arvidsson & Ekström 1998; Ekström *et al.* 2005), which incorporates long-period body waves filtered from 40-150 s and intermediate-period surface waves filtered from 50-150 s. Solutions for the smallest earthquakes are constrained primarily by surface-wave data, and in this case, we adjust the filter to shorter periods (40-100 or 35-75 s) on a case-by-case basis to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Data from 30-100 stations are used for each solution, with the nearest station being FURI-IU, located ~675 km away near Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Because all of the earthquakes in the western Gulf of Aden swarm are shallow, their depths cannot be resolved well with the long-period seismic data used in standard GCMT analysis. Likewise, depth estimates could not easily be read from depth phases

7

Fig. 3

because the direct and reflected teleseismic P waves for shallow normal-faulting earthquakes typically have opposite polarity and occur very close together in time. To obtain accurate estimates of focal depth, we model the broadband teleseismic body waves of the largest earthquakes of the sequence ($M_w \ge 5.2$) using the method of Ekström (1989). We perform an inversion of P and SH waveforms for focal mechanism, focal depth and moment-rate function. For this analysis, we deconvolve the instrument response to obtain broadband displacement records filtered from 1-100 s period. Synthetic seismograms are calculated using ray theory and the Preliminary Reference Earth Model (PREM; Dziewonski & Anderson 1981). Reflections and conversions near the source are modeled using a layer-matrix method for a regional velocity model. We use the CRUST2.0 velocity model for the Red Sea (Y0 – thinned continental crust with 1.0 km thick sediment layer; Bassin *et al.* 2000), adding a 1.25 km thick water layer on top to match local bathymetry. The CMT estimate of the point-source moment tensor is included as a soft constraint in the inversion to ensure that focal mechanisms calculated from the broadband data are compatible with the long-period data used in CMT analysis.

5. Results

We are able to obtain CMT solutions for 110 earthquakes of the western Gulf of Aden sequence and broadband body-wave estimates of depth for four of the larger events. These results are summarized in Figures 4-7, and source parameters are provided in Tables 1, S1 and S2 (see the Supporting Information section), and in electronic format on our web site (www.globalcmt.org). Below, we examine the source parameters retrieved in the context of known geology, and, in Section 6, consider implications of the sequence in light of the tectonic setting and ongoing evolution of the Gulf of Aden.

5.1. Centroid-Moment-Tensor Solutions

We attempted to analyze all 198 earthquakes with initial magnitudes of 4.0 or larger as reported by the NEIC and/or the GCMT Project, and were able to obtain CMT solutions for 110 earthquakes with magnitudes $4.5 \le M_w \le 5.5$. (Fig. 3). Solutions for the 25 largest earthquakes, those with $M_w \ge 5.0$, have been adopted as the preferred solutions of the Global CMT catalog, which has a minimum magnitude threshold of M~5. We consider both these and our additional 85 solutions for smaller events here. Focal mechanisms are presented in Fig. 4. The solutions are generally robust and well constrained. In the figures and tables, we identify 18 earthquakes as having less well-constrained focal mechanisms. This designation is given to events with the smallest number of usable data, which is due to small magnitude and/or the presence of large amplitude waveforms from other earthquakes. Nonetheless, focal mechanisms for the least well-constrained earthquakes are consistent with those of the best-constrained events (Figs. 4 and 6), and we do not distinguish between them in the discussion below.

Although we report complete deviatoric moment tensors for the western Gulf of Aden earthquakes in Table S1, we plot only the double-couple components of the focal mechanisms in Fig. 4 because we are unable to constrain the non-double-couple component well using the existing data. This is due to the fact that there are few close stations, and many of the earthquakes are near the magnitude threshold of GCMT analysis. The largest normal-faulting earthquakes have small non-double-couple components, and are consistent with rupture on planar faults. Larger non-double-couple components are retrieved for the least well-constrained earthquakes and earthquakes with strike-slip focal mechanisms, but for these events we find that double-couple Fig. 4

moment tensors fit the data nearly as well as the full solutions. The strikes and dips of the nodal planes for the two types of solutions are nearly identical.

The standard errors for the latitude and longitude components of the centroid locations are between three and five kilometers on average (Table S1). Due to uneven station distributions, the presence of noise and unmodeled structural heterogeneity (Nakanishi & Kanamori 1982; Smith & Ekström 1997; Hjörleifsdóttir & Ekström 2010), we believe that the actual errors are likely to be larger. The good correspondence between the centroid locations and the axial valley, however, suggest that absolute location errors are typically less than 20 km. Because the distances between individual earthquakes in the sequence are small, and because we use a similar station distribution for each CMT solution, the relative location errors are expected to be smaller, approximately 5-10 km.

The western Gulf of Aden swarm is dominated by normal-faulting earthquakes located in the axial valley between 43.75° and 44.5°E (Fig. 4). These earthquakes have WNW-ESE striking nodal planes that are oriented N109°E on average, or ~75° from the direction of relative plate motion (DeMets *et al.* 2010). The rotation of the nodal planes with respect to the spreading-orthogonal direction is consistent with fault populations at other oblique rifts around the world (Taylor *et al.* 1994; Tuckwell *et al.* 1996). The normal-faulting earthquakes have dip angles that are close to 45°, with the average dip angles of the shallow and steep nodal planes being 42° and 51°, respectively. For these events, there is excellent agreement between the distribution of retrieved strike angles of the nodal planes and observed fault orientations measured using acoustic reflectivity data (Dauteuil *et al.* 2001). Though the vast majority of the earthquakes show normal faulting,

a small fraction of the earthquakes have strike-slip focal mechanisms with NE-SW and NW-SE striking nodal planes, consistent with the extension direction.

The western Gulf of Aden earthquakes are clustered in both space and time. Spatially, the centroid locations are divided into two elongated groups, which are offset from one another by 10-15 kilometers (Fig. 4). These groups correspond to mapped basins inside the axial valley, east and west of 44°E (Dauteuil et al. 2001). While normal-faulting earthquakes are distributed throughout the basins, strike-slip earthquakes are predominantly located near the offset between two of the basins near 44°E. At the beginning of the sequence, the basins east of 44°E were active, producing four of the ten largest earthquakes observed during the entire sequence within the first six hours. Beginning at 12:49 on November 14, activity shifted to the western basin for approximately ten hours and produced the remaining six of the ten largest earthquakes. From November 15 onwards, seismicity continued at a lower rate and was concentrated east of 44°E. We do not find any evidence for uniform migration of the centroids with time. However, we do observe a westward propagation of the onset of seismicity for the first 12 hours of the swarm, as shown in Figure 5. We find that seismicity migrated at a rate no higher than \sim 1.1 m/s, which is consistent with earthquake swarms from Iceland and Afar (Brandsdóttir & Einarsson 1979; Belachew et al. 2011).

Fig. 5

The principal axes of the moment tensor provide information about the strain accommodated by fault movements (McKenzie 1969; Townend 2006). The tension axes indicate the direction of maximum extension during an earthquake. Tension axes are close to horizontal for both normal-faulting and strike-slip earthquakes in the western Gulf of Aden sequence, and the azimuths of the tension axes we determine are plotted

in Fig. 6. For normal-faulting earthquakes, the average azimuth of the tension axes is N19°E, which is intermediate between the spreading direction from global plate motion vectors, N34°E (DeMets et al. 2010), and the normal to the ridge trend in this area, N20°W-N0°E. These observations are consistent with earthquake focal mechanisms at other oblique rifts around the world (Fournier & Petit 2007), as well as with the orientations of normal-fault structures observed in analogue models of oblique rifting (Withjack & Jamison 1986; Tron & Brun 1991; Clifton et al. 2000). The tension axes of the strike-slip earthquakes near 44°E are rotated counter-clockwise relative to those of the normal-faulting earthquakes, as expected for a left-stepping transform fault connecting two ridge segments. The full deviatoric moment tensors for these earthquakes are also consistent with composite focal mechanisms resulting from earthquakes with subevents on both ridge and transform segments with this left-stepping geometry (Frohlich 1994). The strike-slip earthquakes likely indicate that the offset between basins near 44°E is a transfer zone (Dauteuil & Brun 1993; Bellahsen et al. 2006; Autin et al. 2010), in the process of developing into a transform fault, as has already occurred east of the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity.

5.2. Teleseismic Body Wave Modeling

We are able to model the teleseismic body waves of four earthquakes, all occurring on November 14, 2010. The first of these earthquakes occurred east of 44°E, while the remaining earthquakes are located in the western basin. Although the focal depth estimates depend on the particular choice of crustal model, we find that, for reasonable choices of sediment thickness ranging from 0-1 km, the waveforms can be fit well and the differences between focal depth estimates are well within the 1 to 2 km uncertainty associated with the Ekström (1989) method. An example of the waveform fits achieved is Fig. 6

shown in Fig. 7. In Table 1, we present focal depths that were calculated using a velocity model that includes a 1.25-km layer of water and a 1-km layer of sediments. This model was chosen to account for the fact that the sediment thickness in the western Gulf of Aden ranges from essentially zero near the ridge axis to 2 km outside the rift (Khanbari 2000 as cited in Hébert et al. 2001). The focal depths we retrieve are shallow, ranging from 1.6 to 2.6 km below the seafloor. If we use a sediment thickness of zero km, the focal depth estimates range from 1.4 to 2.4 km below the seafloor. These depth estimates are consistent with other earthquakes from mid-ocean ridges with similar spreading rates (Huang & Solomon, 1988). For M_w 5.5 normal-faulting earthquakes, empirical scaling relationships estimate the down-dip fault width to be ~5 km (Wells & Coppersmith 1994), so it is likely that some of the earthquakes in this sequence ruptured the surface of the seafloor. If indeed 1 km of sediments is present, our depth estimates suggest that the earthquakes occurred only ~0.5-1.5 km into the crystalline crust. Such shallow depth estimates suggest either the earthquakes had unusually high stress drops, unlikely if the earthquakes occurred on pre-existing faults, or that seismogenic rupture continued into the sediment layer.

6. Discussion

The western Gulf of Aden earthquake sequence occurred beneath more than a kilometer of water in one of the most dangerous shipping routes in the world (Smith *et al.* 2011). Thus, there are no independent observations of the deformation that took place during this episode, either from satellite interferometry or from ship-based surveys. However, the character of the seismic activity is similar to dike-induced earthquake sequences observed in both continental and oceanic settings, and we infer that this sequence is the seismic component of a magmatic rifting episode. We base this interpretation on the Fig. 7, Table 1

swarm-like nature of the sequence, and the dominance of normal-faulting earthquakes clustered around the ridge axis. With this interpretation, we estimate the duration of the diking event and the amount of opening that took place along this section of the ridge. We use published analogue models to interpret our observations in the context of the evolution of the Gulf of Aden and other oblique rifts around the world.

6.1. Comparison to Other Dike-Induced Rifting Episodes

During rifting episodes along mid-ocean ridges and magma-rich segments of continental rifts, both dikes and faults accommodate plate boundary separation. As dikes propagate laterally though the crust, they trigger slip on faults located above and ahead of the intrusions (Rubin & Pollard 1988; Rubin 1992; Rubin & Gillard 1998). After propagation ceases, earthquakes continue to occur on pre-existing faults close to failure due to changes in Coulomb stress caused by the dike injection and related faulting and thermal stressing, although these earthquakes are generally fewer in number (Toda et al. 2002; Ayele et al. 2009; Kulpinski et al. 2009; Ebinger et al. 2010). Dike-induced rifting episodes in both continental and oceanic settings are characterized by earthquake sequences that have neither a single large mainshock nor a decrease in magnitude with time (Abdallah et al. 1979; Brandsdóttir & Einarsson 1979; Tolstoy et al. 2001; Wright et al. 2006; Rowland et al. 2007; Ayele et al. 2009; Keir et al. 2009; Ebinger et al. 2008, 2010; Riedel & Schlindwein 2010). Such swarms have elevated b values, which are typically attributed to high thermal gradients and the presence or migration of magmatic and/or hydrothermal fluids (Brandsdóttir & Einarsson 1979; King 1983; Hill et al. 1990; Wiemer & McNutt 1997; Wiemer et al. 1998; Toda et al. 2002; Farrell et al. 2009).

For the western Gulf of Aden sequence, we estimated the *b*-value by examining the frequency-magnitude distribution. The magnitude of completeness (M_c) was defined as the magnitude below which the data depart from a linear trend by more than one standard deviation (Zúñiga & Wyss 1995). Using the maximum likelihood approach (Utsu 1965; Aki 1965; Bender 1983; Wiemer 2001) with a M_c of M_w 4.8 and calculating the uncertainty by bootstrapping, we estimate the *b*-value for the western Gulf of Aden sequence to be 1.6 +/- 0.18, which is significantly higher than the global average value of ~1.0 (Frohlich & Davis 1993). The estimate of *b*-value remains well above 1.0 for choices of M_c larger than 4.8.

Although there is some debate over whether particular earthquake swarms on midocean ridges are due to episodes of tectonic extension or magmatism (Bergman & Solomon 1990), dike-induced earthquake swarms have now been observed directly on many mid-ocean ridges. Along fast and intermediate-spreading mid-ocean ridges, dike intrusions produce short-lived swarms of $M_w \le 4.0$ earthquakes that are observed primarily by ocean-bottom seismometers (Fox *et al.* 1995; Tolstoy *et al.* 2006; Dziak *et al.* 1995, 2007, 2009), while larger, teleseismically-detected swarms of dike-induced earthquakes are generally only located on slow and ultra-slow spreading ridges such as the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and the Gakkel Ridge (Müller & Jokat 2000; Tolstoy *et al.* 2001; Dziak *et al.* 2004; Riedel & Schlindwein 2010; Schlindwein & Riedel 2010; Korger & Schlindwein 2011). For normal-faulting earthquakes along mid-ocean ridges, an inverse relationship between maximum earthquake size and spreading rate has been observed, and is attributed to thermal limitations on the depth of the seismogenic zone (Soloman & Burr 1979; Huang & Solomon 1988; Bird *et al.* 2009).

The mid-ocean ridge swarm that is most similar to the swarm investigated in this study is the 1999 Gakkel Ridge swarm, which lasted nine months and produced 20 normalfaulting earthquakes with $M_w \ge 5.0$ (Müller & Jokat 2000; Tolstoy *et al.* 2001; Ekström *et al.* 2003; Riedel & Schlindwein 2010). In that case, sonar images and bathymetric data suggest that the swarm was associated with a volcanic eruption on the seafloor (Edwards *et al.* 2001). As at the Gakkel Ridge, the large magnitudes of the earthquakes in this study can likely be attributed in part to the slow spreading rate in the western Gulf of Aden.

The regional crustal structure and tectonic history of the western Gulf of Aden may also help explain the large magnitudes of the earthquakes. The area of the earthquake swarm has thick crust, which is transitional from oceanic to continental (Dauteuil *et al.* 2001; Hébert *et al.* 2001), and thicker sections of brittle crust can support larger earthquakes (Rubin 1990). Large earthquakes have also been associated with dikes that are the first to intrude host rift zones after long periods of quiescence (Rubin & Gillard 1998). Based on the seismic history and on the seafloor observations of Dauteuil *et al.* (2001), this rifting episode is the first in the western Gulf of Aden in a minimum of several decades, and may represent westward propagation of active seafloor spreading into a new section of the Aden Ridge.

This interpretation of rift propagation is consistent with the fact that the earthquake swarm that most closely resembles the western Gulf of Aden sequence, continental or oceanic, occurred on an incipient mid-ocean ridge in neighboring Afar. The September 2005 diking episode in Afar was characterized by hundreds of teleseismically-detected, shallow earthquakes located in a 120-km-long by 25-km-wide area of the Dabbahu

segment of the Red Sea rift over a period of three weeks. These earthquakes were predominantly normal faulting and 17 had $M_w \ge 5.0$ (Ebinger *et al.* 2008, 2010; Ayele *et al.* 2009). Like the western Gulf of Aden sequence, the largest magnitude earthquake in the 2005 Afar rifting episode was $M_w 5.5$ and the cumulative seismic moment was equivalent to a single $M_w 6.3$ earthquake (Ebinger *et al.* 2008; Grandin *et al.* 2009). InSAR studies confirm that a magma volume of 1.5-2.5 km³ was injected along a 65-kmlong shallow dike during the 2005 Afar rifting episode (Wright *et al.* 2006; Grandin *et al.* 2009).

Because the western Gulf of Aden sequence has elevated *b*-value and is dominated by shallow, normal-faulting earthquakes that migrate over time, closely resembling well-documented dike-induced earthquake sequences in both oceanic and continental settings, we conclude that this swarm represents the seismic component of a magmatic rifting episode along the nascent Aden Ridge. The large size of the earthquakes is likely due to the combined effects of the slow spreading rate, relatively thick crust, and recent quiescence.

6.2. Rifting Episode Duration and Opening Estimates

The similarities between the earthquakes in this study and those associated with the well-documented Afar rifting episodes enable us to make a rough estimate of the duration of the dike intrusion, as well as the amount of opening that took place during the 2010 rifting episode. Belachew *et al.* (2011) performed a detailed analysis of local seismic data from nine dike intrusions in Afar, and concluded that the largest earthquakes in each sequence were caused by faulting and graben formation above laterally propagating dike intrusions. Based on cumulative seismic moment curves, they

conclude that the vast majority of seismic moment is accumulated during the dike propagation phase, after which seismicity decreases significantly, and the slope of the cumulative seismic moment curve flattens. Interpreting our cumulative seismic moment curve (Fig. 3) in the same way, we estimate that the main dike intrusion in the western Gulf of Aden propagated for less than 18 hours. This result is consistent with our observation that seismicity migrated westwards for approximately 12 hours during the beginning of the swarm. Combining these results, we conclude that the dike propagation phase during the 2010 western Gulf of Aden rifting episode likely lasted between 12 and 18 hours. This is shorter than the dike propagation phase for the 2005 rifting episode in Afar, which lasted several days (Ayele *et al.* 2009).

Using our CMT solutions, and assuming that all extension occurs on planar normal faults, we estimate the amount of spreading accommodated by the earthquakes using the following expression:

$$\sum M_0 = \mu Lhd/(sin(\theta)cos(\theta)), \tag{1}$$

modified from Solomon *et al.* (1988). Here, $\sum M_0$ is the cumulative seismic moment of the normal-faulting earthquakes, μ is the shear modulus, *h* is the thickness of the seismogenic layer, θ is the dip of the fault planes, *L* is the total along-axis length of the ridge segments that slipped in the earthquakes, and *d* is the total amount of horizontal opening. We use values of 3.0×10^{10} N/m² for μ , and 10 km for *h* (Dauteuil *et al.* 2001), and calculate the remaining parameters from the CMT solutions. $\sum M_0$ is 3.4×10^{18} Nm, and we estimate *L* from the distance between the easternmost and westernmost earthquake centroids, finding a value of 80 km. We use 51° for θ , which is the average dip angle for the steeply dipping nodal planes. Because all of the retrieved nodal-plane

dips are close to this value, the result depends little on the details of this choice. Solving for the horizontal displacement, we obtain a value of $d\approx7$ cm, which is equivalent to ~4 years of spreading assuming that opening occurs solely by seismogenic extension of the brittle lithosphere at a rate of 1.63 cm/yr, the full spreading rate predicted by MORVEL for 12°N, 44°E (DeMets *et al.* 2010). If instead we constrain *h* to be 5 km, the down-dip width for the largest earthquakes based on our depth and scalar moment estimates and scaling relationships of Wells & Coppersmith (1994), the estimate of horizontal opening is twice as large, d≈14 cm, which is equivalent to ~8 years of spreading.

However, the amount of opening that occurred during the western Gulf of Aden rifting episode is likely to be much higher. Along slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges, earthquakes account for no more than 10-20% of plate separation (Solomon et al. 1988). Rifting episodes in continental settings are also generally dominated by aseismic deformation. In Iceland, the Asal Rift and Afar, field measurements of fault offsets from rifting episodes are much larger than the amount of slip required to generate the observed earthquake swarms (Brandsdóttir & Einarsson 1979; Doubré & Peltzer 2007; Rowland et al. 2007). Additional aseismic opening may occur due to the volume change associated with the dike intrusion. The discrepancy between seismogenic and total opening can also be demonstrated by comparing the cumulative seismic moment to estimates of the combined geodetic moment, which accounts for dip slip on normal faults and volume change due to magma intrusions. For the September 2005 rifting episode in Afar, the geodetic moment was at least an order of magnitude larger than the cumulative seismic moment (Wright et al. 2006; Grandin et al. 2009). Belachew et al. (2011) compared the seismic and geodetic moments for nine rifting episodes in Afar between 2006 and 2009, and found that earthquakes accounted for only ~0.1-3.5% of the total

deformation. Following Solomon et al. (1988) and Belachew *et al.* (2011), if we assume that 1-5% of the total deformation was accommodated by earthquakes, we estimate that this discrete rifting episode may have accommodated ~1-14 m of opening, or ~85-800 years of spreading, in this section of the western Gulf of Aden.

6.3. Evolution of the Western Gulf of Aden

The Gulf of Aden is a transtensional setting where rift formation occurs due to obligue divergence. The relative amounts of extension and shear, and therefore the faulting patterns that are produced along a given section of the rift, depend on the obliquity angle, α , which is the angle between the rift trend (N70-90°E) and the direction of relative plate motion (N34°E, DeMets et al. 2010). The obliguity angle in the western Gulf of Aden varies between ~35° and 55° in the area of the recent earthquake swarm, with the highest value of α being found east of 44°E where the rift trends east-west. For similar values of α , analogue models show that oblique rifting produces en echelon arrays of normal faults in the axial valley (Withjack & Jamison 1986; Tron & Brun 1991; Dauteuil & Brun 1993; McClay & White 1995; Clifton et al. 2000; Mart & Dauteuil 2000; Clifton & Schlische 2001; Corti et al. 2001, 2003; Agostini et al. 2009; Autin et al. 2010). In the models, these normal faults strike in a direction intermediate between rift-parallel and perpendicular to the spreading direction (Withjack & Jamison 1986; Clifton et al. 2000; Corti et al. 2001, 2003; Autin et al. 2010). The orientations of the nodal planes from our CMT solutions support these results. For normal faulting earthquakes, the average strike angle of the nodal planes is N109°E, which is intermediate between N70-90°E and N124°E. Analytical models demonstrate that these fault patterns arise because the combination of extension and shear in obligue rifts results in the principal extensional

strain being oriented approximately halfway between the normal to the rift trend and the spreading direction (Withjack & Jamison 1986). The strain pattern we find in the western Gulf of Aden provides observational validation of this explanation. The mean orientation of the tension axes we observe in the swarm is N19°E, intermediate between north-south and the direction of relative plate motion, N34°E.

Overall, there is excellent agreement between the results of our seismic analysis and models of oblique rifting, which allows us to remark on the both the current and future states of the rift system in the western Gulf of Aden. Recent scaled analogue models by Autin et al. (2010) suggest that the oblique rifting in the Gulf of Aden was not initiated on a pre-existing weak zone, so that the structures that develop are not influenced by previous geometry. Their work, as well as other analogue models (Clifton & Schlische 2001; Agostini et al. 2009), indicate that the western Gulf of Aden is in the late stages of oblique rifting, where deformation is largely controlled by slip on pre-existing fault segments. The similarities between the orientations of faults mapped prior to the earthquake swarm (Dauteuil et al. 2001) and the nodal planes of the normal-faulting earthquakes supports the interpretation that the 2010 swarm occurred on pre-existing faults in the axial valley. Based on the analogue models, we expect that further extension will result in additional slip and lengthening of optimally oriented en echelon normal faults (Clifton et al. 2000; Clifton & Schlische 2001; Agostini et al. 2009). In addition, we expect that some of the transfer zones between individual basins may evolve towards transform faults (Dauteuil & Brun 1993; Bellahsen et al. 2006; Autin et al. 2010), and segmentation of the ridge will increase as seafloor spreading develops in the western Gulf of Aden. The occurrence of strike-slip earthquakes in the 2010 swarm, near

a step-over between basins and a change in ridge orientation at 44°E, may indicate the presence of an incipient transform fault.

In analogue models of obligue rifts, normal faults in the axial valley control the emplacement of magmatic intrusions and define the locations of ocean accretion centers (Clifton & Schlische 2001; Agostini et al. 2009; Autin et al. 2010). This progression has already been documented within basins east of the recent swarm, where seafloor spreading is more developed and there are linear chains of volcanoes oriented N110°-120°E (Tamsett & Searle 1988; Dauteuil et al. 2001). Prior to 2010, the section of the rift where the swarm is located was characterized by low levels of seismicity and a lack of recent volcanism, and gravity and magnetic surveys indicated that seafloor spreading had not yet been initiated (Dauteuil et al. 2001; Hébert et al. 2001). We believe that the earthquakes in the 2010 swarm are the seismic component of a dike-induced rifting episode, which provides evidence for westward propagation of seafloor spreading into this area. As in Afar, this swarm confirms that deformation at the onset of seafloor spreading is achieved by intense episodes of dike intrusion and faulting. For now it is unknown whether this rifting episode will consist of a single diking episode, like in the Asal Rift in 1978 (Abdallah et al. 1979), or whether additional dike intrusion episodes will follow as in Afar and Iceland. If the latter, we expect additional dike intrusions to become progressively more effusive, leading to eruptions on the seafloor (Buck et al. 2006; Hamlin et al. 2010).

7. Conclusions

In the western Gulf of Aden, the east-west trending boundary between the Arabian and Somalian plates is transitioning from a continental rift to a mid-ocean ridge. Until

recently, the section of the nascent Aden Ridge near 44°E was characterized by low levels of seismicity and a lack of recent volcanism on the seafloor, and has been believed to lie west of the boundary of active seafloor spreading. However, our analysis of a swarm of moderate to large earthquakes that began on November 14, 2010 in this area indicates that the early stages of seafloor spreading have now propagated into this section of the rift. The swarm closely resembles dike-induced earthquake swarms from both continental and oceanic settings, and was likely triggered by the lateral propagation of a shallow dike intrusion. Though the sequence was dominated by shallow, normal-faulting earthquakes, we also find evidence for an incipient transform fault and the early stages of rift-transform segmentation. The direction of extension accommodated by the normal-faulting earthquakes of the sequence is intermediate between the rift-orthogonal and the spreading direction predicted by global plate motion vectors, validating analogue and analytical models of oblique rifting. Our findings indicate that deformation at the onset of seafloor spreading is achieved by discrete episodes of faulting and magmatism.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Ekström, C.J. Ebinger and M. Belachew for helpful comments and discussions. We also thank A. Rubin, Editor Y. Ben-Zion, and one anonymous reviewer for constructive comments that improved the manuscript. The seismic data used in this paper were collected and distributed by IRIS and the USGS. We thank the operators of the GSN, Geoscope, GEOFON, MEDNET and the Canadian National Seismic Network for collecting and archiving the seismic data used in this study. This work was supported by NSF award EAR-0944055.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1: Centroid-moment-tensor solutions for 110 earthquakes occurring in the western Gulf of Aden from November 2010 to April 2011. The number in the first column is the event number for each earthquake. An asterisk next to the event number indicates that the earthquake is less-well constrained (see text). The event number is followed by the year, month, day and origin time of the earthquake. The origin time listed is that of the centroid solution, where the estimated standard deviation, δt_0 , indicates the time shift (in seconds) with respect to the time reported by the NEIC in its Preliminary Determination of Epicenters (PDE) or the Global CMT Project's Surface Wave Catalog.

The hypocentral coordinates are for the centroid location, and $\delta\lambda_o$ and $\delta\phi_o$ indicate the perturbations in latitude and longitude obtained with respect to the original epicenter. Because all of the earthquakes are shallow, their centroid depths were constrained by the inversion to be 12 kilometers, so no standard error in depth is given.

The half duration (*Half Drtn*) of the earthquake is a fixed parameter in the inversion, estimated from the scalar moment using an empirical relationship. The moment-rate function is modeled as a triangle.

The scale factor (10^{ex}) is the number by which the scalar seismic moment and momenttensor elements must be multiplied to obtain a result in Nm. The entries in the table represent the exponent (*ex*) values. The scalar moment (M_0) is defined as

 $M_0 = (\sigma_{max} - \sigma_{min})/2$, where σ_{max} and σ_{min} are the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of the moment tensor.

The elements of the moment tensor are given in the standard spherical coordinate system (Gilbert & Dziewonski 1975). In Cartesian coordinates, $M_{rr} = M_{zz}$, $M_{\theta\theta} = M_{xx}$, $M_{\phi\phi} = M_{yy}$, $M_{r\theta} = M_{xz}$, $M_{r\phi} = -M_{yz}$, and $M_{\theta\phi} = -M_{xy}$ (see Aki & Richards 2002). The CMT solutions are constrained to have no isotropic component, so that $M_{rr} + M_{\theta\theta} + M_{\phi\phi} = 0$. In some cases, the elements of $M_{r\theta}$ and $M_{r\phi}$ are also constrained to zero because of the instability of the solution. In these cases, the corresponding values and standard errors are omitted in the table. Each element of the moment tensor is followed by its estimated standard error.

Table S2: Moment tensors expressed in principal-axis system and best-double-couple parameters. As in Table S1, the number in the first column is the event number, and an asterisk next to the event number indicates that the solution is less-well constrained. The scale factor (10^{ex}) is the number by which the scalar seismic moment and eigenvalues must be multiplied to obtain a result in Nm. Each principal axis is described by an eigenvalue, plunge and azimuth. The scalar moment (M_0) is repeated from Table S1. The strike, dip, and rake for the nodal planes of the best-double-couple mechanism are listed, following the convention of Aki & Richards (2002).

References

- Abdallah, A., Courtillot, V., Kasser, M., Le Dain, A.-Y., Lépine, J.-C., Robineau, B.,
 Ruegg, J.-C., Tapponnier, P., & Tarantola, A., 1979. Relevance of Afar seismicity and volcanism to the mechanics of accreting plate boundaries, *Nature*, **282**, 17-23.
- Agostini, A., Corti, G., Zeoli, A. & Mulugeta, G., 2009. Evolution, pattern, and partitioning of deformation during oblique continental rifting: Inferences from lithosphericscale centrifuge models, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, **10**, doi:10.1029/2009GC002676.
- Aki, K., 1965. Maximum likelihood estimate of *b* in the formula *log N = a-bM* and its confidence limits. *Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst. Univ. Tokyo*, **43**, 237-239.
- Aki, K. & Richards, P. G., 2002. *Quantitative Seismology*, 2nd edn, University Science Books, Sausalito, California.
- Arvidsson, R. & Ekström, G., 1998. Global CMT analysis of moderate earthquakes, M_w≥4.5, using intermediate-period surface waves, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 88, 1003-1013.
- Autin, J., Bellahsen, N., Husson, L., Beslier, M.-O., Leroy, S., & d'Acremont, E., 2010.
 Analog models of oblique rifting in a cold lithosphere, *Tectonics*, 29, doi:10.1029/2010TC002671.
- Ayele, A., Keir, D., Ebinger, C., Wright, T. J., Stuart, G. W., Buck, W. R., Jacques, E.,
 Ogubazghi, G., & Sholan, J., 2009. September 2005 mega-dike emplacement in
 the Manda-Harraro nascent oceanic rift (Afar depression), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 36, doi:10.1029/2009GL039605.
- Baker, J., Snee, L., & Menzies, M., 1996. A brief Oligocene period of flood volcanism in Yemen: Implications for the duration and rate of continental flood volcanism at

the Afro-Arabian triple junction, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **138**, 39-55, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(95)00229-6.

Bassin, C., Laske, G., & Masters, G., 2000. The Current Limits of Resolution for Surface Wave Tomography in North America, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **81**, F897.

Belachew, M., Ebinger, C., Coté, D., Keir, D., Rowland, J. V., Hammond, J. O. S., & Ayele, A., 2011. Comparison of dike intrusions in an incipient seafloor-spreading segment in Afar, Ethiopia: Seismicity perspectives, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, doi:10.1029/2010JB007908.

- Bellahsen, N., Faccenna, C., Funicello, F., Daniel, J.-M., & Jolivet, L., 2003. Why did Arabia separate from Africa?: insights from 3-D laboratory experiments, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **216**, 365-381.
- Bellahsen, N., Fournier, M., d'Acremont, E., Leroy, S., & Daniel, J. M., 2006. Fault reactivation and rift localization: Northeastern Gulf of Aden margin, *Tectonics*, 25, doi:10.1029/2004TC001626.
- Bender, B., 1983. Maximum likelihood estimation of b values for magnitude grouped data. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **73**, 831-851.
- Bergman, E. A. & Solomon, S. C., 1990. Earthquake Swarms on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge:
 Products of Magmatism or Extensional Tectonics?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 4943-4965.
- Bird, P., 2003. An updated digital model of plate boundaries. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, **4**, doi:10.1029/2001GC000252.
- Bird, P., Kagan, Y. Y., Jackson, D. D., Schoenberg, F. P., & Werner, M. J., 2009. Linear and Nonlinear Relations between Relative Plate Velocity and Seismicity, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **99**, 3097-3113, doi:10.1785/0120090082.

- Bosworth, W., Huchon, P., & McClay, K., 2005. The Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Basins, *J. African Earth Sci.*, **43**, 334-378.
- Brandsdóttir, B., & Einarsson, P., 1979. Seismic activity associated with the September 1977 deflation of the Krafla central volcano in northeastern Iceland, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 6, 197-212.
- Buck, W. R., Einarsson, P., & Brandsdóttir, B., 2006. Tectonic stress and magma chamber size as controls on dike propagation: Constraints from the 1975-1984
 Krafla rifting episode, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, doi:10.1029/2005JB003879.
- Clifton, A. E. & Schlische, R. W., 2001. Nucleation, growth, and linkage of faults in oblique rift zones: Results from experimental clay models and implications for maximum fault size, *Geology*, **29**, 455-458.
- Clifton, A. E., Schlische, R. W., Withjack, M. O., & Ackermann, R. V., 2000. Influence of rift obliquity on fault-population systematics: results of experimental clay models, *J. Struct. Geol.*, **22**, 1491-1509.
- Cochran, J. R., 1981. The Gulf of Aden: Structure and Evolution of a Young Ocean Basin and Continental Margin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 263-287.
- Corti, G., Bonini, M., Innocenti, F., Manetti, P., & Mulugeta, G., 2001. Centrifuge models simulating magma emplacement during oblique rifting, *J. Geodyn.*, **31**, 557-576.
- Corti, G., Bonini, M., Conticelli, S., Innocenti, F., Manetti, P., Sokoutis, D., 2003.
 Analogue modeling of continental extension: a review focused on the relations between the patterns of deformation and the presence of magma, *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 63, 169-247.
- Courtillot, V. E., 1980. Opening of the Gulf of Aden and Afar by progressive tearing. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **21**, 343-350.

- Courtillot, V., Jaupart, C., Manighetti, I., Tapponnier, P., & Besse, J., 1999. On causal links between flood basalts and continental breakup, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **166**, 177-195.
- Dauteuil, O., & Brun, J.-P., 1993. Oblique rifting in a slow-spreading ridge, *Nature*, **361**, 145-148.
- Dauteuil, O., Huchon, P., Quemeneur, F., & Souriot, T., 2001. Propagation of an oblique spreading center: the western Gulf of Aden, *Tectonophysics*, **332**, 423-442.
- DeMets, C., Gordon, R. G., & Argus, D. F., 2010. Geologically current plate motions, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **181**, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04491.x.
- Doubré, C., & Peltzer, G., 2007. Fluid-controlled faulting process in the Asal rift, Djibouti, from 8 yr of radar interferometry observations, *Geology*, **35**, doi:10.1130/G23022A.1.
- Dziak, R. P., Fox, C. G., & Schreiner, A. E., 1995. The June-July 1993 seismo-acoustic event at CoAxial segment, Juan de Fuca Ridge: Evidence for a lateral dike injection, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, doi:10.1029/94GL01857.
- Dziak, R., Smith, D., Bohnenstiehl, D., Fox, C., Desbruyeres, D., Matsumoto, H., Tolstoy,
 M., & Fornari, D., 2004. Evidence of a recent magma dike intrusion at the slow-spreading Lucky Strike segment, Mid-Atlantic Ridge, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, doi:10.1029/2004JB003141.
- Dziak, R. P., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Cowen, J. P., Baker, E. T., Rubin, K. H., Haxel, J. H.,
 & Fowler, M. J., 2007. Rapid dike emplacement leads to eruptions and
 hydrothermal plume release during seafloor spreading events, *Geology*, 35,
 doi:10.1130/G23476A.1.
- Dziak, R. P., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Matsumoto, H., Fowler, M. J., Haxel, J. H., Tolstoy, M., & Waldhauser, F., 2009. January 2006 seafloor-spreading event at 9°50'N, East

Pacific Rise: Ridge dike intrusion and transform fault interactions from regional hydroacoustic data. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **10**, doi:10.1029/2009GC002388.

- Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, 1981. Preliminary Reference Earth Model, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **25**, 297-356.
- Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, T.-A., & J. H. Woodhouse, 1981. Determination of Earthquake Source Parameters from Waveform Data for Studies of Global and Regional Seismicity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 2825-2852.
- Ebinger, C. J., Keir, D., Ayele, A., Calais, E., Wright, T. J., Belachew, M., Hammond, J.
 O. S., Campbell, E., & Buck, W. R., 2008. Capturing magma intrusion and faulting processes during continental rupture: seismicity of the Dabbahu (Afar) rift, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **174**, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03877.x.
- Ebinger, C., Ayele, A., Keir, D., Rowland, J., Yirgu, G., Wright, T., Belachew, M., &
 Hamling, I., 2010. Length and Timescales of Rift Faulting and Magma Intrusion:
 The Afar Rifting Cycle from 2005 to Present, *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 38, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-152333.
- Edwards, M. H., Kurras, G. J., Tolstoy, M., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Coackley, B. J., Cochran, J. R., 2001. Evidence of recent volcanic activity on the ultraslowspreading Gakkel Ridge, *Nature*, **409**, 808-812.
- Ekström, G., 1989. A very broad band inversion method for the recovery of earthquake source parameters, *Tectonophysics*, **166**, 73-100.
- Ekström, G., 2006. Global Detection and Location of Seismic Sources by Using Surface Waves, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **96**, doi:10.1785/0120050175.

- Ekström, G., Dziewonski, A. M., Maternovskaya, N. N., & Nettles, M., 2003. Global seismicity of 2001: centroid-moment tensor solutions for 961 earthquakes, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **136**, 165-185.
- Ekström, G., Dziewonski, A. M., Maternovskaya, N. N., & Nettles, M., 2005. Global seismicity of 2003: centroid-moment-tensor solutions for 1087 earthquakes, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **148**, 327-351.
- Farrell, J., Husen, S., & Smith, R. B., 2009. Earthquake swam and b-value characterization of the Yellowstone volcano-tectonic system, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., **188**, 260-276.
- Fournier, M., & Petit, C., 2007. Oblique rifting at oceanic ridges: Relationship between spreading and stretching directions from earthquake focal mechanisms, *J. Struct. Geol.*, **29**, 201-208.
- Fox, C., Radford, W. E., Dziak, R., Lau, T.-K., Matsumoto, H., & Schreiner, A. E., 1995. Acoustic detection of a seafloor spreading episode on the Juan de Fuca ridge using military hydrophone arrays, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, doi:10.1029/94GL02059.
- Frohlich, C., 1994. Earthquakes with Non-Double-Couple Mechanisms, *Science*, **264**, 804-807.
- Frohlich, C., & Davis, S. D., 1993. Teleseismic b values; Or, Much Ado About 1.0, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **98**, 631-644.
- Gilbert, F., Dziewonski, A. M., 1975. An application of normal mode theory to the retrieval of structural parameters and source mechanisms from seismic spectra. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., Lond. Ser. A*, **278**, 187-269.
- Grandin, R., Socquet, A., Binet, R., Klinger, Y., Jacques, E., de Chabalier, J.-B., King, G. C. P., Lasserre, C., Tait, S., Tapponnier, P., Delorme, A., & Pinzuti, P., 2009.

September 2005 Manda Hararo-Dabbahu rifting event, Afar (Ethiopia): Constraints provided by geodetic data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, doi:10.1029/2008JB005843.

- Hamling, I. J., Ayele, A., Bennati, L., Calais, E., Ebinger, C. J., Keir, D., Lewi, E., Wright,
 T. J., & Yirgu, G., 2009. Geodetic observations of the ongoing Dabbahu rifting episode: new dyke intrusions in 2006 and 2007, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04163.x.
- Hamling, I. J., Wright, T. J., Calais, E., Bennati, L., & Lewi, E., 2010. Stress transfer between thirteen successive dyke intrusions in Ethiopia, *Nature Geosci.*, 3, doi:10.1038/NGEO967.
- Hébert, H., Deplus, C., Huchon, P., Khanbari, K., & Audin, L., 2001. Lithospheric structure of a nascent spreading ridge inferred from gravity data: The western Gulf of Aden, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 26,345-26,363.
- Hill, D. P., Ellsworth, W. L., Johnston, M. J. S., Langbein, J. O., Oppenheimer, D. H., Pitt,
 A. M., Reasenberg, P. A., Sorey, M. L., & McNutt, S. R., 1990. The 1989
 earthquake swarm beneath Mammoth Mountain, California: An initial look at the
 4 May through 30 September activity, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **80**, 325-339.
- Hjörleifsdóttir, V., & Ekström, G., 2010. Effects of three-dimensional Earth structure on CMT earthquake parameters. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **179**, 178-190, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2009.11.003.
- Hoffman, C., Courtillot, V., Féraud, G., Rochette, P., Yirgu, G., Ketefo, E., & Pik, R., 1997. Timing of the Ethiopian flood basalt event and implications for plume birth and global change, *Nature*, **389**, 838-841, doi:10.1038/39853.
- Huang, P. Y., & Solomon, S. C., 1988. Centroid Depth of Mid-Ocean Ridge Earthquakes: Dependence on Spreading Rate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 13,445-13,477.

- Jolivet, L., & Faccenna, C., 2000. Mediterranean extension and the Africa-Eurasia collision, *Tectonics*, **19**, 1095-1106, doi:10.1029/2000TC900018.
- Keir, D., Hamling, I. J., Ayele, A., Calais, C., Ebinger, C., Wright, T. J., Jacques, E.,
 Mohamed, K., Hammond, J. O. S., Belachew, M., Baker, E., Rowland, J. V.,
 Lewi, E., & Bennati, L., 2009. Evidence for focused magma accretion at segment
 centers from lateral dike injections captured beneath the Red Sea rift in Afar, *Geology*, **37**, doi:10.1130/G25147A.1.
- Khanbari, K., 2000. *Propagation d'un rift océanique: le Golfe d'Aden*, Thèse de Doctorat, Univ. Paris Sud, Paris, France.
- King, G., 1983. The Accomodation of Large Strains in the Upper Lithosphere of the Earth and Other Solids by Self-similar Fault Systems: the Geometrical Origin of b-Value, *Pure App. Geophys.*, **121**, 761-815.
- Korger, E. I. M., & Schlindwein, V., 2011. Performance of localization algorithms for teleseismic mid-ocean ridge earthquakes: the 1999 Gakkel Ridge earthquake swarm and its geological interpretation, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **188**, 613-625, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05282.x.
- Kulpinski, K , Coté, D. M., Ebinger, C. J., Keir, D., & Ayele, A., 2009. Testing models of dike intrusion during rifting episodes: The role of heating in triggering earthquakes in Afar, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **9**(52), Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract T31B-1820.
- Malkin, B. V., & Shemenda, A. I., 1991. Mechanism of rifting: Considerations based on results of physical modeling and on geological and geophysical data,
 Tectonophysics, **199**, 193-210, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(91)90170-O.

- Manighetti, I., Tapponnier, P., Courtillot, V., Gruszow, S., & Gillot, P.-Y., 1997.
 Propagation of rifting along the Arabia-Somalia plate boundary: The Gulfs of
 Aden and Tadjoura, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 2681-2710.
- Mart, Y., & Dauteuil, O., 2000. Analogue experiments of propagation of oblique rifts, *Tectonophysics*, **316**, 121-132.
- McClay, K. R., & White, M. J., 1995. Analogue modeling of orthogonal and oblique rifting, *Mar. Petro. Geol.*, **12**, 137-151.
- McKenzie, D. P., 1969. The relation between fault plane solutions for earthquakes and the directions of the principal stress, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **59**, 591-601.
- Müller, C. & Jokat, W., 2000. Seismic Evidence for Volcanic Activity Discovered in Central Arctic, *EOS, Trans. AGU*, **81**, 265-269.
- Nakanishi, I., & Kanamori, H., 1982. Effects of lateral heterogeneity and source process time on the linear moment tensor inversion of long-period Rayleigh-waves. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **72**, 2063-2080.
- Riedel, C., & Schlindwein, V., 2010. Did the 1999 earthquake swarm on Gakkel Ridge open a volcanic conduit? A detailed teleseismic data analysis, *J. Seismol.*, 14, 505-522.
- Rochette, P., Tamrat, E., Féraud, G., Pik, R., Courtillot, V., Kefeto, E., Coulon, C., Hoffmann, C., Vandamme, D., & Yirgu, G. (1997), Magnetostratigraphy and timing of the Oligocene Ethiopian traps, *Earth Planet Sci. Lett.*, **14**, 497-510.
- Rowland, J. V., Baker, E., Ebinger, C. J., Keir, D., Kidane, T., Biggs, J., Hayward, N., &
 Wright, T. J., 2007. Fault growth at a nascent slow-spreading ridge: 2005
 Dabbahu rifting episode, Afar, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **171**, 1226-1246.
- Rubin, A. M., 1990. A comparison of rift-zone tectonics in Iceland and Hawaii, *Bull. Volcanol.*, **52**, 302-319.

- Rubin, A. M., 1992. Dike-induced Faulting and Graben Subsidence in Volcanic Rift Zones, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **97**, 1839-1858.
- Rubin, A. M. & Pollard, D. D., 1988. Dike-induced faulting in rift zones of Iceland and Afar, *Geology*, **16**, 413-417.
- Rubin, A. M. & Gillard, D., 1998. Dike-induced earthquakes: Theoretical considerations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**, 10,017-10,030.
- Schlindwein, V., & Riedel, C., 2010. Location and source mechanism of sound signals at Gakkel ridge, Arctic Ocean: Submarine Strombolian activity in the 1999-2001 volcanic episode, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, **11**, doi:10.1029/2009GC002706.
- Shcherbakov, R. & Turcotte, D., 2004. A Modified Form of Båth's Law. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **94**, 5, 1968-1975.
- Shcherbakov, R., Turcotte, D., & Rundle, J., 2005. Aftershock Statistics, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **162**, 1051-1076, doi:10.1007/s00024-004-2661-8.
- Smith, G., & Ekström, G., 1997. Interpretation of earthquake epicenter and CMT centroid locations, in terms of rupture length and direction. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **102**, 123-132.
- Smith, S. R., Bourassa, M. A., & Long, M., 2011. Pirate Attacks Affect Indian Ocean Climate Research, *Eos, Trans. AGU*, **92**, 225-226.
- Solomon, S. C. & Burr, N. C., 1979. The relationship of source parameters of ridge-crest and transform earthquakes to the thermal structure of oceanic lithosphere, *Tectonophysics*, **55**, 107-126.
- Solomon, S. C., Huang, P. Y., & Meinke, L., 1988. The seismic moment budget of slowly spreading ridges, *Nature*, **334**, 58-60.

- Tamsett, D., & Searle, R. C., 1988. Structure and Development of the Midocean Ridge Plate Boundary in the Gulf of Aden: Evidence from GLORIA Side Scan Sonar, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 3157-3178.
- Taylor, B., Crook, K., & Sinton, J., 1994. Extensional transform zones and oblique spreading centers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **99**, 19,707-19,718.
- Toda, S., Stein, R. S., & Sagiya, T., 2002. Evidence from the AD 2000 Izu islands earthquake swarm that stressing rate governs seismicity, *Nature*, **419**, 58-61.
- Tolstoy, M., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Edwards, M. H. & Kurras, G. J., 2001. Seismic character of volcanic activity at the ultraslow-spreading Gakkel Ridge, *Geology*, 29, 1139-1142.
- Tolstoy, M., Cowen, J. P., Baker, E. T., Fornari, D. J., Rubin, K. H., Shank, T. M.,
 Waldhauser, F., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Forsyth, D. W., Holmes, R. C., Love, B.,
 Perfit, M. R., Weekly, R. T., Soule, S. A., & Glazer, B., 2006. A Sea-Floor
 Spreading Event Captured by Seismometers, *Science*, **314**, 1920-1922.
- Townend, J., 2006. What do Faults Feel? Observational Constraints on the Stresses Acting on Seismogenic Faults, in *Earthquakes: Radiated Energy and the Physics of Faulting*, pp. 313-327, eds. Abercrombie, R., McGarr, A., Di Toro, G., & Kanamori, H., AGU Geophys. Monograph Series, Washington D.C.
- Tron, V. & Brun, J.-P., 1991. Experiments on oblique rifting in brittle-ductile systems, *Tectonophysics*, **188**, 71-84.
- Tuckwell, G. W., Bull, J. M., & Sanderson, D. J., 1996. Models of fracture orientation at oblique spreading centers, *J. Geolog. Soc. London*, **153**, 185-189.
- Ukstins, I. A., Renne, P. R., Wolfenden, E., Baker, J., Ayalew, D., & Menzies, M., 2002. Matching conjugate volcanic rifted margins: 40Ar/39Ar chronostratigraphy of the

pre- and syn-rift bimodal flood volcanism in Ethiopia and Yemen, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **198**, 289-306, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00525-3.

- Utsu, T., 1965. A method for determining the value of *b* in a formula *log N = a-bM* showing the magnitude frequency for earthquakes. *Geophys. Bull. Hokkaido Univ.*, **13**, 99-103.
- Wells, D. L., & Coppersmith, K. J., 1994. New Empirical Relationships among Magnitude, Rupture Length, Rupture Width, Rupture Area, and Surface Displacement, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 84, 974-1002.
- Wiemer, S., 2001. A Software Package to Analyze Seismicity: ZMAP, *Seism. Res. Lett.*, **72**, 374-383.
- Wiemer, S., & McNutt, S. R., 1997. Variations in the frequency-magnitude distribution with depth in two volcanic areas: Mount St. Helens, Washington, and Mt. Spurr, Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 189-192.
- Wiemer, S., McNutt, S. R., & Wyss, M., 1998. Temporal and three-dimensional spatial analyses of the frequency-magnitude distribution near Long Valley Caldera, California, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **134**, 409-421.
- Withjack, M. O., & Jamison, W. R., 1986. Deformation produced by oblique rifting, *Tectonophysics*, **126**, 99-124.
- Wright, T. J., Ebinger, C., Biggs, J., Ayele, A., Yirgu, G., Keir, D., & Stork, A., 2006.
 Magma-maintained rift segmentation at continental rupture in the 2005 Afar dyking episode, *Nature*, **442**, doi:10.1038/nature04978.
- Zúñiga, R., & Wyss, M., 1995. Inadvertent Changes in Magnitude Reported in Earthquake Catalogs: Their Evaluation through *b*-Value Estimates, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **85**, 1858-1866.

Tables:

Earthquake Date	M	Depth
and Time	IVI _W	(km)
11/14/10 06:32	5.4	1.6
11/14/10 13:50	5.2	2.6
11/14/10 17:02	5.5	2.3
11/14/10 22:22	5.3	1.8

Table 1. Focal depth estimates determined by broadband analysis. The depths are relative to the seafloor.

Figure Legends:

Fig. 1. Map of the western Gulf of Aden and surroundings. The Carlsberg Ridge lies east of the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity, outside the frame of the figure. Maroon dots mark the locations of earthquakes in the NEIC catalog (1973-2010). Focal mechanisms are from the Global CMT catalog (1976-2010). All seismic data plotted covers the entire period of the catalogs prior to the start of the earthquake swarm. Plate boundary information is from Bird (2003). The plate motion vector for the Arabian plate relative to the Somalian plate (1.6 cm/yr at N34°E) is from MORVEL (DeMets *et al.* 2010). Topography and bathymetry is plotted from the GEBCO_08 Grid, version 20100927, http://www.gebco.net.

Fig. 2. Centroid locations for 110 earthquakes analyzed in this study (November 2010-April 2011). Red dots denote earthquakes with well-constrained locations while grey dots denote earthquakes with less-well-constrained locations. Focal mechanisms, plate boundary information, bathymetry and topography are as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3. Top: Fractional cumulative seismic moment during the first week of the 2010 Gulf of Aden earthquake sequence. The cumulative seismic moment is estimated by summing the scalar moments for earthquakes analyzed in this study. The cumulative seismic moment through April 2011 is 3.5×10^{18} Nm, which is equivalent to a single M_w 6.3 earthquake. Bottom: Times and magnitudes of earthquakes. Red dots show moment magnitudes for earthquakes analyzed in this study. Blue dots show times and magnitudes for additional earthquakes reported by the USGS NEIC; these are not included in the moment sum.

Fig. 4. Focal mechanisms for the western Gulf of Aden. Black focal mechanisms are preswarm earthquakes from the Global CMT catalog. Focal mechanisms from the swarm that began on November 13, 2010 are plotted in red and grey, with only the doublecouple component shown. The best-constrained focal mechanisms are plotted in red, and the less well-constrained focal mechanisms are plotted in grey. Black lines show fault traces from Dauteuil *et al.* (2001). Bathymetry from GEBCO is plotted in 100-meter contours.

Fig. 5. Spatial and temporal distribution of earthquakes for the first 48 hours of the swarm. Earthquakes are plotted as black circles at the longitude of their centroid locations. The time plotted in this figure is relative to the start of the swarm, 14 November at 06:32 GMT. For reference, a propagation rate of 1.1 m/s is indicated by the dashed line. This is a maximum estimate for the propagation rate of the onset of seismicity at a given longitude.

Fig. 6. Azimuths of tension axes for the western Gulf of Aden earthquakes. Tension axes are plotted at the centroid locations, and are drawn in blue for earthquakes with large strike-slip components, and red or grey for the best-constrained and less well-constrained normal-faulting earthquakes. The double-headed black arrow shows the spreading direction from the global plate motion model MORVEL (DeMets *et al.* 2010). Black lines show fault traces from Dauteuil *et al.* (2001). Bathymetry from GEBCO is plotted in 100-meter contours. Tension axes are plotted in chronological order, and a single, early strike-slip event at 12.09°N, 44.2°E is obscured by later normal-faulting events.

Fig. 7. Focal-depth analysis for the M_w 5.5 earthquake on November 14, 2010 at 17:02 GMT. Solid lines are broadband teleseismic P and SH waveforms, and dashed lines are synthetic seismograms. Brackets across the waveforms show the portions of the seismograms that were used in the inversion, and arrows indicate the picked first arrivals. The station names and maximum amplitude (in microns) are printed for each waveform. The focal mechanism and moment-rate function determined by the body-wave inversion are plotted in the center of the figure. Solid black lines on the focal mechanism show nodal planes for the double-couple part of the moment tensor. Black dots on the focal mechanism show where the plotted waveforms exited the focal sphere. The focal depth of the earthquake is 3.5 km below the sea surface, or 2.3 km below the seafloor.

Figures:



Fig. 1. Map of the western Gulf of Aden and surroundings. The Carlsberg Ridge lies east of the Shukra al Sheik discontinuity, outside the frame of the figure. Maroon dots mark the locations of earthquakes in the NEIC catalog (1973-2010). Focal mechanisms are from the Global CMT catalog (1976-2010). All seismic data plotted covers the entire period of the catalogs prior to the start of the earthquake swarm. Plate boundary information is from Bird (2003). The plate motion vector for the Arabian plate relative to the Somalian plate (1.6 cm/yr at N34°E) is from MORVEL (DeMets *et al.* 2010). Topography and bathymetry is plotted from the GEBCO_08 Grid, version 20100927, http://www.gebco.net.



Fig. 2. Centroid locations for 110 earthquakes analyzed in this study (November 2010-April 2011). Red dots denote earthquakes with well-constrained locations while grey dots denote earthquakes with less-well-constrained locations. Focal mechanisms, plate boundary information, bathymetry and topography are as in Fig. 1.



Fig. 3. Top: Fractional cumulative seismic moment during the first week of the 2010 Gulf of Aden earthquake sequence. The cumulative seismic moment is estimated by summing the scalar moments for earthquakes analyzed in this study. The cumulative seismic moment through April 2011 is 3.5×10^{18} Nm, which is equivalent to a single M_w 6.3 earthquake. Bottom: Times and magnitudes of earthquakes. Red dots show moment magnitudes for earthquakes analyzed in this study. Blue dots show times and magnitudes for additional earthquakes reported by the USGS NEIC; these are not included in the moment sum.



Fig. 4. Focal mechanisms for the western Gulf of Aden. Black focal mechanisms are preswarm earthquakes from the Global CMT catalog. Focal mechanisms from the swarm that began on November 13, 2010 are plotted in red and grey, with only the doublecouple component shown. The best-constrained focal mechanisms are plotted in red, and the less well-constrained focal mechanisms are plotted in grey. Black lines show fault traces from Dauteuil *et al.* (2001). Bathymetry from GEBCO is plotted in 100-meter contours.



Fig. 5. Spatial and temporal distribution of earthquakes for the first 48 hours of the swarm. Earthquakes are plotted as black circles at the longitude of their centroid locations. The time plotted in this figure is relative to the start of the swarm, 14 November at 06:32 GMT. For reference, a propagation rate of 1.1 m/s is indicated by the dashed line. This is a maximum estimate for the propagation rate of the onset of seismicity.



Fig. 6. Azimuths of tension axes for the western Gulf of Aden earthquakes. Tension axes are plotted at the centroid locations, and are drawn in blue for earthquakes with large strike-slip components, and red or grey for the best-constrained and less well-constrained normal-faulting earthquakes. The double-headed black arrow shows the spreading direction from the global plate motion model MORVEL (DeMets *et al.* 2010). Black lines show fault traces from Dauteuil *et al.* (2001). Bathymetry from GEBCO is plotted in 100-meter contours. Tension axes are plotted in chronological order, and a single, early strike-slip event at 12.09°N, 44.2°E is obscured by later normal-faulting events.



Fig. 7. Focal-depth analysis for the M_w 5.5 earthquake on November 14, 2010 at 17:02 GMT. Solid lines are broadband teleseismic P and SH waveforms, and dashed lines are synthetic seismograms. Brackets across the waveforms show the portions of the seismograms that were used in the inversion, and arrows indicate the picked first arrivals. The station names and maximum amplitude (in microns) are printed for each waveform. The focal mechanism and moment-rate function determined by the body-wave inversion are plotted in the center of the figure. Solid black lines on the focal mechanism show where the plotted waveforms exited the focal sphere. The focal mechanism show where the plotted waveforms exited the focal sphere. The focal depth of the earthquake is 3.5 km below the sea surface, or 2.3 km below the seafloor.

Centroid Parameters Half Scale	
No. Date Time Latitude Longitude Depth** Drtn Factor M ₀ Elements of Moment Ten	sor
Y M D h m sec δt_0 λ $\delta \lambda_0$ ϕ $\delta \phi_0$ h 10^{ex} M_{rr} M_{a0} M_{AA} M_{rel}	M _{ré} M _{eé}
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$0.32 \pm 0.21 - 0.30 \pm 0.05$
$2 2010 11 14 6 32 28.4 \pm 0.1 0.4 12.03 \pm 0.01 0.05 44.21 \pm 0.01 0.25 12.0 1.9 17 1.8 -1.57 \pm 0.03 1.65 \pm 0.03 -0.07 \pm 0.03 -0.49 \pm 0.07 -0.07 \pm 0.07 -0.07 \pm 0.07 -0.07 $	$-0.28 \pm 0.08 -0.47 \pm 0.03$
$3 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 6 \ 46 \ 9.2 \pm 0.3 \ 0.8 \ 12.06 \pm 0.02 \ 0.16 \ 44.10 \pm 0.04 \ 0.05 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 3.3 \ -3.27 \pm 0.15 \ 2.56 \pm 0.11 \ 0.71 \pm 0.15 \ -0.04 \pm 0.42$	$0.91 \pm 0.66 -1.23 \pm 0.11$
$4 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 6 \ 58 \ 21.5 \pm 0.5 \ 1.2 \ 12.15 \pm 0.04 \ 0.47 \ 44.11 \pm 0.07 \ -0.01 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 2.1 \ -1.64 \pm 0.15 \ 1.51 \pm 0.11 \ 0.13 \pm 0.16 \ 0.98 \pm 0.41 \ 0.16 \ 0.98 \pm 0.41 \ 0.16 \ $	$0.93 \pm 0.56 -0.60 \pm 0.11$
$5 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 7 \ 8 \ 32.8 \pm 0.3 \ 0.6 \ 12.03 \pm 0.02 \ 0.23 \ 44.23 \pm 0.03 \ 0.28 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 4.9 \ -4.69 \pm 0.21 \ 4.70 \pm 0.15 \ -0.02 \pm 0.19 \ -0.14 \pm 0.52 \ 0.14 \ 0.14 \pm 0.52 \ 0.14 \ $	$-0.33 \pm 0.75 -1.31 \pm 0.15$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$-1.29 \pm 0.62 -0.56 \pm 0.13$
$7 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 7 \ 31 \ 17.0 \pm 0.4 \ -3.0 \ 12.06 \pm 0.03 \ -0.19 \ 44.21 \pm 0.06 \ -0.04 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 16 \ 2.2 \ -1.94 \pm 0.18 \ 1.93 \pm 0.11 \ 0.01 \pm 0.16 \ 0.16 \pm 0.36 \ 0.16 \ 0.16 \ 0.16 \ 0.16 \ 0.16 $	$0.91 \pm 0.59 -0.66 \pm 0.11$
$8 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 7 \ 38 \ 34.3 \pm 0.2 \ 1.1 \ 12.01 \pm 0.01 \ 0.08 \ 44.24 \pm 0.02 \ 0.25 \ 12.0 \ 1.2 \ 17 \ 0.8 \ -0.72 \pm 0.02 \ 0.69 \pm 0.02 \ 0.03 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ $	-0.12 ± 0.07 -0.22 ± 0.02
9 2010 11 14 8 0 3.8 ± 0.5 9.3 12.10 ± 0.04 0.20 44.12 ± 0.07 -0.01 12.0 1.0 16 1.6 -1.62 ± 0.13 1.24 ± 0.09 0.37 ± 0.13 -0.02 ± 0.38	$0.44 \pm 0.52 -0.50 \pm 0.09$
$10 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 8 \ 8 \ 40.0 \pm 0.3 \ 0.5 \ 12.08 \pm 0.02 \ 0.30 \ 44.16 \pm 0.05 \ 0.17 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 2.2 \ -1.87 \pm 0.12 \ 1.57 \pm 0.09 \ 0.30 \pm 0.11 \ 0.50 \pm 0.28 \ 0.28 \ 0.12 \ 0$	$1.09 \pm 0.44 -0.71 \pm 0.08$
$11 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 8 \ 21 \ 21.5 \pm 0.2 \ -0.2 \ 12.02 \pm 0.01 \ -0.01 \ 44.24 \pm 0.02 \ 0.28 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 17 \ 1.0 \ -0.92 \pm 0.02 \ 0.93 \pm 0.02 \ -0.01 \pm 0.02 \ -0.34 \pm 0.05 \ -0.01 \pm 0.02 \ -0.01$	-0.30 ± 0.07 -0.21 ± 0.02
$12 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 8 \ 30 \ 19.9 \pm 0.4 \ -2.3 \ 12.10 \pm 0.03 \ 0.17 \ 44.30 \pm 0.06 \ 0.27 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 2.1 \ -2.07 \pm 0.15 \ 1.84 \pm 0.10 \ 0.23 \pm 0.15 \ 0.37 \pm 0.39$	-0.26 ± 0.57 -0.71 ± 0.11
$13 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 8 \ 59 \ 21.4 \pm 0.3 \ 1.4 \ 12.07 \pm 0.02 \ -0.18 \ 44.23 \pm 0.04 \ -0.02 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 16 \ 3.1 \ -2.99 \pm 0.12 \ 2.55 \pm 0.09 \ 0.44 \pm 0.11 \ 0.82 \pm 0.31 \ 0.81 \pm 0.12 \ 0.81 $	-0.90 ± 0.48 -0.68 ± 0.09
$14 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 9 \ 5 \ 55.5 \pm 0.4 \ -1.7 \ 12.09 \pm 0.02 \ 0.29 \ 44.18 \pm 0.05 \ 0.14 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 1.6 \ -0.60 \pm 0.12 \ 1.42 \pm 0.07 \ -0.82 \pm 0.10 \ 0.19 \pm 0.25 \ 0.14 \ 0.19 \pm 0.25 \ 0.14 \ 0.10 \ 0.14 \ 0.16 \$	1.00 ± 0.36 0.18 ± 0.10
$15 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 9 \ 17 \ 51.0 \pm 0.4 \ -0.1 \ 12.10 \pm 0.04 \ 0.19 \ 44.13 \pm 0.05 \ 0.14 \ 12.0 \ 1.2 \ 16 \ 1.6 \ -1.59 \pm 0.11 \ 1.22 \pm 0.08 \ 0.37 \pm 0.12 \ -0.20 \pm 0.35 \ 0.35 \pm 0.14 \ 0.15 \ 0.14 \ 0.15 \ 0.14 \ 0.15 \ 0.14 \ 0.15 $	$0.59 \pm 0.39 - 0.53 \pm 0.08$
$16 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 9 \ 23 \ 25.8 \pm 0.4 \ -0.9 \ 12.08 \pm 0.03 \ 0.07 \ 44.29 \pm 0.06 \ 0.29 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 1.8 \ -1.74 \pm 0.12 \ 1.52 \pm 0.08 \ 0.22 \pm 0.14 \ 0.40 \pm 0.37 \ 0.14 \$	$0.48 \pm 0.44 -0.27 \pm 0.08$
$17 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 9 \ 49 \ 23.5 \pm 0.4 \ -0.1 \ 12.09 \pm 0.03 \ 0.15 \ 44.06 \pm 0.05 \ 0.22 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 1.5 \ -1.46 \pm 0.10 \ 1.09 \pm 0.06 \ 0.37 \pm 0.09 \ 0.06 \pm 0.25 \$	$0.29 \pm 0.37 -0.64 \pm 0.07$
*18 2010 11 14 10 34 25.7 \pm 0.8 -0.8 12.04 \pm 0.04 0.19 44.23 \pm 0.06 0.13 12.0 1.1 16 1.7 -1.69 \pm 0.20 1.64 \pm 0.15 0.05 \pm 0.18	-0.36 ± 0.16
$19 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 10 \ 37 \ 43.6 \pm 0.2 \ -3.8 \ 12.07 \pm 0.01 \ 0.13 \ 44.23 \pm 0.02 \ 0.26 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 17 \ 0.9 \ -0.91 \pm 0.02 \ 0.86 \pm 0.01 \ 0.04 \pm 0.02 \ 0.00 \pm 0.06 \ 0.01 \ 0.04 \pm 0.02 \ 0.00 \pm 0.06 \ 0.01 \ 0.04 \pm 0.02 \ 0.06 \pm 0.01 \ 0.06 \pm 0.01 \ 0.04 \pm 0.02 \ 0.04 \pm 0.04 \ 0.04 \ 0.04 \pm 0.04 \ 0.04 $	$-0.11 \pm 0.09 -0.23 \pm 0.01$
$20 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12.7 \pm 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 12.03 \pm 0.04 \ 0.25 \ 44.19 \pm 0.06 \ 0.17 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 1.3 \ -1.41 \pm 0.09 \ 1.07 \pm 0.07 \ 0.34 \pm 0.10 \ 0.09 \pm 0.31 \ 0.09 \pm$	$0.27 \pm 0.40 -0.37 \pm 0.07$
$21 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 11 \ 30 \ 16.1 \pm 0.3 \ -2.1 \ 12.09 \pm 0.02 \ -0.01 \ 44.10 \pm 0.05 \ 0.15 \ 12.0 \ 1.2 \ 16 \ 2.4 \ -2.29 \pm 0.12 \ 2.16 \pm 0.08 \ 0.13 \pm 0.11 \ 0.43 \pm 0.29 \ 0.12$	$0.01 \pm 0.50 -0.69 \pm 0.09$
$*22 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12 \ 0 \ 43.9 \pm 0.7 \ -3.1 \ 12.03 \pm 0.05 \ 0.22 \ 44.32 \pm 0.12 \ 0.33 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 15 \ 7.0 \ -6.71 \pm 0.83 \ 5.77 \pm 0.48 \ 0.95 \pm 0.90 \ 0.31 \pm 2.06 \ 0.95 \pm 0.90 \ 0.31 \pm 2.06 \ 0.95 \pm 0.90 \ 0.31 \pm 2.06 \ 0.95 \pm 0.90 \ 0.31 \pm 0.95 \pm 0.95 \ 0.95 $	$3.54 \pm 3.78 - 0.35 \pm 0.65$
*23 2010 11 14 12 10 11.7 \pm 0.4 -2.3 12.10 \pm 0.02 0.27 44.30 \pm 0.03 0.42 12.0 1.0 16 1.4 -1.50 \pm 0.10 1.35 \pm 0.06 0.15 \pm 0.09	-0.08 ± 0.07
$24 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12 \ 17 \ 15.1 \pm 0.2 \ -0.7 \ 12.09 \pm 0.02 \ 0.26 \ 44.16 \pm 0.03 \ 0.31 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 3.2 \ -3.23 \pm 0.11 \ 2.89 \pm 0.08 \ 0.34 \pm 0.11 \ -0.32 \pm 0.30 \ 0.34 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.34 \ -0.34 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.3$	$-0.72 \pm 0.38 -0.57 \pm 0.08$
$25 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12 \ 39 \ 5.0 \pm 0.2 \ 9.7 \ 12.09 \pm 0.01 \ 0.36 \ 44.18 \pm 0.03 \ 0.24 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 4.2 \ -4.23 \pm 0.13 \ 3.88 \pm 0.10 \ 0.34 \pm 0.12 \ -0.17 \pm 0.39 \ 0.39 \ 0.34 \pm 0.12 \ 0.17 \pm 0.39 \ 0.34 \pm 0.12 \ 0.34 \pm 0.34 \pm$	$-0.82 \pm 0.60 -0.78 \pm 0.10$
$26 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12 \ 41 \ 47.4 \pm 0.4 \ -1.2 \ 12.12 \pm 0.02 \ 0.16 \ 44.13 \pm 0.05 \ 0.23 \ 12.0 \ 1.0 \ 16 \ 2.7 \ -2.83 \pm 0.13 \ 2.35 \pm 0.10 \ 0.48 \pm 0.12 \ -0.18 \pm 0.39$	$0.37 \pm 0.57 -0.72 \pm 0.11$
$27 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 12 \ 49 \ 57.4 \pm 0.2 \ 1.3 \ 12.06 \pm 0.01 \ 0.20 \ 43.94 \pm 0.03 \ 0.18 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 4.1 \ -3.77 \pm 0.14 \ 3.40 \pm 0.10 \ 0.37 \pm 0.13 \ 0.06 \pm 0.35 \ 0.35 \ 0.14 \ 0.16 \$	$1.12 \pm 0.56 -1.70 \pm 0.10$
$*28 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 13 \ 19 \ 53.3 \pm 0.4 \ 1.3 \ 12.12 \pm 0.03 \ -0.13 \ 44.01 \pm 0.05 \ -0.24 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 1.2 \ -1.01 \pm 0.07 \ 0.81 \pm 0.05 \ 0.20 \pm 0.06 \ 0.39 \pm 0.17 \ 0.95 \ 0.20 \pm 0.16 \ 0.39 \pm 0.17 \ 0.15 \ 0.20 \pm 0.16 \ 0.39 \pm 0.17 \ 0.15 \ 0.20 \pm 0.16 \ 0.39 \pm 0.17 \ 0.15 \ 0.1$	$0.67 \pm 0.23 - 0.32 \pm 0.05$
$29 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 13 \ 50 \ 5.4 \pm 0.2 \ 1.9 \ 12.04 \pm 0.01 \ 0.11 \ 43.95 \pm 0.02 \ 0.01 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 17 \ 0.8 \ -0.65 \pm 0.02 \ 0.65 \pm 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ -0.20 \pm 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.00 \pm 0.02 \ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.$	$0.10 \pm 0.06 -0.38 \pm 0.02$
$30 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 14 \ 4 \ 37.5 \pm 0.2 \ 6.7 \ 12.08 \pm 0.01 \ 0.11 \ 44.19 \pm 0.02 \ 0.26 \ 12.0 \ 1.3 \ 16 \ 6.9 \ -6.56 \pm 0.18 \ 6.10 \pm 0.14 \ 0.46 \pm 0.17 \ -1.28 \pm 0.49$	$-2.42 \pm 0.66 -0.92 \pm 0.13$
31 2010 11 14 14 27 42.0 \pm 0.3 -0.6 12.00 \pm 0.02 0.22 43.93 \pm 0.03 0.26 12.0 1.2 16 4.9 -4.18 \pm 0.22 4.23 \pm 0.16 -0.06 \pm 0.22 -1.56 \pm 0.52	$0.64 \pm 0.67 - 2.09 \pm 0.16$
$32 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 14 \ 33 \ 20.0 \pm 0.2 \ 0.8 \ 12.04 \pm 0.01 \ 0.09 \ 43.98 \pm 0.02 \ 0.17 \ 12.0 \ 1.7 \ 17 \ 1.3 \ -0.85 \pm 0.04 \ 1.43 \pm 0.02 \ -0.59 \pm 0.03 \ 0.18 \pm 0.09 \ 0.18 $	$0.33 \pm 0.12 -0.26 \pm 0.03$
*33 2010 11 14 14 41 46.8 \pm 0.4 -2.1 12.17 \pm 0.03 0.21 44.35 \pm 0.07 0.48 12.0 1.0 16 2.3 -1.70 \pm 0.15 1.57 \pm 0.10 0.13 \pm 0.16 1.09 \pm 0.42	-1.26 ± 0.56 -0.18 ± 0.12
34 2010 11 14 14 55 27.4 \pm 0.1 1.6 12.01 \pm 0.01 -0.03 43.96 \pm 0.02 0.16 12.0 1.4 17 1.4 -1.18 \pm 0.03 1.18 \pm 0.02 0.00 \pm 0.03 -0.44 \pm 0.07	$-0.12 \pm 0.09 -0.52 \pm 0.02$
$35 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 15 \ 6 \ 30.9 \pm 0.2 \ 0.3 \ 12.03 \pm 0.01 \ 0.12 \ 43.91 \pm 0.02 \ 0.27 \ 12.0 \ 1.7 \ 17 \ 0.9 \ -0.82 \pm 0.02 \ 0.79 \pm 0.02 \ 0.03 \pm 0.02 \ -0.17 \pm 0.06 \ 0.17 \pm$	0.08 ± 0.10 -0.32 ± 0.02
$36 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 15 \ 15 \ 5.7 \pm 0.3 \ -0.4 \ 12.06 \pm 0.02 \ -0.04 \ 43.93 \pm 0.04 \ 0.18 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 2.7 \ -2.39 \pm 0.14 \ 2.19 \pm 0.09 \ 0.20 \pm 0.12 \ 0.18 \pm 0.31 \ 0.31 $	$0.95 \pm 0.50 - 1.02 \pm 0.09$
37 2010 11 14 15 27 13.2 \pm 0.3 0.1 12.05 \pm 0.02 0.29 43.84 \pm 0.04 0.13 12.0 1.0 16 2.4 -2.03 \pm 0.11 2.18 \pm 0.07 -0.15 \pm 0.10 0.30 \pm 0.25 0.25 0.26 0.27 0.27 0.29 43.84 \pm 0.04 0.13 12.0 1.0 16 2.4 -2.03 \pm 0.11 2.18 \pm 0.07 -0.15 \pm 0.10 0.30 \pm 0.25 0.25 0.26 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.28 \pm 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29	0.86 ± 0.42 -0.66 ± 0.08
38 2010 11 14 15 37 1.8 ± 0.4 1.8 12.01 ± 0.03 0.13 43.98 ± 0.05 0.21 12.0 1.1 16 1.2 -1.17 ± 0.08 0.84 ± 0.06 0.33 ± 0.07 -0.26 ± 0.21	$0.16 \pm 0.28 -0.50 \pm 0.06$
$39 \ 2010 \ 11 \ 14 \ 16 \ 33 \ 18.8 \pm 0.2 \ 0.5 \ 12.03 \pm 0.01 \ 0.14 \ 43.91 \pm 0.03 \ 0.25 \ 12.0 \ 1.1 \ 16 \ 3.7 \ -3.50 \pm 0.11 \ 3.29 \pm 0.08 \ 0.22 \pm 0.10 \ -0.92 \pm 0.29 \ 0.12 \ 0.11 \ 0.14 $	$-0.73 \pm 0.42 -0.75 \pm 0.09$
40 2010 11 14 16 46 13.7 ± 0.5 -0.7 12.08 ± 0.03 0.27 44.31 ± 0.08 0.37 12.0 1.0 16 1.0 -0.92 ± 0.08 0.85 ± 0.05 0.08 ± 0.07 0.24 ± 0.21	$-0.27 \pm 0.30 -0.14 \pm 0.06$
* Indicates less-well-constrained event (see text).	
** All Jonths Fixed to 12.0 bilemeters	

Supplementary Table 1. Centroid-moment-tensor solutions for 110 earthquakes occurring in the Western Gulf of Aden, 11/2010-4/2011.

					C	Centroid Parar	neters			Half Scale											
No.				Date	;	Time		Latitude		Longitude Depth** Drtn Factor M ₀ Elements of Moment Tensor									sor		
	Y	Μ	D	h	m	sec	δt_0	λ	$\delta \lambda_0$	ф	$\delta \phi_0$	h		10 ^{ex}		M _{rr}	M ₀₀	M _{¢¢}	M _{rθ}	M _{r¢}	M ₀₀
41	2010	11	14	17	2	54.8 ± 0.2	7.1	11.98 ± 0.01	0.12	43.92 ± 0.02	0.20	12.0	1.9	17	2.1	-1.86 ± 0.05	1.88 ± 0.04	-0.03 ± 0.05	-0.41 ± 0.12	-0.33 ± 0.15	-0.69 ± 0.04
42	2010	11	14	17	17	8.0 ± 0.2	0.2	12.03 ± 0.01	0.16	43.91 ± 0.02	0.17	12.0	1.0	16	6.6	-6.33 ± 0.17	5.93 ± 0.13	0.40 ± 0.16	-0.84 ± 0.49	-1.16 ± 0.68	-1.85 ± 0.12
43	2010	11	14	17	39	29.1 ± 0.2	11.6	12.06 ± 0.01	0.20	44.16 ± 0.02	0.18	12.0	1.2	17	0.7	-0.71 ± 0.02	0.67 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	-0.07 ± 0.04	-0.24 ± 0.05	-0.11 ± 0.01
44	2010	11	14	18	54	28.4 ± 0.3	-0.3	12.09 ± 0.02	0.08	44.04 ± 0.03	0.02	12.0	1.1	16	2.7	-2.37 ± 0.10	1.65 ± 0.07	0.72 ± 0.09	-0.23 ± 0.24	1.57 ± 0.36	-0.76 ± 0.08
45	2010	11	14	19	15	44.4 ± 0.2	-0.4	12.07 ± 0.01	0.09	44.23 ± 0.02	0.31	12.0	1.3	16	4.1	-3.60 ± 0.12	3.49 ± 0.09	0.11 ± 0.12	-0.61 ± 0.29	-1.80 ± 0.40	-1.00 ± 0.09
46	2010	11	14	20	18	3.0 ± 0.2	-0.2	12.02 ± 0.01	0.10	43.93 ± 0.02	0.31	12.0	1.2	16	6.0	-5.69 ± 0.17	5.79 ± 0.11	-0.10 ± 0.15	-0.55 ± 0.39	-0.84 ± 0.65	-1.32 ± 0.11
47	2010	11	14	20	19	45.4 ± 0.5	-1.1	12.10 ± 0.03	0.26	44.32 ± 0.07	0.24	12.0	1.2	16	2.1	-2.20 ± 0.17	1.80 ± 0.11	0.39 ± 0.15	0.26 ± 0.42	-0.20 ± 0.61	-0.43 ± 0.11
48	2010	11	14	21	14	45.1 ± 0.2	0.3	12.01 ± 0.01	0.25	43.84 ± 0.03	0.11	12.0	1.2	16	2.4	-2.19 ± 0.10	2.30 ± 0.06	-0.11 ± 0.10	-0.24 ± 0.22	0.56 ± 0.36	-0.64 ± 0.07
49	2010	11	14	21	29	6.2 ± 0.3	0.7	12.11 ± 0.02	0.30	44.14 ± 0.04	0.20	12.0	1.1	16	2.0	-2.01 ± 0.09	1.70 ± 0.06	0.32 ± 0.08	-0.10 ± 0.25	-0.10 ± 0.34	-0.56 ± 0.06
50	2010	11	14	22	22	31.2 ± 0.1	1.1	11.98 ± 0.01	0.07	43.90 ± 0.01	0.32	12.0	1.5	17	1.3	-1.11 ± 0.02	1.11 ± 0.02	$0.00~\pm~0.02$	-0.42 ± 0.05	-0.33 ± 0.07	-0.26 ± 0.02
51	2010	11	14	22	41	49.4 ± 0.5	-2.6	12.11 ± 0.03	-0.14	44.31 ± 0.10	0.56	12.0	1.1	16	0.8	-0.70 ± 0.07	0.69 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.19	-0.35 ± 0.32	-0.06 ± 0.06
52	2010	11	14	23	25	35.4 ± 0.2	0.6	12.10 ± 0.01	-0.02	44.19 ± 0.02	0.18	12.0	1.2	16	5.9	-5.55 ± 0.13	4.97 ± 0.10	0.58 ± 0.12	-0.31 ± 0.32	-2.45 ± 0.43	-1.18 ± 0.10
53	2010	11	15	0	6	8.0 ± 0.4	1.9	12.09 ± 0.02	0.18	44.22 ± 0.05	0.40	12.0	1.1	16	2.0	-1.81 ± 0.12	1.87 ± 0.07	-0.06 ± 0.12	0.41 ± 0.24	-0.54 ± 0.42	0.09 ± 0.09
54	2010	11	15	3	44	3.8 ± 0.4	-1.4	12.15 ± 0.02	0.16	44.06 ± 0.03	0.38	12.0	1.0	16	0.8	-0.27 ± 0.06	0.85 ± 0.04	-0.58 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.12	0.16 ± 0.15	0.10 ± 0.04
55	2010	11	15	5	44	51.0 ± 0.4	3.0	12.04 ± 0.03	-0.21	43.74 ± 0.06	-0.01	12.0	1.1	16	1.0	-0.98 ± 0.08	0.86 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.09	0.12 ± 0.20	0.28 ± 0.27	-0.26 ± 0.06
56	2010	11	15	7	9	6.3 ± 0.5	-1.0	12.07 ± 0.04	0.13	44.25 ± 0.08	0.37	12.0	1.0	16	0.7	-0.76 ± 0.06	0.61 ± 0.04	0.15 ± 0.06	-0.17 ± 0.21	-0.19 ± 0.29	-0.07 ± 0.04
57	2010	11	15	7	36	8.6 ± 0.3	1.7	12.09 ± 0.02	0.16	44.23 ± 0.03	0.23	12.0	1.3	16	2.5	-2.48 ± 0.09	2.10 ± 0.07	0.39 ± 0.09	-0.10 ± 0.23	-0.47 ± 0.35	-0.77 ± 0.06
58	2010	11	15	11	12	21.7 ± 0.2	2.0	11.97 ± 0.01	0.20	43.79 ± 0.03	0.32	12.0	1.0	16	2.6	-2.24 ± 0.10	2.47 ± 0.07	-0.22 ± 0.10	-0.44 ± 0.20	-0.18 ± 0.37	-1.11 ± 0.07
59	2010	11	15	13	37	48.9 ± 0.3	-0.9	12.06 ± 0.02	0.05	44.22 ± 0.03	0.27	12.0	1.0	16	2.6	-2.42 ± 0.10	2.06 ± 0.07	0.36 ± 0.10	-1.04 ± 0.27	-0.26 ± 0.31	-0.75 ± 0.07
*60	2010	11	15	13	44	54.2 ± 0.5	1.3	12.01 ± 0.04	0.25	44.44 ± 0.08	0.53	12.0	1.0	16	1.0	-0.81 ± 0.08	0.78 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.08	-0.13 ± 0.22	-0.62 ± 0.33	-0.14 ± 0.07
61	2010	11	15	17	54	2.7 ± 0.4	-2.6	12.08 ± 0.04	0.13	44.11 ± 0.05	0.17	12.0	1.0	16	0.9	-0.86 ± 0.06	0.59 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.18	0.49 ± 0.19	-0.20 ± 0.04
62	2010	11	15	18	37	1.8 ± 0.3	-0.6	12.12 ± 0.02	0.30	44.15 ± 0.05	0.21	12.0	1.1	16	1.6	-1.63 ± 0.08	1.38 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.08	0.19 ± 0.22	-0.26 ± 0.33	-0.47 ± 0.06
63	2010	11	15	19	48	5.0 ± 0.3	-1.8	12.12 ± 0.02	0.25	44.23 ± 0.05	0.39	12.0	1.0	16	1.4	-0.97 ± 0.08	1.38 ± 0.05	-0.42 ± 0.08	0.13 ± 0.16	-0.54 ± 0.30	0.18 ± 0.05
64	2010	11	15	20	44	7.5 ± 0.3	-0.1	12.13 ± 0.01	0.15	44.00 + 0.02	0.18	12.0	1.1	16	2.0	-0.54 + 0.09	1.79 + 0.06	-1.25 + 0.09	1.18 + 0.20	0.39 + 0.22	0.94 + 0.07
*65	2010	11	15	23	29	295 ± 05	-0.3	12.03 ± 0.03	0.26	44 14 + 0.07	0.31	12.0	1.0	16	1.2	-0.84 + 0.08	0.93 ± 0.05	-0.09 + 0.08	0.30 ± 0.18	-0.86 ± 0.33	-0.01 + 0.07
66	2010	11	15	23	35	29.2 ± 0.3 29.2 ± 0.3	0.0	12.07 ± 0.02 12.07 ± 0.02	0.18	44.22 ± 0.04	0.23	12.0	11	16	23	-2.32 + 0.09	1.98 ± 0.07	0.34 ± 0.08	-0.19 ± 0.29	0.08 ± 0.43	-0.66 ± 0.07
*67	2010	11	16	20	5	183 ± 0.8	-27	11.96 ± 0.02	-0.04	44.39 ± 0.05	0.43	12.0	1.1	16	2.0	-2.61 ± 0.09	2.22 ± 0.19	0.39 ± 0.00	0.17 ± 0.27	0.00 ± 0.15	-0.29 ± 0.23
*68	2010	11	16	2	7	10.5 ± 0.0 10 ± 0.4	57	12.12 ± 0.02	0.17	44.09 ± 0.02	0.13	12.0	14	16	5.2	-6.00 ± 0.28	443 ± 0.19	1.57 ± 0.20			-0.26 ± 0.22
69	2010	11	16	15	51	27.8 ± 0.2	0.7	12.12 ± 0.02 12.07 ± 0.02	0.04	44.09 ± 0.02 44.19 ± 0.03	0.19	12.0	1.7	16	23	-2.34 ± 0.09	1.84 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.09	-0.21 + 0.22	-0.24 + 0.33	-0.20 ± 0.22
70	2010	11	16	17	37	241 ± 0.2	0.7	12.07 ± 0.02 12.01 ± 0.02	0.10	44.17 ± 0.05 44.22 ± 0.05	0.19	12.0	1.0	16	2.5	-2.00 ± 0.09	1.04 ± 0.00 1.69 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.09 0.31 + 0.10	-0.21 ± 0.22	-0.24 ± 0.00	-0.02 ± 0.00
70	2010	11	10	17	57	24.1 ± 0.5	0.0	12.01 ± 0.02	0.10	44.22 ± 0.05	0.10	12.0	1.0	10	2.1	-2.00 ± 0.09	1.07 ± 0.00	0.51 ± 0.10	-0.50 ± 0.20	0.40 ± 0.44	-0.47 ± 0.07
*71	2010	11	16	22	58	346 ± 04	-0.6	12.09 ± 0.03	0.30	44.16 ± 0.08	0.18	12.0	1.1	16	09	-0.82 + 0.06	0.67 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.06	0.19 ± 0.16	0.33 ± 0.31	-0.24 + 0.05
72	2010	11	17	6	36	125 ± 0.1	4.5	12.09 ± 0.03 12.02 ± 0.04	0.23	44.15 ± 0.06	0.60	12.0	1.1	15	7.6	6.86 ± 0.54	5.07 ± 0.01 5.41 ± 0.37	1.45 ± 0.54	1.21 ± 1.58	3.03 ± 1.04	1.05 ± 0.38
73	2010	11	17	20	28	12.5 ± 0.5 27.4 ± 0.3	24	12.02 ± 0.04 12.00 ± 0.02	0.16	44.13 ± 0.00	0.00	12.0	1.1	16	2.0	1.70 ± 0.09	1.45 ± 0.06	1.43 ± 0.04	-1.21 ± 1.50 0.05 ± 0.25	1.16 ± 0.29	-1.95 ± 0.06
74	2010	11	17	20	45	366 ± 0.0	0.5	12.09 ± 0.02 12.08 ± 0.03	0.10	44.13 ± 0.04	0.01	12.0	1.0	16	1.1	1.09 ± 0.07	0.70 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.09	0.05 ± 0.25 0.20 ± 0.21	0.22 ± 0.25	-0.45 ± 0.00
74	2010	11	19	20	56	50.0 ± 0.4	1.0	12.03 ± 0.03 12.12 ± 0.01	0.09	44.20 ± 0.03	0.20	12.0	1.0	16	2.5	-1.09 ± 0.07	280 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.00	0.20 ± 0.21 0.42 ± 0.28	0.22 ± 0.23	-0.40 ± 0.05
76	2010	11	10	2	25	52.7 ± 0.2	-1.0	12.12 ± 0.01 12.12 ± 0.02	0.11	44.13 ± 0.02	0.10	12.0	1.0	16	1.4	-3.40 ± 0.10	1.10 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.10	-0.43 ± 0.23	-0.90 ± 0.30	-0.55 ± 0.06
70 77	2010	11	20	2 1	2.) 55	31.3 ± 0.3	-0.1	12.13 ± 0.03 12.00 ± 0.02	0.21	44.21 ± 0.04	0.23	12.0	1.1	16	1.4	-1.44 ± 0.00	1.10 ± 0.03 1.61 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.07	0.24 ± 0.22	-0.07 ± 0.20	-0.01 ± 0.00
11	2010	11	20	11	52	22.9 ± 0.3	-4.0	12.09 ± 0.02 12.12 ± 0.04	0.20	44.21 ± 0.03	0.19	12.0	1.0	10	1.9	-2.00 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.00	0.39 ± 0.08 0.14 + 0.07	0.04 ± 0.20 0.17 + 0.21	-0.12 ± 0.28	-0.04 ± 0.00
10	2010	11	20	22	22	33.3 ± 0.3	-1.9	12.13 ± 0.04	0.12	44.10 ± 0.07	0.10	12.0	1.0	10	0.0	-0.79 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.07	0.17 ± 0.21	0.10 ± 0.22	-0.20 ± 0.03
*00	2010	11	20	23	38	53.1 ± 0.2	0.1	12.09 ± 0.02	0.10	44.20 ± 0.03	0.29	12.0	1.1	10	5.1	-3.04 ± 0.10	2.43 ± 0.08	0.01 ± 0.10	0.39 ± 0.27	-1.05 ± 0.38	-0.99 ± 0.08
* T1	2010	11	21	10	40	18.1 ± 0.5	2.1	12.04 ± 0.02	-0.21	44.27 ± 0.04	0.52	12.0	1.1	10	0./	-0.81 ± 0.06	0.37 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.06			-0.20 ± 0.05
* Ind	icates le	SS-We		nstra	ined	event (see tes	κι).														
Al	i depths	inxec	1 to 1	∠.0 Ki	uom	eters															

Suppl	Supplementary Table 1 (continued)																				
		-			(Centroid Paran	neters						Half	Scale							
No.				Date	e	Time		Latitude		Longitude		Depth**	Drtn	Factor	M_0			Elements	s of Moment Ten	sor	
	Y	M	D	h	m	sec	δt ₀	λ	$\delta \lambda_0$	<u>ф</u>	$\delta \phi_0$	h		10 ^{ex}		M _{rr}	M ₀₀	M _{¢¢}	M _{rθ}	M _{r¢}	M _{θφ}
*81	2010	11	21	22	12	25.8 ± 0.5	0.9	12.05 ± 0.02	0.53	44.25 ± 0.04	0.20	12.0	1.1	16	0.8	-0.85 ± 0.07	0.66 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.08	0.00	0.05 0.05	-0.18 ± 0.06
82	2010	11	21	22	26	12.1 ± 0.4	-2.3	12.02 ± 0.03	0.00	44.23 ± 0.05	0.09	12.0	1.2	16	1.4	-1.33 ± 0.07	1.13 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.07	-0.39 ± 0.22	0.25 ± 0.25	-0.29 ± 0.05
83	2010	11	22	22	3	20.1 ± 0.4	-1.3	12.06 ± 0.03	0.25	44.16 ± 0.05	0.36	12.0	1.0	16	1.2	-1.14 ± 0.08	1.08 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.08	-0.17 ± 0.21	0.09 ± 0.25	-0.26 ± 0.05
84 *95	2010	11	23	14	51	34.9 ± 0.3	-1.5	12.10 ± 0.02	0.18	44.06 ± 0.04	0.57	12.0	1.0	16	1.5	-0.63 ± 0.09	$1.4/\pm 0.06$	-0.84 ± 0.09	0.40 ± 0.17	0.74 ± 0.30	-0.24 ± 0.07
*83	2010	11	23	12	26	0.1 ± 0.4	-1.9	12.05 ± 0.02	-0.20	44.27 ± 0.04	0.52	12.0	1.1	10	0.8	-0.85 ± 0.07	0.70 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.07	0.26 + 0.21	0.50 . 0.28	-0.18 ± 0.05
80	2010	11	24	10	30	18.0 ± 0.4	-0.0	12.07 ± 0.03 12.02 ± 0.03	0.10	44.34 ± 0.03	0.30	12.0	1.0	16	1.5	-1.20 ± 0.08	1.13 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.21	-0.30 ± 0.28	-0.20 ± 0.00
88	2010	11	24	19	11	63 ± 0.4	-1./	12.02 ± 0.03 12.07 ± 0.02	0.10	44.21 ± 0.05	0.20	12.0	1.0	16	1.1	-1.08 ± 0.07 1.28 ± 0.07	0.90 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.07 0.17 ± 0.07	-0.23 ± 0.20	0.40 ± 0.24	-0.29 ± 0.03
80	2010	11	25	13	43	573 ± 0.3	-0.2	12.07 ± 0.02 12.12 ± 0.03	0.29	44.23 ± 0.03	0.10	12.0	1.0	16	1.5	-1.28 ± 0.07	1.09 ± 0.05	0.17 ± 0.07 0.28 ± 0.07	-0.02 ± 0.19 0.56 ± 0.20	-0.23 ± 0.29	-0.40 ± 0.05
00	2010	11	25	22	41	37.3 ± 0.3 17.4 ± 0.3	-0.2	12.12 ± 0.03 12.10 ± 0.03	0.15	44.22 ± 0.04	0.13	12.0	1.0	16	1.5	1.54 ± 0.07	1.09 ± 0.05 1.14 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.07	0.30 ± 0.20 0.27 ± 0.22	-0.25 ± 0.20	-0.51 ± 0.00
90	2010	11	23	22	41	17.4 ± 0.5	0.9	12.10 ± 0.05	0.25	44.17 ± 0.04	0.15	12.0	1.1	10	1.5	-1.54 ± 0.07	1.14 ± 0.05	0.40 1 0.07	0.27 ± 0.22	-0.27 ± 0.50	-0.44 ± 0.00
91	2010	11	28	5	19	473 ± 05	33	12.14 ± 0.03	-0.36	$43.97 \pm 0.05 =$	0 53	12.0	11	15	75	-6.19 + 0.61	485 ± 0.36	135 ± 0.56	125 + 125	3 37 + 1 64	-351 + 036
*92	2010	11	29	4	1	433 ± 0.5	73	12.08 ± 0.03	-0.17	44.19 ± 0.04	0.44	12.0	11	15	63	-6.22 ± 0.55	549 ± 0.39	0.74 ± 0.58	1120 2 1120	0107 2 1101	-2.21 ± 0.46
93	2010	11	30	9	34	20.8 ± 0.4	-0.7	12.09 ± 0.03	0.14	44.21 + 0.05	0.24	12.0	1.0	16	2.5	-2.56 + 0.17	2.09 ± 0.11	0.47 + 0.17	-0.11 + 0.39	-0.03 + 0.58	-0.96 + 0.13
94	2010	12	5	1	7	29.0 ± 0.3	-0.4	12.16 + 0.03	0.14	44.11 + 0.04	0.14	12.0	1.0	16	1.1	-1.03 + 0.06	0.68 + 0.04	0.34 + 0.07	0.27 + 0.17	0.23 + 0.18	-0.46 + 0.04
95	2010	12	6	11	34	46.8 ± 0.3	0.5	12.04 ± 0.03	0.15	44.27 ± 0.05	0.24	12.0	1.0	16	1.4	-1.50 ± 0.07	1.21 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.25	-0.04 ± 0.39	-0.34 ± 0.06
*96	2010	12	6	12	18	17.9 ± 0.4	9.9	12.02 ± 0.02	0.27	44.29 ± 0.03 -	0.46	12.0	1.1	16	1.0	-1.02 ± 0.07	0.84 ± 0.05	0.18 ± 0.08			-0.23 ± 0.05
*97	2010	12	6	12	44	24.3 ± 0.4	8.3	12.05 ± 0.02	0.30	44.24 ± 0.03 -	0.01	12.0	1.2	16	0.9	-0.99 ± 0.07	0.77 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.07			-0.30 ± 0.05
98	2010	12	6	12	55	5.3 ± 0.4	-0.7	12.05 ± 0.03	0.12	44.46 ± 0.06	0.36	12.0	1.1	16	0.9	-0.68 ± 0.06	0.78 ± 0.04	-0.09 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.20	-0.56 ± 0.25	-0.15 ± 0.05
99	2010	12	11	14	2	17.6 ± 0.4	0.2	12.06 ± 0.03	0.15	44.24 ± 0.05	0.24	12.0	1.0	16	1.8	-1.90 ± 0.12	1.47 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.13	-0.23 ± 0.32	-0.04 ± 0.37	-0.51 ± 0.07
100	2010	12	11	14	6	26.1 ± 0.2	0.6	12.10 ± 0.02	0.17	44.23 ± 0.03	0.25	12.0	1.1	16	4.2	-4.04 ± 0.13	3.54 ± 0.09	0.51 ± 0.13	0.08 ± 0.39	-1.33 ± 0.48	-1.12 ± 0.09
101	2010	12	19	19	24	35.3 ± 0.2	-0.6	12.07 ± 0.01	0.04	44.18 ± 0.03	0.17	12.0	1.0	16	2.8	-2.84 ± 0.09	2.54 ± 0.06	0.30 ± 0.09	-0.42 ± 0.21	-0.09 ± 0.33	-0.75 ± 0.07
102	2010	12	31	18	9	31.5 ± 0.3	-0.5	12.11 ± 0.02	0.24	44.15 ± 0.04	0.15	12.0	1.1	16	1.7	-1.77 ± 0.08	1.53 ± 0.05	0.24 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.22	0.09 ± 0.31	-0.49 ± 0.06
103	2010	12	31	22	4	42.9 ± 0.3	-1.4	12.10 ± 0.02	0.22	44.18 ± 0.03	0.12	12.0	1.1	16	2.7	-2.80 ± 0.11	2.28 ± 0.08	0.52 ± 0.10	-0.27 ± 0.29	-0.15 ± 0.42	-0.84 ± 0.08
104	2011	1	10	2	29	57.1 ± 0.2	-0.5	12.10 ± 0.01	0.06	44.13 ± 0.02	0.14	12.0	1.2	16	6.6	-6.42 ± 0.16	5.21 ± 0.12	1.21 ± 0.16	-2.00 ± 0.41	-1.18 ± 0.53	-1.95 ± 0.12
105	2011	1	30	2	28	41.8 ± 0.2	-2.5	12.05 ± 0.01	0.03	44.25 ± 0.02	0.18	12.0	1.3	16	4.3	-4.13 ± 0.11	3.47 ± 0.08	0.66 ± 0.11	-1.26 ± 0.27	-0.89 ± 0.38	-1.26 ± 0.09
106	2011	2	1	11	24	30.7 ± 0.4	-1.3	12.05 ± 0.03	0.27	44.17 ± 0.05	0.21	12.0	1.1	16	1.5	-1.44 ± 0.07	1.04 ± 0.05	0.40 ± 0.08	-0.39 ± 0.20	0.61 ± 0.29	-0.40 ± 0.05
*107	2011	2	3	2	42	32.1 ± 0.6	0.1	12.02 ± 0.04	-0.48	44.31 ± 0.07	0.81	12.0	1.1	15	7.5	-6.97 ± 0.61	5.35 ± 0.37	1.61 ± 0.65	-0.03 ± 1.72	3.46 ± 1.98	-2.35 ± 0.40
108	2011	3	30	1	42	47.7 ± 0.3	-1.5	12.08 ± 0.02	0.09	44.22 ± 0.03	0.16	12.0	1.2	16	2.5	-2.56 ± 0.09	2.14 ± 0.06	0.42 ± 0.10	-0.41 ± 0.24	-0.16 ± 0.31	-0.60 ± 0.06
109	2011	4	19	9	7	59.6 ± 0.4	-5.3	12.06 ± 0.02	0.00	44.23 ± 0.04	0.26	12.0	1.2	16	2.4	-2.28 ± 0.11	1.83 ± 0.09	0.46 ± 0.14	-0.42 ± 0.28	-0.81 ± 0.46	-0.78 ± 0.08
*110	2011	4	19	9	37	52.8 ± 0.4	-3.4	12.10 ± 0.02	0.09	44.25 ± 0.03	0.13	12.0	1.1	16	1.8	-1.79 ± 0.10	1.55 ± 0.08	0.24 ± 0.12			-0.67 ± 0.07
* Indi	cates le	ss-we	ell-co	nstra	ined	event (see tex	kt).														
** All	l depths	fixed	1 to 1	2.0 k	ilom	eters															

Supplementary Table 2. Principal axes and best-double-couple parameters.	Supplementary'	Table 2. Principa	al axes and best-dou	ble-couple parameters.
--	----------------	-------------------	----------------------	------------------------

	Scale				Princi	pal Axes						Best Double Couple						
No.	Factor		T-axis			N-axis			P-axis		M_0		Plane 1			Plane 2		
	10 ^{ex}	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ		φ _s	θ	λ	ϕ_s	θ	λ	
1	16	0.79	10	208	0.03	16	300	-0.82	71	86	0.8	279	38	-117	131	57	-71	
2	17	1.82	7	193	-0.10	14	101	-1.72	74	309	1.8	299	40	-68	90	54	-108	
3	16	3.21	4	208	0.28	12	298	-3.48	77	100	3.3	284	42	-109	129	50	-74	
4	16	1.86	12	13	0.51	26	277	-2.37	61	126	2.1	132	40	-47	262	62	-120	
5	16	5.04	0	195	-0.33	5	104	-4.72	85	288	4.9	289	45	-83	100	45	-96	
6	16	2.78	3	12	0.24	25	104	-3.01	64	275	2.9	78	47	-126	304	53	-58	
7	16	2.14	2	198	0.19	23	289	-2.33	67	104	2.2	266	48	-122	129	51	-60	
8	17	0.78	6	196	0.00	13	104	-0.78	76	311	0.8	300	40	-70	94	53	-106	
9	16	1.48	4	206	0.23	12	296	-1.72	78	98	1.6	283	42	-108	127	50	-74	
10	16	1.89	0	24	0.57	26	294	-2.46	64	114	2.2	138	50	-55	270	51	-125	
11	17	1.02	9	189	0.07	19	96	-1.08	69	303	1.0	300	40	-60	83	56	-113	
12	16	2.15	6	21	-0.03	3	111	-2.12	83	229	2.1	107	39	-95	294	51	-86	
13	16	2.94	10	19	0.34	9	111	-3.28	76	242	3.1	97	36	-106	297	56	-79	
14	16	1.48	9	352	0.24	47	252	-1.72	42	90	1.6	122	54	-26	228	69	-141	
15	16	1.54	8	208	0.22	13	300	-1.76	75	86	1.6	283	38	-111	129	55	-74	
16	16	1.60	5	9	0.31	15	278	-1.91	74	119	1.8	115	42	-68	266	52	-109	
17	16	1.47	2	211	0.05	10	301	-1.52	79	111	1.5	290	44	-105	131	48	-76	
*18	16	1.72	0	192	-0.03	0	102	-1.69	90	180	1.7	282	45	-90	102	45	-90	
19	17	0.92	1	15	-0.01	7	105	-0.92	83	277	0.9	98	44	-99	291	46	-81	
20	16	1.22	1	203	0.24	10	293	-1.46	80	110	1.3	283	45	-104	122	46	-76	
21	16	2.41	5	17	-0.07	3	287	-2.33	84	162	2.4	111	40	-85	284	50	-94	
*22	15	5.80	0	4	2.32	21	274	-8.11	69	95	7.0	114	49	-61	254	49	-119	
*23	16	1.36	0	184	0.14	0	94	-1.50	90	180	1.4	274	45	-90	94	45	-90	
24	16	3.02	2	192	0.39	12	101	-3.40	78	289	3.2	294	45	-73	90	48	-106	
25	16	4.05	0	12	0.33	10	102	-4.38	80	282	4.2	92	46	-105	292	46	-75	
26	16	2.61	3	199	0.26	5	289	-2.87	84	79	2.7	284	42	-98	114	48	-83	
27	16	4.18	3	205	-0.10	16	296	-4.09	74	104	4.1	279	44	-113	129	50	-69	
*28	16	0.96	4	19	0.46	27	287	-1.42	62	118	1.2	136	47	-51	266	55	-124	
29	17	0.86	8	205	-0.17	1	295	-0.68	81	32	0.8	293	37	-92	116	53	-89	
30	16	6.31	4	187	1.17	18	96	-7.48	71	290	6.9	296	44	-63	81	52	-113	
31	16	5.38	10	202	-0.91	0	292	-4.48	80	22	4.9	292	35	-90	112	55	-90	
32	17	1.47	3	7	-0.37	35	274	-1.10	54	101	1.3	128	52	-43	248	58	-133	
*33	16	2.08	21	20	0.46	17	116	-2.54	63	242	2.3	82	28	-127	303	68	-72	
34	17	1.43	8	199	-0.14	13	108	-1.29	74	320	1.4	304	39	-69	98	54	-106	
35	17	0.93	6	200	-0.08	1	290	-0.84	84	29	0.9	289	39	-92	111	51	-89	
36	16	2.63	3	204	0.12	20	295	-2.75	69	107	2.7	274	46	-119	132	51	-63	
37	16	2.36	1	14	0.07	23	284	-2.42	67	107	2.4	126	49	-58	263	50	-121	
38	16	1.19	7	211	0.02	0	301	-1.21	83	32	1.2	301	38	-90	121	52	-90	
39	16	3.54	6	191	0.26	13	100	-3.79	75	306	3.7	296	41	-70	90	52	-107	
40	16	0.92	9	13	0.10	11	104	-1.01	76	245	1.0	89	37	-108	292	55	-76	
* Indicat	ac lace wal	1 constrained	event (c	a tavt)														

⁴ Indicates less-well-constrained event (see text).

Supplementary	v Table 2	(continued)
Dupplemental	$1 10010 \pm$	continueu

	Scale				Princi	pal Axes						Best Double Couple						
No.	Factor		T-axis			N-axis			P-axis		M_0		Plane 1			Plane 2		
	10^{ex}	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ		φ _s	θ	λ	φ _s	θ	λ	
41	17	2.13	4	197	-0.14	14	106	-1.99	75	304	2.1	302	43	-69	94	51	-108	
42	16	6.51	2	196	0.12	12	106	-6.63	78	297	6.6	298	44	-73	95	48	-106	
43	17	0.69	1	189	0.10	17	99	-0.79	73	283	0.7	296	46	-66	83	49	-113	
44	16	2.32	14	218	0.71	18	313	-3.03	67	93	2.7	285	35	-123	143	61	-69	
45	16	3.76	1	195	0.68	24	104	-4.44	66	287	4.1	307	49	-57	83	51	-122	
46	16	6.08	2	192	-0.23	10	102	-5.86	80	292	6.0	292	44	-76	93	48	-103	
47	16	1.94	4	16	0.28	3	106	-2.23	85	229	2.1	103	41	-94	288	49	-86	
48	16	2.49	5	195	-0.16	13	286	-2.33	76	86	2.4	271	42	-110	117	51	-73	
49	16	1.90	1	199	0.13	3	109	-2.02	87	304	2.0	293	44	-85	106	46	-95	
50	17	1.22	9	190	0.07	18	97	-1.30	70	304	1.3	300	40	-61	84	56	-112	
51	16	0.74	10	10	0.12	20	103	-0.86	68	254	0.8	77	39	-122	296	58	-66	
52	16	5.28	2	15	1.17	20	106	-6.45	70	280	5.9	86	47	-118	303	50	-64	
53	16	1.92	6	359	0.09	16	91	-2.01	73	248	2.0	72	41	-114	283	53	-70	
54	16	0.93	14	355	-0.28	62	237	-0.65	24	91	0.8	131	63	-7	224	84	-153	
55	16	0.94	1	17	0.12	15	287	-1.07	75	110	1.0	122	46	-69	272	48	-111	
56	16	0.64	6	186	0.18	12	94	-0.82	76	302	0.7	289	40	-71	85	52	-105	
57	16	2.40	1	21	0.17	10	111	-2.57	80	286	2.5	101	45	-104	301	47	-76	
58	16	2.89	4	199	-0.56	10	109	-2.32	79	310	2.6	300	42	-74	100	50	-104	
59	16	2.51	10	198	0.20	12	106	-2.71	74	329	2.6	302	36	-70	98	56	-104	
*60	16	0.80	1	190	0.35	29	99	-1.15	61	281	1.0	306	51	-52	75	52	-127	
61	16	0.72	9	213	0.32	19	306	-1.04	69	99	0.9	282	39	-120	139	57	-67	
62	16	1.57	5	20	0.10	6	111	-1.67	82	252	1.6	104	41	-99	296	50	-82	
63	16	1.40	2	355	-0.09	32	86	-1.32	58	262	1.4	56	51	-133	292	55	-50	
64	16	2.56	22	344	-1.03	67	180	-1.52	6	76	2.0	122	70	12	28	79	160	
*65	16	1.01	14	12	0.42	29	110	-1.43	57	259	1.2	68	40	-139	305	65	-57	
66	16	2.22	3	199	0.11	0	289	-2.33	87	25	2.3	289	42	-90	110	48	-90	
*67	16	2.27	0	189	0.34	0	99	-2.61	90	180	2.4	279	45	-90	99	45	-90	
*68	16	4.45	0	185	1.55	0	95	-6.00	90	180	5.2	275	45	-90	95	45	-90	
69	16	2.23	1	205	0.16	7	115	-2.38	83	304	2.3	302	44	-80	108	47	-100	
70	16	1.96	10	199	0.19	6	290	-2.15	78	52	2.1	281	36	-101	115	55	-82	
*71	16	0.77	3	19	0.19	20	288	-0.96	70	116	0.9	129	46	-61	271	51	-117	
72	15	6.78	12	209	1.66	17	303	-8.44	69	85	7.6	277	36	-120	133	59	-70	
73	16	1.65	7	205	0.65	23	298	-2.32	66	99	2.0	271	43	-125	135	56	-62	
74	16	1.07	1	30	0.10	14	300	-1.16	76	127	1.1	134	45	-71	287	48	-109	
75	16	3.23	1	199	0.52	15	109	-3.75	75	292	3.5	304	46	-69	95	48	-111	
76	16	1.38	5	27	0.09	2	296	-1.46	85	189	1.4	118	40	-87	295	50	-92	
77	16	1.88	1	23	0.12	3	113	-2.01	87	269	1.9	110	44	-94	296	46	-86	
78	16	0.73	5	18	0.10	9	287	-0.83	79	136	0.8	118	41	-76	280	51	-102	
79	16	2.97	8	26	0.36	12	118	-3.32	75	265	3.1	102	39	-110	307	54	-75	
*80	16	0.67	0	205	0.14	0	115	-0.81	90	180	0.7	295	45	-90	115	45	-90	
* Indicat	tes less-wel	ll-constrained	event (s	ee text).														

Supplementary Table 2 (continued)
0.1

Scale				Princi	pal Axes					Best Double Couple							
Factor		T-axis			N-axis			P-axis		M_0		Plane 1			Plane 2		
10 ^{ex}	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ	σ	δ	ξ		φ _s	θ	λ	φ _s	θ	λ	
16	0.72	0	198	0.12	0	108	-0.85	90	180	0.8	288	45	-90	108	45	-90	
16	1.29	10	197	0.13	5	288	-1.42	79	43	1.4	281	36	-98	111	55	-84	
16	1.16	5	194	0.00	3	284	-1.16	85	42	1.2	281	40	-94	106	50	-87	
16	1.55	10	3	0.00	47	262	-1.55	41	101	1.5	133	54	-25	238	70	-142	
16	0.75	0	196	0.08	0	106	-0.83	90	180	0.8	286	45	-90	106	45	-90	
16	1.27	9	16	0.16	15	108	-1.43	72	257	1.3	89	39	-115	299	55	-71	
16	1.06	10	203	0.14	12	295	-1.21	74	76	1.1	278	37	-111	123	56	-75	
16	1.30	0	22	-0.02	3	112	-1.28	87	290	1.3	109	45	-94	295	45	-86	
16	1.47	12	25	0.04	1	295	-1.51	78	198	1.5	117	33	-87	294	57	-92	
16	1.39	7	26	0.20	4	116	-1.59	82	236	1.5	111	38	-97	299	52	-85	
15	7.07	3	213	0.91	26	305	-7.96	64	116	7.5	278	47	-127	146	54	-57	
15	6.36	0	201	-0.13	0	111	-6.22	90	180	6.3	291	45	-90	111	45	-90	
16	2.53	1	205	0.03	2	115	-2.56	88	326	2.5	296	44	-88	113	46	-92	
16	1.01	3	34	0.13	16	303	-1.13	73	133	1.1	140	45	-66	289	50	-112	
16	1.32	2	18	0.18	0	108	-1.50	88	210	1.4	108	43	-91	288	47	-89	
16	0.91	0	197	0.11	0	107	-1.02	90	180	1.0	287	45	-90	107	45	-90	
16	0.90	0	204	0.09	0	114	-0.99	90	180	0.9	294	45	-90	114	45	-90	
16	0.86	12	16	0.16	28	112	-1.02	59	265	0.9	75	41	-135	308	62	-58	
16	1.69	3	202	0.23	3	112	-1.92	85	337	1.8	295	42	-85	109	48	-94	
16	3.94	4	19	0.47	15	110	-4.41	74	276	4.2	94	43	-112	303	51	-70	
16	2.79	4	197	0.09	4	106	-2.88	84	330	2.8	291	41	-84	103	49	-95	
16	1.70	0	199	0.08	3	289	-1.78	87	104	1.7	286	45	-94	111	45	-86	
16	2.63	2	202	0.20	4	112	-2.83	85	317	2.7	296	43	-83	107	47	-96	
16	6.17	7	200	0.86	14	108	-7.03	75	316	6.6	305	40	-69	98	53	-107	
16	4.05	6	199	0.51	15	107	-4.56	74	311	4.3	305	41	-67	96	53	-109	
16	1.37	13	210	0.28	10	302	-1.65	73	70	1.5	286	33	-109	129	59	-78	
15	6.68	7	209	1.55	19	302	-8.24	70	99	7.5	279	41	-119	136	55	-67	
16	2.35	4	197	0.26	6	106	-2.61	83	323	2.5	293	41	-82	102	49	-97	
16	2.19	1	204	0.41	19	114	-2.59	71	296	2.4	312	47	-64	96	49	-115	
16	1.84	0	203	-0.04	0	113	-1.79	90	180	1.8	293	45	-90	113	45	-90	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Scale} \\ \text{Factor} \\ 10^{\text{ex}} \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Scale \\ \hline Factor & T-axis \\ \hline 10^{es}$ & $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

* Indicates less-well-constrained event (see text).